STATE OF MINNESOTA

BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Nancy Lange Chair
Dan Lipschultz Vice-Chair
Matthew Schuerger Commissioner
Katie Sieben Commissioner
John Tuma Commissioner

Review of Gas Affordability Programs Docket Nos.: G-008/M-16-486

G-002/M-16-493 G-004/M-16-495

Date: May 22, 2018

UTILITY STAKEHOLDER REPORT

<u>Introduction</u>

On April 27, 2017, the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) considered the 2016 Gas Affordability Program (GAP) evaluation reports of CenterPoint Energy, Xcel Energy, and Great Plains Natural Gas (Great Plains). On May 22, 2017, the Commission issued an Order in the dockets listed above accepting the evaluation reports and requiring a stakeholder workgroup of the utilities that offer a GAP, third party administrators, and the Department of Commerce (Department), to discuss if changes should be made to the Gas Affordability Programs.¹

The Utility Stakeholder Group invited the third party administrator (Energy CENTS Coalition) and the Department of Commerce to participate in a series of meetings to discuss the relevant topics. The workgroup coordinator is Stephen Collins of

¹ A utility stakeholder report was previously filed on June 1, 2011. This stakeholder group was comprised of third party administrators, Interstate Power & Light Company, Xcel Energy, Great Plains Natural Gas Company, Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation, CenterPoint Energy, and Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc. See Docket Nos. G-001/M-07-1295, G-002/GR-06-1429, G-004/M-07-1235, G-007, 011/M-07-1131, G-008/GR-05-1380 and G-022-CI-08-1175.

the Department of Commerce. Members of this stakeholder group met in May 2017, September 2017, October 2017, and January 2018.

The Utility Stakeholder Group now hereby submits its report, pursuant to the Commission's Order of May 22, 2017.

Report Results

The group discussed four major areas related to its Gas Affordability Programs:

- Reporting;
- Spending Cap;
- Enrollment/Application; and
- Miscellaneous.

Reporting

The Department of Commerce recommended the utilities review reporting requirements, content, and format of the annual report.

Utility consensus is a streamlined reporting form should be utilized as proposed in the recommendation by the Department. The new form, provided as Attachment A to this report, contains all pertinent information currently provided in annual reports, but is more streamlined and will assure consistency of reporting across utilities. Attachment A is based on the Department's recommendations and is the submitted format for the most recent annual GAP reports of Xcel Energy and CenterPoint Energy. The only outstanding issue with the new format is that CenterPoint Energy is still in the process of determining whether it has the reporting capabilities to provide payment information (dollars paid ÷ dollars requested *and* number of payments made ÷ number of payments requested) for its non-LIHEAP residential customers. CenterPoint will make an additional filing once it determines whether it can provide the information and will request an exemption if the information cannot be reported.

Spending Cap

Stakeholders discussed at length the current spending cap. CenterPoint Energy and Xcel Energy have the largest programs and both have GAP tracker balances available for distribution that may not be consumed by year-end. All stakeholders recognize that at times, the program design and recovery may need to be adjusted according to current levels of LIHEAP participation, natural gas costs, extreme weather fluctuations, etc. Stakeholders agreed that no spending cap changes are needed at this time. Utilities agreed to seek Commission approval of program modifications if participation and benefits are not meeting current needs including, but not limited to, changes to cost recovery or benefits to customers. The utilities agreed to review the spending cap issue again in their next GAP evaluation reports.

Enrollment/Application

The stakeholders also discussed current participation rates. Stakeholder discussion focused on current application processes, possible auto enrollment, and any barriers to participation. The utilities contract the application with a third party non-profit in an effort to promote administrative efficiencies. Third party administrators are able to encourage the participation of low and fixed income customers in energy related decision-making, which may result in an added level of comfort for applicants (versus applying directly with the utility companies). The current enrollment process has the utility companies conducting outreach to LIHEAP recipients and having the application returned directly to the non-profit. Strong relationships between customers and the contracting organizations and timely exchange of information provide a level of confidence to the low-income applicant. The utilities who partner with third-party administrators periodically assess the effectiveness and efficiency of the arrangement. At this time, the utilities believe the use of a third-party administrator is the most effective and efficient option, using the best of both internal and third-party strengths.

Stakeholders also discussed the concept of auto-enrollment, in which LIHEAP customers are automatically enrolled in GAP (if eligible) and then may opt out if

they do not want to participate. Several concepts were discussed. One process would have the utilities gather LIHEAP recipient information and enroll these customers in the Program. A second alternative could have a LIHEAP guarantee to a utility prompt an application. A third option could have the community action agency work with the customer to complete the application. While the autoenrollment process could be manageable on the front-end, there are downstream processes to also consider and evaluate. Customer confusion, bill payment requirements, and subsequent removals, could create administrative challenges on the back-end. Other impacts of automatic enrollment are the potential customer perception of lack of customer choice, unavailability of household income information to the utilities, administrative cost increases from managing any mid-year suspensions, potential cost savings from eliminating GAP promotions, and administrative efficiencies from eliminating the processing of enrollment applications. However, any cost savings related to auto-enrollment applications would likely be (at least partially) offset by process and system development costs necessary to support the automatic enrollment. The utilities agreed to review the enrollment issue again in their next GAP evaluation reports.

Miscellaneous

In addition, each of the utilities discussed the reasons for customer removal from the GAP. One of the top reasons for customer removal was a customer's failure to pay the monthly payment requirement. In addition, customer behavior indicates a desire to obtain bill payment assistance where no customer payment, or very little customer payment, is required. At times, participants will contact the utility and ask for removal from the GAP in order to facilitate alternative assistance. More specifically, GAP participation levels can be challenged by competing programs. As an example, a customer may select an alternative payment plan with a lower monthly payment (such as a Cold Weather Rule payment plan), if it is less than a GAP monthly payment plan. Unfortunately, a payment plan under the Cold Weather Rule may not cover an entire 12-month period and a customer may not re-enroll in GAP during the same calendar year. As a result, customers making this choice may not be maximizing their benefits over the long run.

The Gas Affordability Programs of each utility were implemented at a time of rising heating costs, different economic conditions, and a stable or growing number of LIHEAP recipients. All stakeholders are committed to further outreach of the Program to eligible customers and have agreed to proactively make filings for adjustments, should general conditions warrant.

Conclusion

The Utility Stakeholder Group respectfully submits this report to the Commission and other interested parties. We appreciate the opportunity to meet and assess our respective Gas Affordability Programs. As a result of our review (in conjunction with third party administrators and the Department), we believe the appropriate framework is in place for ongoing evaluation, and no changes to our current Gas Affordability Programs are warranted at this time. However, we also believe that the spending-cap and enrollment issues discussed in this report should be revisited at the time of the utilities' next evaluation reports.

We also believe that it is appropriate to move to a more streamlined format for annual reports using the Department's suggested reporting form.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Carl Cronin, hereby certify that I have this day served copies of the foregoing document on the attached list of persons.

<u>xx</u> by depositing a true and correct copy thereof, properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States mail at Minneapolis,
 Minnesota

<u>xx</u> electronic filing

DOCKET NOS. G008/M-16-486

G002/M-16-493 G004/M-16-495

Dated this 22nd day of May 2018

/s/

Carl Cronin

Regulatory Administrator

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
David	Aafedt	daafedt@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine, P.A.	Suite 3500, 225 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-486_16-486
James J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@stinson.co m	Stinson Leonard Street LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-486_16-486
Brenda A.	Bjorklund	brenda.bjorklund@centerp ointenergy.com	CenterPoint Energy	505 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-486_16-486
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.st ate.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1800 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_16-486_16-486
lan	Dobson	residential.utilities@ag.stat e.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_16-486_16-486
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-486_16-486
Edward	Garvey	garveyed@aol.com	Residence	32 Lawton St Saint Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-486_16-486
Robert	Harding	robert.harding@state.mn.u s	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_16-486_16-486
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-486_16-486
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-486_16-486

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-486_16-486
Samantha	Norris	samanthanorris@alliantene rgy.com	Interstate Power and Light Company	200 1st Street SE PO Box 351 Cedar Rapids, IA 524060351	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-486_16-486
Janet	Shaddix Elling	jshaddix@janetshaddix.co m	Shaddix And Associates	7400 Lyndale Ave S Ste 190 Richfield, MN 55423	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-486_16-486
Peggy	Sorum	peggy.sorum@centerpointe nergy.com	CenterPoint Energy	505 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-486_16-486
James M.	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy- graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-486_16-486
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-486_16-486
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_16-486_16-486

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Tamie A.	Aberle	tamie.aberle@mdu.com	Great Plains Natural Gas Co.	400 North Fourth Street Bismarck, ND 585014092	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493
Kristine	Anderson	kanderson@greatermngas. com	Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc.	202 S. Main Street Le Sueur, MN 56058	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493
Christopher	Anderson	canderson@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022191	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493
Robert S.	Carney, Jr.			4232 Colfax Ave. S. Minneapolis, MN 55409	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.st ate.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1800 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493
Carl	Cronin	Regulatory.records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493
lan	Dobson	residential.utilities@ag.stat e.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493
Annete	Henkel	mui@mnutilityinvestors.org	Minnesota Utility Investors	413 Wacouta Street #230 St.Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493
Michael	Норре	il23@mtn.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.	932 Payne Avenue St. Paul, MN 55130	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Richard	Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.co m	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493
Nicolle	Kupser	nkupser@greatermngas.co m	Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc.	202 South Main Street P.O. Box 68 Le Sueur, MN 56058	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493
Samantha	Norris	samanthanorris@alliantene rgy.com	Interstate Power and Light Company	200 1st Street SE PO Box 351 Cedar Rapids, IA 524060351	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493
Greg	Palmer	gpalmer@greatermngas.co m	Greater Minnesota Gas, Inc.	PO Box 68 202 South Main Street Le Sueur, MN 56058	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493
Richard	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.com	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493
Janet	Shaddix Elling	jshaddix@janetshaddix.co m	Shaddix And Associates	7400 Lyndale Ave S Ste 190 Richfield, MN 55423	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493
James M.	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy- graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Lisa	Veith	lisa.veith@ci.stpaul.mn.us		400 City Hall and Courthouse 15 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102		No	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_16-493_16-493

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Tamie A.	Aberle	tamie.aberle@mdu.com	Great Plains Natural Gas Co.	400 North Fourth Street Bismarck, ND 585014092	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-495_16-495
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.st ate.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1800 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-495_16-495
lan	Dobson	residential.utilities@ag.stat e.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-495_16-495
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-495_16-495
Brian	Meloy	brian.meloy@stinson.com	Stinson,Leonard, Street LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-495_16-495
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16-495_16-495

Utility:	
Date Report Filed:	

Degrees dates and status	2015	2016	2017
Program dates and status Date program started			
Program effective date			
Date next evaluation report due			
Date last evaluation completed			
Last evaluation docket number			
Status of program (pilot or permanent)			
Date pilot program ends, if applicable			
Date of last Evaluation Order			
Program administrator			
Participant benefits			
Description of affordability benefit - maximum payment as % of household income			
Description of arrearage forgiveness benefit - repayment period			
Average annual income per participant			
Average annual bill per participant			
Average arrearage balance per participant			
Average annual affordability benefit per participant			
Average annual arrearage forgiveness benefit per customer			
Average total benefit per participant Cost and Cost Recovery			
Annual budget			
Actual revenue			
Annual cost			
Surcharge (\$/therm)			
Annual cost of surcharge for average residential customer who uses 900 therms of gas per year			
Customer classes assessed the GAP surcharge			
Tracker balance as of year-end			
Participation			
% of LIHEAP customers that participated in GAP			
Number of participants enrolled as of year-end			
Number of participants enrolled and receiving benefits at some time during the year			
Whether a waiting list occurred at any time during the year			
If so, the number of customers on the waiting list and for how long			
Impact on disconnection rates			
Disconnection rates - non-GAP LIHEAP baseline		1	
GAP participants			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers			
Non-LIHEAP residential customers			
Disconnection rates - pre-program baseline			
GAP participant cohort GAP paticipants cohort before they were enrolled in GAP			
Impact on payment frequency			
Dollars paid ÷ dollars requested			
Non-GAP LIHEAP Baseline			
GAP participants			
GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid ÷ number of payments requested			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid ÷ number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid ÷ number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid + number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid + number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid ÷ number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid ÷ number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid + number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid ÷ number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid ÷ number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid + number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid ÷ number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid ÷ number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP residential customers			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid ÷ number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid + number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP passeline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participant cohort			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid + number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid ÷ number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid * number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears % Change in dollar amount of arrears (non-GAP LIHEAP baseline)			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid + number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears % Change in dollar amount of arrears (non-GAP LIHEAP baseline) GAP participants			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid + number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears % Change in dollar amount of arrears (non-GAP LIHEAP baseline) GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid ÷ number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears % Change in dollar amount of arrears (non-GAP LIHEAP baseline) GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP customers			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid * number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears % Change in dollar amount of arrears (non-GAP LIHEAP baseline) GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears % Change in dollar amount of arrears (non-GAP LIHEAP baseline) GAP participants Non-LIHEAP residential customers Dollar amount of arrears (pre-Program baseline)			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid + number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears % Change in dollar amount of arrears (non-GAP LIHEAP baseline) GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP residential customers Dollar amount of arrears (pre-Program baseline) GAP participant cohort			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid + number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Pre-program baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears % Change in dollar amount of arrears (non-GAP LIHEAP baseline) GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Dollar amount of arrears (pre-Program baseline) GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid + number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears % Change in dollar amount of arrears (non-GAP LIHEAP baseline) GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP residential customers Dollar amount of arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP residential customers Dollar amount of arrears (pre-Program baseline) GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid * number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears % Change in dollar amount of arrears (non-GAP LIHEAP baseline) GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Dollar amount of arrears (pre-Program baseline) GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears (pre-Program baseline) GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Complaints Number of complaints			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid + number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears % Change in dollar amount of arrears (non-GAP LIHEAP baseline) GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Dollar amount of arrears (pre-Program baseline) GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid + number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears % Change in dollar amount of arrears (non-GAP LIHEAP baseline) GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Dollar amount of arrears (pre-Program baseline) GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Non-Complaints Number of complaints			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid * number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears % Change in dollar amount of arrears (non-GAP LIHEAP baseline) GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears (pre-Program baseline) GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Complaints Number of complaints Nature of complaints Nature of complaints Nature of complaints Nature of complaint retention rate			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid ÷ number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears % Change in dollar amount of arrears (non-GAP LIHEAP baseline) GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Dollar amount of arrears (pre-Program baseline) GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears (pre-Program baseline) GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Complaints Number of complaints Number of complaints Nature of complaints Nature of complaints Nature of complaint retention rate Impact on collection activity			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid * number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears % Change in dollar amount of arrears (non-GAP LIHEAP baseline) GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears (pre-Program baseline) GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Complaints Number of complaints Nature of complaints Nature of complaints Nature of complaints Nature of complaint retention rate			
Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program Baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Number of payments made paid ≠ number of payments requested Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-LIHEAP residential customers Pre-program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears % Customers in arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP baseline GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Impact on arrears Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP customers Non-GAP LIHEAP residential customers Pre-Program baseline GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Dollar amount of arrears % Change in dollar amount of arrears (non-GAP LIHEAP baseline) GAP participants Non-GAP LIHEAP residential customers Non-GAP LIHEAP residential customers Dollar amount of arrears (pre-Program baseline) GAP participant cohort GAP participant cohort before they were enrolled in GAP Complaints Number of complaints Nature of complaints			