

June 3, 2018

Daniel P. Wolf Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 7<sup>th</sup> Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2147

RE: Reply Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources

Docket No. E017/M-18-119

Dear Mr. Wolf:

Attached are the Response Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources (Department) to Otter Tail Power Company's Reply Comments in the following matter:

A Request by Otter Tail Power Company (OTP) of the Company's Annual Filing of the Demand Side Management Financial Incentive Project and Annual Filing to Update the Conservation Improvement Project Rider (Petition).

The Department recommends that the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) **approve** OTP's Petition with adjustments. The Department is available to answer any questions the Commission may have.

Sincerely,

/s/ DANIELLE WINNER
Rates Analyst

DW/ja Attachment



## **Before the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission**

# Reply Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce Division of Energy Resources

Docket No. E017/M-18-119

#### I. BACKGROUND

On March 30, 2018, Otter Tail Power Company (Otter Tail, OTP or the Company) submitted its annual Conservation Improvement Program (CIP) filing (Petition) for 2017 with the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) in Docket No. E017/M-18-119. The Petition contained a request for the Commission to permit the Company to carry forward net benefits from 2017 to 2018, for purposes of calculating the financial incentive.

The Department submitted Comments on May 30, 2018 (Department's May 30<sup>th</sup> Comments), recommending that the Commission:

- 1. approve Otter Tail's 2017 CIP tracker account, as summarized in Table 1 on page 9 of the Department's May 30, 2018 Comments, resulting in a December 31, 2017 tracker balance of \$7,362,345;
- approve a Shared Savings financial incentive of \$2,642,360 for Otter Tail's 2017 CIP achievements;
- approve a Conservation Cost Recovery Adjustment (CCRA) of \$0.00600 per kWh, to be effective October 1, 2018;
- 4. grant Otter Tail a variance to Minnesota Rules part 7820.3500 (K) and a variance to Minnesota Rules part 7825.2600 for one year after the issue date of the Commission's *Order* in the present docket; and
- 5. require Otter Tail to submit a compliance filing, within 10 days of the issue date of the *Order* in the present docket, with revised tariff sheets reflecting the Commission's determinations in this matter.

In response to the Company's proposal carry forward net benefits, the Department concluded that the Commission should first determine whether it has the authority to do so. If the Commission finds that it has authority over OTP's request to carry forward net benefits, the

Analyst assigned: Danielle Winner

Page 2

Commission should deny the Company's request. The Department argued that OTP's proposal would undermine the Commission-approved 2017 Shared Savings financial incentive cap (40% of CIP spending) and has the potential to raise certain policy questions about how this type of carry-forward would impact investment in conservation if carry-forward requests were to be pursued year after year.

Otter Tail submitted Reply Comments on June 13<sup>th</sup>, 2018 (June 13<sup>th</sup> Reply Comments) modifying its proposal. Rather than requesting that the Commission approve the carry-forward of net benefits from 2017 to 2018, the Company instead requested that the Commission approve the Company's 2017 budgeted expenditures of \$775,483 for its Company-Owned Street and Area LED Lighting Program (LED Program), <sup>1</sup> rather than the Company's actual 2017 LED Program expenditures of \$0, for purposes of calculating the financial incentive. In other words, the 40%-of-CIP-expenditures cap limiting the financial incentive would be calculated based on actual CIP expenditures plus the budgeted, but not yet incurred, costs for the LED Program. Otter Tail appeared only to propose including the budgeted spend for purposes of calculating the financial incentive, and not to recover the budgeted expenses until expenditures are actually incurred.

In its June 13<sup>th</sup> Reply Comments, the Company noted the following:

- That the Company intended to spend the full budget in 2017, but was unable to because of regulatory delays associated with Docket E017/M-17-152 (LED filing);
- That the Company's financial incentive was significantly impacted as a result of these delays;
- That the Company achieved a record percentage of savings in 2017 and should be adequately compensated for these achievements;
- That the Company believes the Commission has authority to grant this request; and
- That the request meets the statutory criteria for Commission decisions regarding the financial incentive.

In these Response Comments, the Department responds to each of these points.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Docket No. E017/M-17-152.

Analyst assigned: Danielle Winner

Page 3

### II. DEPARTMENT RESPONSE TO OTTER TAIL'S JUNE 13<sup>TH</sup> REPLY COMMENTS

A. THE COMPANY INTENDED TO SPEND THE FULL BUDGET IN 2017, BUT WAS UNABLE TO BECAUSE OF REGULATORY DELAYS ASSOCIATED WITH THE LED FILING

The Department understands and appreciates the Company's concerns. However, from the Department's perspective, the complexity of the LED filing was such that it warranted extensive review and additional information gathering. Specifically, the Department notes the following:

- The costs associated with the Company's Street and Area Lighting program are recovered through three separate mechanisms: through the Street Lighting rates, through the CIP tracker, and through a future rate case;
- The Company proposed (and was permitted) to apply the revenue requirement of its old lights to the newly installed lights, despite the fact that the marginal cost of the new lights was significantly lower than the revenue requirement of the old lights;
- The Company was eligible to earn a rebate on new LEDs installed, but ineligible to count LED savings and net benefits towards earning the financial incentive;
- Although the Company had depreciated the disposal and removal costs of the old lights, there were cost overruns that had not been adequately captured in the depreciated values, and so the Company proposed (and was permitted to) recover the cost overruns associated with the old lights through the CIP tracker rather than through depreciation rates, as is typical;
- The Company proposed (and was permitted to) earn a rate of return of 7.5056% on the incremental costs associated with the new lights through the CIP tracker, since it will not begin recovery of the capital costs of those facilities until the next rate case.

Further, the Department notes that in its September 28, 2017 Comments in the LED filing, the Company amended its filing to reflect the fact that the program would not be started in 2017, and sought (and was granted) Commission approval to start the program in 2018.

The Department spent months working with Otter Tail to ensure that the Company could be reasonably compensated for the program without violating ratemaking principles or double-recovering from ratepayers. However, since there were many recovery mechanisms at play with street lighting, this was a complicated task. Due to this fact, and the fact that during the LED proceeding the Company itself proposed to begin the program in 2018 rather than 2017, the Department does not see the unforeseen timeline as a reason to grant the Company's request.

Analyst assigned: Danielle Winner

Page 4

### B. THE COMPANY'S FINANCIAL INCENTIVE WAS SIGNIFICANTLY IMPACTED

The Department agrees that Otter Tail's Shared Savings incentive would have been higher had the Company spent more money in 2017. However, the Company can count the spending towards the 2018 expenditure cap; and as the LED Program is expected to incur costs for five years, the associated incentive will simply be shifted to the year(s) in which the costs are incurred.

# C. THE COMPANY ACHIEVED A RECORD PERCENTAGE OF SAVINGS IN 2017 AND SHOULD BE ADEQUATELY COMPENSATED FOR THESE ACHIEVEMENTS

The Department commends the Company for its historic energy savings as measured as a percent of retail sales. However, as noted in the Department's May 30<sup>th</sup> Comments:

Further, the Company achieved its highest percentage of energy savings to date at 3.01 percent. However, the Department notes that this is not due to increased savings (the numerator in the percent savings equation), which actually declined by approximately 5 million kWh from 2016 to 2017. Instead, the reported 3.01 percent savings is largely due to the aforementioned exemption of two large CIP customers, which reduced the weathernormalized 3-year average retail sales figure (the denominator in the percent savings equation).

Therefore, while Otter Tail's 2017 first-year energy savings measured as a percent of retail sales is the Company's highest ever, the Company had higher first-year energy savings as measured as a unit of energy (kWh) in 2016.<sup>2</sup> The Department is not sympathetic to OTP's argument.

### D. THE COMPANY BELIEVES THE COMMISSION HAS AUTHORITY TO GRANT THIS REQUEST

Utilities file annual CIP status updates with the Deputy Commissioner of Commerce; these status updates include actual spending. The Deputy Commissioner reviews these status updates, including the spending, and then approves, modifies or rejects them.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> As seen in Attachment A, Table 1, of the Department's May 30<sup>th</sup> Comments, Otter Tail achieved energy savings of 57,497,167 kWh in 2016 and has claimed energy savings of 52,497,167 kWh in 2017. The 2017 energy savings has yet to be reviewed by the Department's CIP staff and Deputy Commissioner.

Analyst assigned: Danielle Winner

Page 5

Minnesota Statute § 216B.241, Subd. 2b states: "The commission shall allow a utility to recover expenses resulting from a conservation improvement program required by the department and contributions and assessments to the energy and conservation account, unless the recovery would be inconsistent with a financial incentive proposal approved by the commission."

The Company's proposal that the Commission allow budgeted rather than only actual CIP expenditures to be used as a basis for the cap on the financial incentive could be seen as a divergence from the Deputy Commissioner's approved CIP spending. However, the Company's request is not for purposes of *recovery* of that spending; instead, the proposal is only for purposes of calculating the expenditures-based financial incentive cap.

Therefore, since the Company's proposal appears to relate solely to the financial incentive, the Department concludes that the Commission may have authority to grant or deny this request. However, since Minnesota Statutes do not explicitly address this situation specifically, the Department concludes that the Commission first needs to determine whether it has authority to shift a portion of Commerce Commissioner-approved CIP expenses to a different year for purposes of calculating the cap on a utility's financial incentive.

E. THAT THE COMPANY'S REQUEST MEETS THE STATUTORY CRITERIA FOR COMMISSION DECISIONS REGARDING THE FINANCIAL INCENTIVE

As noted in the Department's May 30<sup>th</sup> Comments, Minnesota Statute § 216B.16 subd. 6c outlines the factors the Commission must consider when approving incentive plans. If the Commission determines that it has authority in regards to the Company's proposal, the Commission could evaluate the merits of the proposal using the factors given in that statute. The Department provides the following analysis based on the criteria contained in Minnesota Statute § 216B.16, subd. 6c.

(1) whether the plan is likely to increase utility investment in cost-effective energy conservation;

The Company's proposal does not meet this criteria.

The Department notes that the Company has already begun cost-effective investment in the LED Program. Denying the Company's proposal would not halt the program, nor would it discourage the Company from achieving the greatest savings possible through the program. Approving Otter Tail's proposal will not increase investment in cost-effective savings.

(2) whether the plan is compatible with the interest of utility ratepayers and other interested parties;

Analyst assigned: Danielle Winner

Page 6

The Company's proposal does not meet this criteria because it provides a significantly higher incentive than calculated under approved rules without providing any additional value to ratepayers.

(3) whether the plan links the incentive to the utility's performance in achieving cost-effective conservation;

This proposal does not meet this criteria. Otter Tail did not save energy from the LED Program in 2017, and so allowing budgeted spending in 2017 to count towards the financial incentive expenditure cap would actually de-link the incentive and the utility's performance.

(4) whether the plan is in conflict with other provisions of this chapter.

The Company's proposal appears to meet this criteria. The Department is unaware of any conflicts with any other provisions of this chapter.

The Department concludes that Otter Tail's proposal to count the 2017 budgeted expenditures associated with the LED program in the Company's 2017 CIP expenditures for the purposes of calculating its financial incentive cap does not meet the criteria of Minnesota Statute § 216B.16 subd. 6c.

### III. ADDITIONAL DEPARTMENT OBSERVATION REGARDING THE COMPANY'S PROPOSAL

The Commission approved the recovery of \$775,483 in Year 1 of Otter Tail's LED Program. In the Company's June 13<sup>th</sup> Reply Comments, Otter Tail stated that this amount is what the Company proposed to apply towards the expenditure cap. The Department disagrees with this proposal.

The Department notes that the \$775,483 budget for 2017 includes the following:

Description	Amount (\$)
CIP Program Evaluation	\$3,000
CIP Rebate	\$178,572
Administrative Costs (non-labor)	\$125,000
Retirement and Disposal Costs	\$432,803
Return on Incremental Costs of New Lights	\$36,108
Total Recovery through CIP Tracker	\$775,483

Analyst assigned: Danielle Winner

Page 7

The Department notes that a public utility is eligible for rebates offered by its own CIP program for projects pursued at its own facilities; however, the utility is not permitted to recover a Shared Savings financial incentive for energy savings associated with CIP projects at its own facilities. Therefore, while it is appropriate for the Company to recover the CIP Rebate through the CIP tracker, the Department concludes that it is inappropriate for Otter Tail to count the CIP rebate as a CIP expense when calculating its financial incentive cap.

Similarly, in the course of the LED filing proceeding, the Department and the Commission were sensitive to the fact that while the Company will continue to recover the costs of the old street lighting equipment through the existing LED rates, the incremental costs of the new lights will not be added to rate base until the Company's next rate case. Therefore, the Commission allowed the Company to earn a rate of return on the incremental costs of the new lights through the CIP tracker. While the Department agrees that the Commission's decision appropriately acknowledges the policy goal of encouraging conservation while adhering to ratemaking principles, the rate of return applied to the incremental costs of the new lights is normally recovered through base rates and not the CIP tracker. A rate of return is not considered a CIP expense. Therefore, the rate of return on the incremental costs of the new lights should not be counted when calculating Otter Tail's financial incentive expenditure cap.

Therefore, the Department concludes that the Company should not be permitted to count either the costs of the CIP Rebate or the Rate of Return on the New Lights towards the financial incentive expenditure cap. Should the Commission approve Otter Tail's request to count the budgeted amounts associated with the LED Program in total CIP expenses used to calculate the financial incentive cap, the Department recommends that the budgeted amount allowed be limited to \$560,803.

### IV. DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

The Department recommends that the Commission:

- approve Otter Tail's 2017 CIP tracker account, as summarized in Table 1 on page 9 of the Department's May 30, 2018 Comments, resulting in a December 31, 2017 tracker balance of \$7,362,345;
- 2. approve a Shared Savings financial incentive of \$2,642,360 for Otter Tail's 2017 CIP achievements;
- 3. approve a CCRA of \$0.00600 per kWh, to be effective October 1, 2018, or the first day of the month following the Commission's Order in this matter;

Analyst assigned: Danielle Winner

Page 8

- 4. grant Otter Tail a variance to Minnesota Rules part 7820.3500 (K) and a variance to Minnesota Rules part 7825.2600 for one year after the issue date of the Commission's Order in the present docket; and
- 5. require Otter Tail to submit a compliance filing, within 10 days of the issue date of the Order in the present docket, with revised tariff sheets reflecting the Commission's determinations in this matter.

In addition, the Department recommends that if the Commission determines that it has the authority to allow OTP to count budgeted, in addition to actual, CIP expenditures when calculating the financial incentive cap, the Commission should deny the Company's proposal to do so. Further, the Department recommends that, in future CIP tracker and financial incentive filings, the Commission direct the Company to remove from the expenditures cap calculation the amounts associated with the CIP rebates received by the Company and any allowed rate of return applied to costs associated with CIP projects involving Company facilities.

/ja

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sharon Ferguson, hereby certify that I have this day, served copies of the following document on the attached list of persons by electronic filing, certified mail, e-mail, or by depositing a true and correct copy thereof properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States Mail at St. Paul, Minnesota.

Minnesota Department of Commerce Response Comments

Docket No. E017/M-18-119

Dated this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of July 2018

/s/Sharon Ferguson

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Christopher	Anderson	canderson@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St  Duluth,  MN  558022191	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Tom	Balster	tombalster@alliantenergy.c om	Interstate Power & Light Company	PO Box 351 200 1st St SE Cedar Rapids, IA 524060351	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Lisa	Beckner	lbeckner@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St  Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
William	Black	bblack@mmua.org	MMUA	Suite 400 3025 Harbor Lane No Plymouth, MN 554475142	Electronic Service tth	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Christina	Brusven	cbrusven@fredlaw.com	Fredrikson Byron	200 S 6th St Ste 4000  Minneapolis, MN 554021425	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Charlie	Buck	charlie.buck@oracle.com	Oracle	760 Market St FL 4  San Francisco, CA 94102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Ray	Choquette	rchoquette@agp.com	Ag Processing Inc.	12700 West Dodge Road PO Box 2047 Omaha, NE 68103-2047	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.st ate.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1800 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
George	Crocker	gwillc@nawo.org	North American Water Office	PO Box 174  Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Carl	Cronin	Regulatory.records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7  Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Jill	Curran	jcurran@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Waste Wise	400 Robert Street North Suite 1500 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Leigh	Currie	lcurrie@mncenter.org	Minnesota Center for Environmental Advocacy	26 E. Exchange St., Suite 206 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
lan	Dobson	residential.utilities@ag.stat e.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012130	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Steve	Downer	sdowner@mmua.org	MMUA	3025 Harbor Ln N Ste 400  Plymouth,  MN  554475142	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Charles	Drayton	charles.drayton@enbridge.com	Enbridge Energy Company, Inc.	7701 France Ave S Ste 600  Edina, MN 55435	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Jim	Erchul	jerchul@dbnhs.org	Daytons Bluff Neighborhood Housing Sv.	823 E 7th St St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
James C.	Erickson	jericksonkbc@gmail.com	Kelly Bay Consulting	17 Quechee St Superior, WI 54880-4421	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Greg	Ernst	gaernst@q.com	G. A. Ernst & Associates, Inc.	2377 Union Lake Trl  Northfield, MN 55057	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Melissa S	Feine	melissa.feine@semcac.org	SEMCAC	PO Box 549 204 S Elm St Rushford, MN 55971	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280  Saint Paul,  MN  551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Bruce	Gerhardson	bgerhardson@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	PO Box 496 215 S Cascade St Fergus Falls, MN 565380496	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Angela E.	Gordon	angela.e.gordon@lmco.co m	Lockheed Martin	1000 Clark Ave. St. Louis, MO 63102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Pat	Green	N/A	N Energy Dev	City Hall 401 E 21st St Hibbing, MN 55746	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Jason	Grenier	jgrenier@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	215 South Cascade Street  Fergus Falls, MN 56537	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Stephan	Gunn	sgunn@appliedenergygrou p.com	Applied Energy Group	1941 Pike Ln  De Pere, WI 54115	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Jeffrey	Haase	jhaase@grenergy.com	Great River Energy	12300 Elm Creek Blvd Maple Grove, MN 55369	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Tony	Hainault	anthony.hainault@co.henn epin.mn.us	Hennepin County DES	701 4th Ave S Ste 700  Minneapolis, MN 55415-1842	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Tyler	Hamman	tylerh@bepc.com	Basin Electric Power Cooperative	1717 E Interstate Ave  Bismarck, ND 58501	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Patty	Hanson	phanson@rpu.org	Rochester Public Utilities	4000 E River Rd NE Rochester, MN 55906	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Norm	Harold	N/A	NKS Consulting	5591 E 180th St  Prior Lake, MN 55372	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Scott	Hautala	scotth@hpuc.com	Hibbing Public Utilities	1902 E 6th Ave Hibbing, MN 55746	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Kimberly	Hellwig	kimberly.hellwig@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Jared	Hendricks	hendricksj@owatonnautiliti es.com	Owatonna Public Utilities	PO Box 800 208 S Walnut Ave Owatonna, MN 55060-2940	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Shane	Henriksen	shane.henriksen@enbridge .com	Enbridge Energy Company, Inc.	1409 Hammond Ave FL 2 Superior, WI 54880	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Holly	Hinman	holly.r.hinman@xcelenergy .com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall, 7th Floor  Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Karolanne	Hoffman	kmh@dairynet.com	Dairyland Power Cooperative	PO Box 817 La Crosse, WI 54602-0817	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Jim	Horan	Jim@MREA.org	Minnesota Rural Electric Association	11640 73rd Ave N Maple Grove, MN 55369	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Dave	Johnson	dave.johnson@aeoa.org	Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency	702 3rd Ave S Virginia, MN 55792	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Deborah	Knoll	dknoll@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St  Duluth,  MN  55802	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Tina	Koecher	tkoecher@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St  Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Kelly	Lady	kellyl@austinutilities.com	Austin Utilities	400 4th St NE  Austin, MN 55912	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Douglas	Larson	dlarson@dakotaelectric.co m	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W Farmington, MN 55024	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Erica	Larson	erica.larson@centerpointen ergy.com	CenterPoint Energy	505 Nicollet Avenue P.O. Box 59038 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55459-0038	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
James D.	Larson	james.larson@avantenergy .com	Avant Energy Services	220 S 6th St Ste 1300  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Martin	Lepak	Martin.Lepak@aeoa.org	Arrowhead Economic Opportunity	702 S 3rd Ave Virginia, MN 55792	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Kavita	Maini	kmaini@wi.rr.com	KM Energy Consulting LLC	961 N Lost Woods Rd Oconomowoc, WI 53066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Nick	Mark	nick.mark@centerpointener gy.com	CenterPoint Energy	505 Nicollet Mall  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Scot	McClure	scotmcclure@alliantenergy.com	Interstate Power And Light Company	4902 N Biltmore Ln PO Box 77007 Madison, WI 537071007	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
John McV	McWilliams	jmm@dairynet.com	Dairyland Power Cooperative	3200 East Ave SPO Box 817 La Crosse,	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
				WI 54601-7227			
Brian	Meloy	brian.meloy@stinson.com	Stinson,Leonard, Street LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St  Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200  Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Susan K	Nathan	snathan@appliedenergygro up.com	Applied Energy Group	2215 NE 107th Ter  Kansas City,  MO 64155-8513	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Carl	Nelson	cnelson@mncee.org	Center for Energy and Environment	212 3rd Ave N Ste 560  Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Samantha	Norris	samanthanorris@alliantene rgy.com	Interstate Power and Light Company	200 1st Street SE PO Box 351 Cedar Rapids, IA 524060351	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Matt	Okeefe	Matt.okeefe@oracle.com	Oracle	760 Market St FL 4  San Francisco, CA 94102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Audrey	Partridge	apartridge@mncee.org	Center for Energy and Environment	212 3rd Ave. N. Suite 560  Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Lisa	Pickard	Ipickard@minnkota.com	Minnkota Power Cooperative	1822 Mill Rd PO Box 13200 Grand Forks, ND 582083200	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Bill	Poppert	info@technologycos.com	Technology North	2433 Highwood Ave St. Paul, MN 55119	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Kathleen A	Prestidge	Kathy.Prestidge@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 S 6th St Ste 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Dave	Reinke	dreinke@dakotaelectric.co m	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W Farmington, MN 55024-9583	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Larry L.	Schedin	Larry@LLSResources.com	LLS Resources, LLC	332 Minnesota St, Ste W1390 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Christopher	Schoenherr	cp.schoenherr@smmpa.or g	SMMPA	500 First Ave SW Rochester, MN 55902-3303	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Cindy	Schweitzer Rott	cindy.schweitzer@clearesu lt.com	CLEAResult's	S12637A Merrilee Rd.  Spring Green, WI 53588	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.c om	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Anna	Sommer	anna@sommerenergy.com	Sommer Energy LLC	PO Box 766 Grand Canyon, AZ 86023	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Russ	Stark	Russ.Stark@ci.stpaul.mn.u s	City of St. Paul	390 City Hall 15 West Kellogg Boul Saint Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service evard	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Cary	Stephenson	cStephenson@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	215 South Cascade Street  Fergus Falls,  MN  56537	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Stuart	Tommerdahl	stommerdahl@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	215 S Cascade St PO Box 496 Fergus Falls, MN 56537	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Kodi	Verhalen	kverhalen@briggs.com	Briggs & Morgan	2200 IDS Center 80 South Eighth Stree Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Michael	Volker	mvolker@eastriver.coop	East River Electric Power Coop	211 S. Harth Ave  Madison, SD 57042	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Sharon N.	Walsh	swalsh@shakopeeutilities.c om	Shakopee Public Utilties	255 Sarazin St Shakopee, MN 55379	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Ethan	Warner	ethan.warner@centerpoint energy.com	CenterPoint Energy	505 Nicollet Mall  Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Robyn	Woeste	robynwoeste@alliantenerg y.com	Interstate Power and Light Company	200 First St SE  Cedar Rapids, IA 52401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_18-119_M-18-119