PUBLIC COMMENTS MONDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2010 2:00 p.m. In the Matter of the Pleasant Valley Wind, LLC, Applications for a Large Wind Energy Conversion System Site Permit and a Certificate of Need for the Pleasant Valley Wind Project in Dodge and Mower Counties PUC Docket Numbers: IP-6828/WS-09-1197 IP-6828/CN-09-937 Windmill Hotel and Conference Center 120 State Highway 16 Dexter, Minnesota

			2
1		INDEX	
2	SPEAKER		PAGE
Ζ	Larry Klocke		3
3	Larry Hartman		4
4	Steve Breza		7
5	Christina Peterson Bruce Bono (phonetic)		8 9
0	Paul Johnson		12
6	Debbie Eadie lim Laumann		13 16
7	Steve Bungum		17
8	Ray Tucker		20 23
0	Margaret Kirschner		26
9	Jim Gronseth Neil Knutson		27 31
10	Margaret Kirschner		33
11	VaLane Tyler Jim Paulson		33 36
	Margaret Kirschner		39
12	Larry Klocke		39 41
13	Jane Jewell		41
14	Steve Groth (phonetic)		42 45
	Debbie Eadie		56
15	Jean Nelson Margaret Kirschner		63 64
16			01
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	1		

Г

MS. BJORKLUND: So, with that, I'd like 1 2 to open this up to comments and questions, and Larry here has a microphone. 3 4 Yes. 5 MR. KLOCKE: Larry Klocke, K-L-O-C-K-E. My question is -- one of my main concerns 6 is the light flicker from the blades and the 7 sunlight. I've got great concern about that as 8 9 these towers start to pop up. 10 I've talked to some people that have 11 experienced that from the towers that went up 12 recently down here by Dexter. He said it's very 13 irritating and he's not getting any compensation for 14 them because it wasn't on his property, it's across 15 the road from him. And with the low sunrises and 16 sunsets and the sun -- the height of the sun all 17 winter, he watches TV and constantly gets this 18 flick, flick, flick, flick --19 MS. BJORKLUND: Okay. 20 MR. KLOCKE: -- all day long, and I've 21 great concern about that. And the other great 22 concern I have is the loss of television reception. 23 It is mentioned in your -- on that CD. When I was 24 reading through it, there is some mentioning of 25 But what about taking care of it after the that.

4 1 issue arises? 2 MS. BJORKLUND: Okay. MR. KLOCKE: That's all I have. 3 4 MS. BJORKLUND: And we'll certainly take 5 these comments when we're preparing our report. If you'd like, I can give 6 MR. HARTMAN: him a partial answer to that. 7 MS. BJORKLUND: Oh, go ahead. 8 9 MR. HARTMAN: Is this working 10 (indicating)? 11 MR. KLOCKE: I turned it off. MR. HARTMAN: 12 Mute. The issue with 13 shadow flicker we're hearing a little bit more about 14 lately, and typically shadow flicker can extend up 15 to about ten rotor diameters. On the GE turbine, that would be 70-meter blades, that would be 252 16 17 feet, I believe. I want to say the blades on the 18 Siemens turbine are a little bit longer. 19 Most of the -- I guess the significant 20 portion of the shadow flicker is probably within 21 about 1,000 feet of the structure. And now if you 22 look -- if you consider the prevailing winds 23 typically being northwest to south, the turbines are 24 typically more aligned east to west or 25 northeast/southwest.

Shadow flicker is going to be worse early 1 2 in the morning, late -- or I guess around sunset, 3 and it's typically worse this time of year when the 4 days are shorter. There aren't any standards of 5 shadow flicker that I'm aware of in the United States. 6 7 I believe Wisconsin has imposed a limit 8 of up to ten hours for shadow flicker, and Germany I 9 think is perhaps the only place in the world that 10 might have adopted standards. And they allow up to 11 30 hours per year, and that's contingent on five different things. 12 13 One, the sun is always shining, so they 14 basically calculate it, it's sunny year 'round. Ιf 15 you live in Minnesota you know that's not true, it's 16 not true in Germany either. They also assume you're 17 awake, you're in your house, the curtains are open, 18 and the turbines are running. So with that, they 19 limit it to 30 hours per year over a residence. 20 Now, there are some components in some of 21 the wind farm design packages that would allow you 22 to calculate the shadow flicker. So if you know the 23 latitude and longitude of the turbine and where the 24 house is, you can run the calculations of what the 25 shadow flicker exposure might be.

1 It's considered more of a nuisance, it's not a -- that's what it's been perceived as so far, 2 is a nuisance. I mean, obviously if there are 3 4 certain things that are done, landscaping for 5 example, it can limit that. There are a few other 6 factors that can be done, also. But that's just maybe kind of a quick 7 overview of the shadow flicker and that's something 8 9 that's probably addressed in more detail in the site 10 permitting process and can also be looked at in the 11 environmental report, also. 12 MS. BJORKLUND: Do we have any more 13 questions or comments? That's the purpose of the 14 meeting today, is to incorporate any of your 15 comments into the record. You can also mail in your 16 comments, of course. 17 MR. ERICKSON: I have one. 18 MS. BJORKLUND: Sure. 19 MR. ERICKSON: And I'll just talk real 20 My name is John Erickson. I'm the city loud. 21 engineer in Austin. And we would just request that 22 the scope of the environmental report include and 23 identify any impacts on the Austin Municipal 24 Airport. 25 MS. BJORKLUND: Okay.

1 MR. HARTMAN: Oh, excuse me, I forgot to address your concerns about radio and TV reception. 2 3 Typically our permit is structured in such a way 4 that the applicant or permittee is supposed to 5 comply with all FCC standards, and there should not 6 be any degradation of your TV reception. A lot of times there might be microwave 7 8 beam path setbacks. They'll also go out and do 9 measurements regarding signal strength reception. 10 And if they have a baseline as to what that is, they 11 can tell what it is afterwards. And oftentimes if 12 you use a high-gain directional antenna, that might 13 solve the problem. Otherwise they can provide satellite dishes, is another option, and that's at 14 15 their expense. 16 So there are ways of addressing that. 17 It's easiest if the problem doesn't occur, but there 18 are mitigation approaches to addressing that. 19 MS. BJORKLUND: Other comments and 20 questions? 21 MR. BREZA: Yeah. Back here 22 (indicating). I'd like to know what I benefit out 23 of them. There's two that are proposed to go within 24 a quarter mile of my property, but they're not on my 25 property, what do I benefit out of this, what am I

8 1 getting? MS. BJORKLUND: Can we get your name? 2 MR. BREZA: Steve Breza. 3 4 MS. BJORKLUND: Can you spell your last 5 name? MR. BREZA: B-R-E-Z-A, Junior. 6 7 MS. BJORKLUND: Okay. Well, the 8 county -- there will be a -- taxes paid to the county from the production -- from the project. And 9 10 those taxes paid into county do benefit everybody in 11 that county. So that's one benefit that you will 12 receive from having the wind farm near your area --13 or in your county. 14 That's it, huh? MR. BREZA: 15 MS. BJORKLUND: Yes. If you could just 16 say your name. MS. PETERSON: I'm Christina Peterson, 17 18 I'm his girlfriend. We just bought our house three 19 years ago. If we had known then the towers were 20 going to go up, we wouldn't have bought the place. 21 We don't want the towers, you know. The taxes paid 22 to the county doesn't mean anything to us, we don't 23 want them in our visibility of our property, you 24 know. 25 And I guess I wasn't certainly happy with

9 1 your response to the TV and the flickering, because it all sounds like it's more money that he has to 2 spend to fix something that you --3 4 MR. HARTMAN: No. It's not his 5 responsibility to fix it. MS. PETERSON: Well, not to fix it but --6 7 so they're going to pay for a satellite if he needs to put up a satellite? 8 9 MR. HARTMAN: Some companies have done 10 that, yes. 11 MS. PETERSON: And they're going to pay 12 for the monthly bill? 13 MR. HARTMAN: Yes. 14 MS. BJORKLUND: We'll take your comments 15 into consideration, definitely. 16 Yes, go ahead. 17 Larry, right behind you. Larry, right 18 behind you. 19 MR. BONO: From the economic standpoints 20 as you're going to build these, how about jobs, are 21 we going to have any jobs? Right now that's a hot 22 topic across the country, it is right here in 23 southeast Minnesota. And we've seen jobs, there's 24 been jobs with the other windmills that have gone by 25 here and down in Iowa.

What do you -- I'm curious, from your 1 standpoint of a project like this, how many 2 people would you hire extra, or would you bring them 3 4 in from Colorado or would you hire locally, are you 5 looking for certain skills? These are all things that the people in our area would really be 6 interested in. 7 You know, and beyond taxes, what are the 8 9 economic impacts with jobs and how many jobs would 10 there be? 11 MS. BJORKLUND: I'm going to -- yes, jobs 12 will be created. I'm going to defer to Paul on 13 specifically what their plans are. 14 UNIDENTIFIED: How about his name? 15 MR. HARTMAN: I can perhaps give a, 16 maybe, generic answer, it may be more specific. 17 Regarding jobs, on a project this size, I imagine 18 there might be as many as three to four hundred 19 workers, would be a guess. 20 Now, there are companies -- for example, 21 the company that built Grand Meadow and Wapsipinicon 22 was called Mortenson, they're based out of 23 Minneapolis. They have about two to three hundred 24 people in their wind energy staff right now. Thev 25 can conduct -- or they build a lot of wind projects

across the U.S.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

2 Typically, and I'm speaking of them only 3 and I can't speak for other general contractors, 4 they might have 15 percent of the people from their 5 staff on the job site and then about 85 percent of the workers tend to be local, or wherever they can 6 get the workers from, assuming they meet the 7 8 qualifications necessary. And that would be -- and 9 there are obviously different jobs during different 10 phases of project construction. 11 Typically, and again, coming back with

the tax point as Ingrid had mentioned, there is a tax in Minnesota, it's what's called the wind energy production tax. And, for example, a 100 megawatt project might pay about three to four hundred thousand dollars per year in production taxes. That money goes back to the county, and then the townships hosting the wind turbines get 20 percent of that the way it's set up currently.

20 Originally, it was 80 percent county; 21 school districts, seven percent; and townships, 13. 22 A few years ago, legislation was enacted that took 23 the money away from the school districts and that 24 seven percent now goes to the townships.

MS. BJORKLUND: Could you state your

name, sir?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

MR. BONO: My name is Bruce Bono (phonetic). I work for a jobs program, and we have a lot of people on unemployment right now. And we're looking for things like this where people can come in and hopefully work. I know also that at Riverland Technical

8 College there's a new class there that I think maybe 9 some of you have seen as far as wind turbine 10 maintenance. After these things are built, I'm 11 curious, are you going to hire people for 12 maintenance or do you -- I know there's some outfits 13 that come in and they basically come in for six 14 months, leave and come back, are there going to be 15 any long-term jobs for people in our area? 16 MS. BJORKLUND: Typically 0 and M is done 17 in the -- from local people that are at the site. 18 But go ahead, Paul, what are your plans? 19 MR. JOHNSON: Well, usually 0 and M and 20

20 warranty work is handled by the turbine supplier for 21 the first two to five years. And then also during 22 that period there are other staff that are hired 23 either locally or from certified programs that are 24 learning alongside of these people that are there 25 because they are the source of the turbine. And so

1 after a two- to five-year period then they are the long term O and M staff that remain at the site. 2 3 And that's -- for 50 megawatts, that can be -- on 4 a 50 -- per 50 megawatt basis, that can be two to 5 four people per 50 megawatts. So for 300, that would be six times that amount. So --6 7 MS. BJORKLUND: Any other questions and 8 comments? This is your opportunity to speak out, 9 this is what the meeting is for, and we appreciate 10 your comments. 11 MS. EADIE: My name is Debbie Eadie, 12 E-A-D-I-E. I live in Australia, and I'm really 13 unaccustomed to standing up in front of a room full 14 of people like this. So if I seem like I'm 15 stuttering a little bit or I'm a little awkward, 16 please forgive me. 17 My parents live on the road between 18 Elkton and Dexter here. And before I say anything, 19 I'm a huge, huge fan of wind energy. I think it's 20 really good for our future and I think it's really 21 good for our children and our children's children to 22 be able to harness something that's just -- God gave 23 us already. 24 You know, but the thing that I would like 25 to say is my parents were originally contacted about

it, and they don't have any turbines on their land, 1 but they're right across the road. And they were 2 3 told originally that they wouldn't be directly 4 across the road from them. So when they saw the 5 road going in, they didn't think that much about it because they thought it would be further down. 6 7 And the wind -- they've got multiple wind 8 turbines right across from them, the closest one is 9 at the minimum that can it be. And I have to say 10 that everyone that I've spoke to, from the 11 executives and the staff, have been very helpful and 12 friendly. 13 I have to say that everybody that I've 14 had contact with -- and I called people when I was 15 in Australia because my parents were very upset 16 about the look of it and the sound of it. They also 17 have the flicker, and I do not believe that you 18 should have to pull your curtains to stop the 19 flicker. I don't think that that's right. 20 I'm a fan of wind turbines, but I think 21 that -- what I would like to say to everybody here, 22 if you're going to one have one that's going to go 23 near you or across from you, then I don't think we 24 should not have them, but I think we should. But I 25 think you should talk to somebody before they go up

because it's very hard to take them down once they're up.

1

2

So I also think that what -- I myself can hear that it does sound like because I've spent a lot of time on airplanes coming from Australia and the sound that you can hear when they're going is like the sound you hear inside of a plane when it's going.

9 Okay. And I also believe that it does 10 affect your property value. As somebody that's 11 going to inherit my father's farm, it will make a 12 difference because people have said when they've 13 been there when they come to visit my parents, oh, 14 that's a shame you have that right across the road 15 and listen to it all the time.

So I actually never wanted to sell my father's farm. I'd love to live there, but I don't like the look of the one right across the road, and I actually would love them to take it down. The visual aspect, it is right directly across from their farm.

If you want to have a look when you're going through between Elkton and Dexter, it's the -the, kind of, path goes this way (indicating), but there's several that are real close to the road and

16 also those are the ones by his house. 1 I don't want to seem like I'm against 2 wind power because I'm not. I think that you should 3 4 really, really look carefully when they're going to 5 go near you to see how it's going to affect you. Thanks. 6 7 MS. BJORKLUND: Thank you. 8 Other comments and questions? 9 Yes, go ahead. 10 MR. LAUMANN: My name is Jim Laumann. Ι 11 live in Dodge County, the southern edge of it. L - A - U - M - A - N - N. 12 13 The concerns I have are property values. 14 I own a small acreage, I'm surrounded by neighbors, 15 some of which are here, which have signed up with 16 RES for contracting purposes, more power to them. 17 But my land, my ten acres, what are these windmill 18 towers going to do to the property values? 19 Like most small -- like all property 20 owners, that's your wealth. 20 years from now, I go 21 to retire, that windmill's sitting a quater mile 22 away, is another prospective buyer going to come by 23 and say, oh, I don't want to buy this place, it's 24 got that windmill there. 25 Second off, the study that was set out by

1 McGhie and Betts where they talk about wildlife, I believe it's section 6.18.1, talks about the effect 2 to wildlife. They talk about deer, small game, 3 4 What they don't talk about is the effect on geese. 5 songbirds and birds of prey. This area is full of Red-tailed hawks, great horned owls, 6 them. songbirds, you name it. 7 A lot of those birds are migratory. 8 The 9 birds of prey, they stay here year 'round. But the 10 birds of prey, they're soaring. Are they going to 11 have to have who-knows-what sense developed in them 12 to stop them from getting struck by these blades? 13 They were here first. And no, I'm not an 14 environmentalist, but I do have these concerns. 15 Thank you. 16 MS. BJORKLUND: Well, thank you very 17 much. And, again, we'll take your consideration --18 we'll take your comments under consideration as 19 we're going through this regulatory process. 20 They're important comments. 21 Other comments and questions? 22 Yes, go ahead. Please state your name. 23 MR. BUNGUM: Steve Bungum, B-U-N-G-U-M. 24 My concern is the township roads. Let's assume this 25 project goes through, we're close enough, we live on

a township road. It's a gravel road, and for it to 1 be maintained, you know, on a regular basis, I know 2 you're going to put it back better than it was, but 3 4 how about during the project itself? 5 I mean, how much -- is it going to be impassable? I know some of the roads in the Dexter 6 area, Grand Meadow area, half of them, four days at 7 8 People have to get down the roads. We want a time. 9 to know how it's going to be correlated, you know, 10 and how we'll be notified and how that's going to 11 take shape. 12 MR. HARTMAN: Thank you. I can perhaps 13 respond to that. Well, this is probably the fourth 14 or fifth wind project for Mower County. A lot of 15 the road engineers have had lengthy discussions 16 about this, and there's a group of road engineers 17 that meet on a fairly regular basis and they 18 developed kind of best practices. 19 Now, one of the issues they're confronted with, for example, they'll have to authorize and the 20 21 company will have to obtain permits to use roads 22 So it will be a number of working agreements. also. 23 Now, in some cases, I know in Freeborn 24 County, for example, the townships have delegated 25 their authority to the county highway engineer for

the wind project that's going to be built over there by Wisconsin Power and Light called Bent Tree. The road engineers have developed kind of a -- maybe kind of a best-practices kind of a document they'll give to developers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Now, I know they've adopted that one as a guidance document for the Bent Tree project. And I think as the road engineers have encountered more and more projects, they try to -- well, they keep adding things or trying to find ways -- find ways to make things work better regarding that.

Now, obviously, because we're working
with a section of the township roads here, generally
every road, every mile, there might be some
inconvenience for a few days. Typically, I've
worked with other developers and they try to make -give landowners access to their property when they
need to get in or out, either access or egress.

And, again, that's something that as the project moves forward they'll work with the road engineers on also. And the road engineers will also consider what the highway plans are for the county, bridges, timing of things, what to use, what not to use because of weight restrictions based on roads that are going to be scheduled to be rebuilt.

Again, a lot of the engineers are looking 1 at, kind of, life expectancy of roads and what the 2 3 projects do due to the life they take out. And some 4 of the decisions -- I know, for example, they might 5 consider if you beat the hell out of two or three roads and just rebuild them entirely, and disperse 6 it around. 7 And that's the type of decisions that 8 9 will be worked on, I guess, the company will -- or 10 the applicant and the local permitting authority 11 will work out as best they can. Our permit gives 12 some guidance on it and we generally encourage the 13 use of the highest quality roads just because 14 they're designed to bear the weight limits. 15 MS. BJORKLUND: Thank you, Larry. 16 Other comments and questions? 17 MR. TUCKER: Yeah. I've got one. 18 MS. BJORKLUND: Yes, go ahead. State 19 your name, please. 20 MR. TUCKER: Ray Tucker. You were 21 talking about the O and M building and the 22 employment. 23 MS. BJORKLUND: Yes 24 MR. TUCKER: I noticed on the paperwork 25 you sent out, you had a general location map of

21 1 that. MS. BJORKLUND: 2 Yes. 3 MR. TUCKER: And that it was out in the 4 township. 5 MS. BJORKLUND: Um-hmm. MR. TUCKER: That would require a permit 6 7 by the county. MS. BJORKLUND: Yes. 8 MR. TUCKER: Which you probably won't get 9 10 because we'd rather have you in an industrial lot 11 already in the county as far as fire, police 12 protection, and so forth. I guess I'd always 13 thought that you may build in Sargeant. I wonder, 14 have you given that any thought? 15 MS. BJORKLUND: Well, the applicants --16 I'm not sure if RES Americas has done a final 17 determination of where the O and M building is going to be located. 18 19 Paul, do you have -- have you finalized 20 the site for the O and M building? 21 MR. JOHNSON: No, we have not. Our whole 22 layout is still somewhat fluid. We've been doing a 23 lot of survey work out in the footprints, and we've 24 also surveyed -- the whole surveying process to 25 verify the property lines and so forth so we can be

22 assured that we are complying with all required 1 setbacks and spacing of the turbines. 2 And along with that, also, the selection 3 4 of a turbine, as opposed to maintaining --5 MR. TUCKER: Well, out of the four wind farms you do have in the county, only one has an O 6 and M building out in the township, and that was for 7 very first one. Since then, they all have been 8 9 either tagged onto one of the communities, which 10 would give you the sewer/water, police and fire 11 protection, and so forth. I'd just appreciate you 12 looking at that. MS. BJORKLUND: Well, we will definitely 13 14 look at that. 15 MR. HARTMAN: Ray, as a county 16 commissioner, could I ask you a couple questions? 17 MR. TUCKER: No. 18 MR. HARTMAN: One, could you maybe as a 19 county commissioner speak about the -- I guess what 20 the existing wind farms have done to the tax base of 21 Mower County. And then the second part is just as a 22 drain tiler, could you talk about maybe -- I know 23 you repaired the drain tiles on some of these, just 24 what to expect on that from your experience. 25 MR. TUCKER: Well, from the county

23 1 standpoint on the operation tax that they give the county, last year, that would not include this last 2 wind farm, they paid the county and township and the 3 4 school district at that point, it hadn't changed 5 yet, \$860,000. So in that, that is a definite help to 6 everybody in that county. It's roughly ten percent 7 8 of our budget. If this continues on, we'll be way 9 in excess of \$1 million a year just out of the 10 production tax. 11 As you mentioned, the drain tile, of 12 course the farmers that are in this room are fully 13 aware of how that works. And if you handle it the 14 same way Mortenson did, I don't see where you'd have 15 a problem. 16 MR. HARTMAN: Thank you, Ray. 17 MR. TUCKER: Yeah. 18 MS. BJORKLUND: Any other questions or 19 comments? Also, if you have questions on the 20 process, too, the regulatory process, now's your 21 chance to --22 Go ahead, sir. Please state your name. 23 MR. GROTH: I'll get the mic first. 24 MS. BJORKLUND: Yeah. 25 MR. GROTH: My name is Steve Groth

24 (phonetic). And I have a little bit of a concern. 1 I mean, I'm concerned about this couple over here 2 with their home values, I'm concerned about that man 3 4 over here (indicating) with his property values. 5 And I also know in Wisconsin they have a system they're coming out now with a property value 6 7 protection plan. And with that, they get 8 protection. They get an assessed value on their 9 property before these things come in and if they 10 cannot stand living in this area or around that 11 thing, they get to have them bought out, these wind 12 companies get to pay them out. 13 And, you know, it's a fair thing because 14 it's forced on them. That way they can have the 15 right to leave and leave with dignity and with their 16 finances behind them -- in their pockets instead of 17 leaving it all behind. 18 The other thing I was going to mention 19 about this is that when it comes to fighting that 20 kind of thing, and there's a reason that they do it, 21 it costs them money. But the other thing is if you 22 look at the contracts that they brought to the 23 farmers to have them sign, and I've studied it, I 24 have a lot of it right here in front of me, anybody 25 that would take that contract to an attorney and

1 have them break it down, you'd find out that contract is 500 percent in their favor and nothing 2 in the farmer's favor. He's a slave. 3 4 With that contract, they can't do a thing 5 on that place without their permission. They give away all their freedom. And with that same token, 6 7 this windmill would fight back these couples here, 8 but it's fairly spoken that's the way it should be 9 to make it right for them. And anybody -- a good company, if they're a good heads-up company, they 10 11 would ensure that right to these other people. 12 UNIDENTIFIED: I agree. 13 MS. BJORKLUND: Well, thank you for your 14 I can't speak to that, to buying out the comment. 15 property. We do have a provision in Minnesota that 16 relates to transmission lines only, not wind farms, 17 and that's called the Buy the Farm Act. I don't 18 know how often that's been utilized, I think it's 19 been pretty rare, if at all. But there is nothing 20 like that in our statutes for wind at this time. 21 And as -- with respect to the easement 22 agreements, those are private agreements between two 23 private parties and the state does not involve 24 itself in those contract negotiations. 25 So -- but we will definitely take your

26 1 concerns and it's part of the record now. So thank 2 you very much. Yes, ma'am. 3 4 MS. KIRSCHNER: So I just wanted --5 MS. BJORKLUND: Your name, please. MS. KIRSCHNER: 6 My name is 7 Margaret Kirschner. MS. BJORKLUND: 8 Thank you. 9 MS. KIRSCHNER: And I'm wondering, have 10 you told the people that have signed up for these 11 windmills, if they have a windmill put on their 12 property what it's going to be zoned as? 13 MS. BJORKLUND: We do -- we will look at 14 what counties have -- what their ordinances are, 15 particularly if they've taken on --16 MS. KIRSCHNER: Well, I can tell you 17 right now Mower County will zone them as industrial, 18 they will pay taxes as industrial. But you aren't 19 telling the people that before they sign up for 20 these windmills. 21 MS. BJORKLUND: Well, we definitely look 22 at what counties are doing, and counties also have a 23 lot of opportunity to participate in this public 24 process as well to go on record and to give their 25 thoughts.

27 1 MS. KIRSCHNER: And I agree with that -with those -- with the people with the taxes, I do 2 3 think it helps the county. That's well and good, 4 but how does that help the individual? And I think 5 you better take a look at that, too. Thank you. 6 7 MS. BJORKLUND: Thank you. Thank you very much. 8 9 Other comments and questions on the 10 project or on the regulatory process? Come on, there's a lot of you here. 11 12 Go ahead. 13 MR. GRONSETH: I'm Jim Gronseth, 14 G-R-O-N-S-E-T-H. And I live a mile and a quarter 15 north of the Pleasant Valley station and now RES 16 wants to put a 138 kV by our place. And we're in 17 line from Byron to Pleasant Valley for another 161 18 kV, which brings it up to 299. 19 We've got Mower County and Freeborn going 20 by and that will all be right across the house. And 21 I've got the river on the back, so we can't move any further west. So we're stuck. What's going to 22 23 happen? Well, for the 24 MS. BJORKLUND: 25 transmission lines, they are -- the -- RES Americas

have decided to go through local review. So the counties will be permitting the transmission lines, the 138 kV lines. So you will have an opportunity to participate in the county process on that, on those transmission lines.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

25

And I know that the -- where the transmission lines are going to go, the route, has not been determined either. That's something that remains in flux and that's something they explore, what the route would be, in the permitting process. MR. GRONSETH: We've got Northern States

Power a half a mile from there, and then when you go under that, it bothers the car radio and it bothers the TVs and radios in the house.

15 MS. BJORKLUND: Yeah. There are -- and I 16 think Paul could speak to this, but I think there 17 are some existing transmission lines in the area. 18 The Pleasant Valley wind farm will need additional transmission in order to interconnect to the 19 20 transmission grid, which is very typical of wind 21 farms. They need to interconnect that energy to the 22 And usually, you know, a short line or two grids. 23 is involved in that and it's short enough where 24 they're going to undertake the local review.

But, Paul, go ahead, if you'd like to say

something about your transmission line plans. 1 I'm glad you brought 2 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. In terms of the distribution lines 3 it up. 4 throughout the footprint and where we have planned 5 transmission lines, we have been in contact with Freeborn/Mower Cooperative. And as some of you may 6 7 be aware, they have a five- or ten-year plan to eventually put more and more of their distribution 8 9 lines underground. 10 And so when we talked to them they said, 11 well, tell us where your proposed routes are and we 12 can work something out to pretty much put the 13 distribution line that's currently there 14 underground. So that will be taken away and that 15 will also improve service in terms of those lines 16 that were formerly -- or currently aboveground will 17 now be underground so that the ice storms and winds 18 and stuff can't get to them. 19 That way, it opens up the existing 20 corridor along the roads to put a transmission line. 21 And for the portion of the line that you're talking 22 about, is it John Gronseth? 23 MR. GRONSETH: Yeah. 24 MR. JOHNSON: You're correct. Xcel does 25 have a route permit to go along north/south of 680th

Avenue there. And they have contacted us about that and our -- we are getting consideration to doing a couple of things. One is sharing that corridor, but we'd do it in such a way that the spacing of the poles would not be out -- we would do it to the best we can so that we have no poles in front of any house. It would be in the little -- I guess the tree stands that are between the buffer areas, so the poles would be hopefully almost invisible. They wouldn't be in front of your house. And we have flexibility to adjust the spans between the poles to do that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 And then, secondly, we would make the 14 poles somewhat higher, about ten or 15 feet higher. 15 And then, thirdly, the amount of right-of-way that 16 would be needed is no more than what would be needed 17 if you put one line through there. Only 80 feet is 18 required by the National Electric Safety Code for 19 safe spacing, left and right, 40 feet on either side 20 of the pole. That's the electric code that's out 21 there nationally.

22 So if we did that, you'd have somewhat 23 higher poles, only one pole going through there. 24 Those poles would be spaced such that it will 25 eliminate the visual impact of that, and the fact

1 that it's somewhat higher will keep those conductors even further out of view. So that's something we're 2 3 giving consideration to. 4 And plus, the distribution line in that 5 area would be put underground, so that would go away entirely. So the distribution line, which is 6 probably the closest to the ground and there's more 7 poles to begin with because they space them much 8 9 closer together, all of that would be gone. 10 Does that help address your question? 11 MR. GRONSETH: Somewhat. 12 MS. BJORKLUND: Okay. Sir. 13 MR. KNUTSON: Neil Knutson is my name. 14 And are those transmission lines underground 15 nothing, no problem whatsoever? I've heard 16 differently. 17 Well, these are MR. JOHNSON: 18 distribution lines. The current distribution lines 19 that are out there right now that bring the power 20 right to your home, those are the shorter towers 21 that are right along the township roads and so 22 forth. By putting those underground you eliminate 23 the severe weather impacts such as ice storm 24 outages, high wind outages, by putting those --25 blowing over those structures and so forth.

32 And the way that they -- I mean, you can 1 talk to Freeborn/Mower, but undergrounding 2 distribution lines, they put them in pretty good 3 4 conduits and so forth underground, and it's probably 5 a much safer, more higher reliable way of distributing power. 6 And it's actually a benefit when you look 7 8 at it that way, because your outage time is probably 9 going to go down, your outage risk of losing power 10 during extreme weather is going to go down. 11 MR. KNUTSON: Well, it's better for the 12 line, but is it better for whoever's dealing with 13 the ground nearby? 14 MR. JOHNSON: Well, as far as the --15 MR. KNUTSON: Well, as far as electricity 16 is concerned. 17 MR. JOHNSON: Oh, it would be far better 18 insulated below ground than it would be aboveground. 19 The aboveground is -- you know, the -- well, the 20 attenuation from electromagnetics and all of this, 21 that -- there's information about that in our 22 permit. But many utilities have studied that and 23 the distance away from that, you get beyond the 24 right-of-way area of 40 feet, that influence is 25 basically nonexistent.

33 1 MS. KIRSCHNER: I have something more to 2 say on that. MS. BJORKLUND: Can you please say your 3 4 name, again? 5 MS. KIRSCHNER: Margaret Kirschner. I've done research on that with those lines and there are 6 7 very many health risks concerned with that, as far as heart and cancer both are caused from those wires 8 9 and the electricity that's given out. And I have 10 some copies of that. 11 MS. BJORKLUND: Well, again, on the 12 transmission line aspect of it, they will be going 13 through the local review for the two 138 kV lines 14 and the very short one -- one 345 kV line, that's 15 under 1,500 feet. But I think that doesn't even 16 trigger a permit because it's so short and that's 17 just to connect it to the substation. 18 We will definitely take your concerns 19 into consideration. 20 Other comments and questions? 21 Yes. Go ahead. ma'am. 22 MS. TYLER: I'm VaLane Tyler. Capital V, 23 A, capital L, A-N-E, T-Y-L-E-R. What I'm wondering 24 is with the lines put underneath the ground, are the 25 rodents going to chew on the lines and put

34 1 electricity out? Because I've heard that has happened before. 2 MS. BJORKLUND: Well, I don't really know 3 4 a scientific answer for that, but I do have lines 5 that go to my own home that are underground and I've never given it -- I've never heard of that issue. 6 But these are distribution lines that are 7 going to be buried. And those are the -- the 34.5 8 kV lines? 9 10 MR. HARTMAN: Those are the 34.5 kV. For 11 example, if you go over to Xcel's building and see a 12 bunch of that cable outside, you know, it might have 13 a steel core or a copper, and then there's sheathing 14 and insulation around that. And I don't know what 15 type of rodents you have, but the cable is maybe 16 about that -- well, maybe the size of the 17 microphone. 18 So, you know, if you have, maybe, big 19 rodents, possibly. But, you know -- well, they're 20 going to chew on anything they can, but typically 21 those cables will be buried three feet below ground 22 or a little bit deeper. 23 MS. BJORKLUND: Yeah. 24 MR. HARTMAN: So if the worst happens, if 25 they chew and it goes out, they replace it.

35 1 MS. TYLER: How would they find the location where it's out? 2 MR. HARTMAN: There's equipment out there 3 4 called fault detectors. You can go along and find 5 faults in the line. Just like you can find gas leaks on a gas lines, you've got sniffers. It's a 6 different sort of detector and it's set to pick 7 8 something like that up. 9 MS. TYLER: I'd like to see it placed 10 underground. 11 MR. HARTMAN: It would be kind of dark 12 down there. huh? 13 MS. BJORKLUND: Other questions and 14 comments? Other questions and comments on the 15 project and the process that we're going -- again, 16 just to remind you, we're taking issues to be 17 considered in developing the draft site permit, 18 which we will be developing here shortly. 19 The other comments we're taking right now 20 are developing the scope of the -- what is to go 21 into the environmental report. So then we'll be 22 issuing an environmental report and that will be 23 going before the Public Utilities Commission. 24 But this is your opportunity to comment 25 on the environmental report within the certificate

36 1 of need permit. And then, again, when we are looking at other alternatives compared to a wind 2 farm, it could be the Pleasant Valley wind farm, it 3 4 could be another 300 megawatt wind farm, it could be 5 a biomass plant that produces the same power, it could be a fossil fuel plant, or a no-build, we're 6 7 not going to build anything at all. And we'll compare the environmental impacts of all these 8 9 different options and that will be going into the 10 environmental report. 11 So anything else you'd like us to 12 consider in developing the scope of that 13 environmental report? 14 Yes, go ahead. 15 MR. PAULSON: My name is Jim Paulson. 16 MR. HARTMAN: Do you want to wait a 17 second --18 MR. PAULSON: Sure. 19 MR. HARTMAN: -- so the people in the 20 back can get your wisdom? 21 MR. PAULSON: There's nothing there. 22 MR. HARTMAN: Thank you for being honest. 23 MR. PAULSON: The only question I have is 24 on this real estate tax on the property, on the 25 towers --

1 UNIDENTIFIED: Maybe turn around and face 2 the other way. My wife says that all the 3 MR. PAULSON: 4 time. 5 My question was -- I have a couple comments on the real estate tax and it's going to be 6 7 like an industrial-zoned, where the tower is itself. 8 Well, I thought -- I assume that that is taken care 9 of by RES, not the landowner around it. So any real 10 estate taxes would be paid by RES for the tower and 11 not the individual property around it. Is that 12 correct, or am I wrong? 13 I don't know if RES wants MS. BJORKLUND: 14 to answer that one, but I believe that that is 15 within the -- it's typically handled within the 16 easement agreement. 17 MR. HARTMAN: I can perhaps take a shot 18 Going back years ago when these wind farms at it. 19 first started back in, oh, '95, typically they would 20 have been treated -- taxed on a property for 21 whatever they're valued at. 22 Well, because of the accelerated 23 depreciation, for example, a project that might have 24 paid \$600,000 year one, year ten might be paying 25 \$50,000. So I guess our approach was in -- I guess,

in perhaps being a partial architect of what's gone on over the past years, the idea of a production tax made more sense.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

24

25

Now, again, that might be a lesser rate than the property tax might be, but let's say if a community's getting \$300,000 per year from a 100 megawatt wind farm, getting \$300,000 a year for 30 years, you don't have to worry about depreciation.

9 So we're not taxing the towers, we're not 10 taxing the roads, we're taxing the air. So -- and 11 basically, the way the tax is set up, that's done as 12 a passthrough. So it goes into the rate base so 13 people that use wind energy, in essence, are paying 14 that tax. And that's how the tax in Minnesota 15 works.

16 So it's not treated as a property tax. Ι 17 know, for example, some other states now are looking 18 at the production tax model that we have in 19 Minnesota. They're free to do what they choose to 20 do. I think the counties that have -- maybe have 21 been, I guess, getting some benefits from that, I 22 think they might see the advantage of that over the 23 long haul.

Mr. Tucker can address that on, perhaps, Mower County's part. Maybe he has more information

1 from the county perspective than I do. But basically that's how they're taxed in Minnesota. 2 Now, whether Mower County changes the 3 4 zoning, that I don't know. Typically, the wind 5 turbines are located in agricultural land, so it 6 might be zoned as agricultural land. Whether the presence of those turbines changes the zoning, I 7 don't know why it would at this point, but then 8 again, I'm not familiar with how counties do 9 10 different things. 11 MS. KIRSCHNER: Sir, I talked to the assessor's office --12 13 MS. BJORKLUND: And can you state your 14 Sorry. name again, ma'am. Thank you. 15 MS. KIRSCHNER: Margaret Kirschner. Ι 16 think by now you know my name. And I said I did 17 check with the assessor's office and they will be zoned industrial where the wind turbines are. 18 19 UNIDENTIFIED: How much difference does that make? 20 21 MS. KIRSCHNER: Well, I don't know. Ι 22 suppose your property tax affects the value of it. 23 MS. BJORKLUND: Go ahead, sir. 24 MR. KLOCKE: I've got a question. Μv 25 name is Larry Klocke, K-L-O-C-K-E. One more issue

40 1 that I have -- that I'd like you look into is the fact of the light pollution from all those red 2 blinking lights all night long. 3 4 Right now, I enjoy my dark skies with my 5 white stars, and from where I stand now, the Dexter windmills create a red zone. And if these keep 6 7 creeping up closer to all our residences, when you 8 go out at night and want to enjoy it like the old 9 days when you could look up and see the stars, all 10 you're going to see is red blinking lights. 11 Is there -- is any compensation being 12 paid to all the residents in the area for taking 13 this away from us? 14 MS. BJORKLUND: Well, thank you for your 15 comment. 16 MR. KLOCKE: I think the term they use is 17 it's called light pollution. 18 MS. BJORKLUND: Yes. Well, and 19 visual/environmental impacts is something that's 20 going to be considered in the certificate of need 21 process and the site permit process, it's something 22 we'll be looking at. And we'll definitely take your 23 concerns into consideration, particularly in 24 developing that site permit -- draft site permit on 25 the visual impacts of these red blinking lights.

MR. GRENNAN: Ingrid, if I could address 1 that real quickly about what we will be doing with 2 the lights. My name's Joe Grennan, I'm the 3 4 permitting director for RES. And the amount of 5 lights that we have to put up will be dictated by the FAA. So that's just something that we send in 6 7 an application for that and they will then tell us 8 how many lights we have to put up. 9 As far as how the lights will work, I 10 think this is a potential issue, but they will be 11 synchronized. So it's not going to be random 12 blinking lights all over the place, they will all 13 blink at the same time. 14 So that's just a little more information 15 about how it works. And I don't know if there's any 16 questions that then brings up, but there is a 17 difference between synchronized blinking and random 18 blinking between all of them, so I wanted to point 19 that out. 20 MS. BJORKLUND: Thank you. 21 MS. JEWELL: Hi. My name is Jane Jewell. 22 I'm a recent owner, like a year, and we bought our 23 land. We've only got seven acres. 24 We moved -- we've had to live in town, 25 we're now in the country. I agree with that man.

We came out in the country so that we could see our 1 2 stars and see the animals. I agree with the wind farms, but my 3 4 concern is, don't leave us little guys out. We need 5 to be compensated, also. That is one of the big concerns I've got. And please look into all of 6 7 these issues, just don't turn a deaf ear. 8 Thank you. 9 MS. BJORKLUND: Thank you. 10 Other questions and -- yes. 11 MR. GROTH: My name is Steve Groth. Α 12 big concern is the decommissioning. 13 MS. BJORKLUND: Okay. 14 MR. GROTH: I've done a lot of homework 15 I've got a friend of mine that takes those on that. 16 bases out. It's not cheap. They talked about 17 salvaging the metal from the towers and all the 18 copper in the turbines and all that kind of thing. 19 Taking those towers down isn't cheap and 20 isn't easy. To take that concrete out of the ground 21 is definitely a different category in itself. Sixty 22 to seventy thousand dollars to take five feet off 23 the top of that concrete base on that thing, that's 24 at today's cost. 25 So don't overlook it. And in the

43 contracts, you know, depending how they're written, 1 you know, these guys aren't going to be around at 2 3 that time. Who's going to be responsible for taking 4 them out? 5 It is sitting on the landowner's land, so it could be a county thing, it could be a property 6 owner thing. So don't ever overlook the expense on 7 that, at the county level or the owner level. And 8 that's something that people kind of walked around. 9 10 The other thing I'm concerned with is 11 this is highly productive farmland out here, 12 probably the most productive farmland in the world. 13 And you're going to put the highly unproductive 14 production on this thing, 25 percent, maybe, 15 production off that? 25 percent efficiency? That's 16 a joke. If you had 25 percent efficiency on your 17 business, you wouldn't be in business. 25 percent 18 efficiency on the car you're driving, you wouldn't 19 drive it. And yet you're sticking all this money 20 into something like this. 21 I'm not an advocate of wind energy 22 because I think it's a gold rush thing. And when 23 the federal money is out of it, it will be gone. That's my opinion. 24 25 Thanks.

MS. BJORKLUND: Well, thank you so much. 1 In regards to the decommissioning, that 2 is something that will be addressed in the draft 3 4 site permit, and we'll definitely take your concerns 5 when developing that draft site permit. MR. GRENNAN: If I could address the 6 7 decommissioning real quickly, we will be setting some money aside upfront in escrow to address those. 8 9 So the money for decommissioning will be there and 10 we're planning for it. 11 With regards to the amount of land that 12 would be taken out of production, generally the 13 permanent impact, meaning the amount of land that 14 would be permanently taken out of its current use 15 and being used for the land farm -- or wind farm 16 would be approximately three to five percent of the 17 total acreage of the site. 18 So I wasn't sure if you were saying 19 25 percent, but it's not that amount of impact on 20 agricultural production. 21 MR. GROTH: I was saying your turbines 22 are 25 percent efficient, that's what I'm saying. 23 MR. GRENNAN: If you're referring to the 24 capacity factor, I mean, Paul could address that 25 better than myself. So why don't I just go ahead

and do that.

1

2 MR. JOHNSON: The Pleasant Valley area 3 down here has got excellent wind. We have 4 characterized it to be similar to what you would 5 find in North Dakota, and I can't divulge the specific capacity factor, but it's 40-plus percent. 6 And in terms of efficiency, fossil 7 8 generation, the cycle there is 33 percent to 9 35 percent efficiency in energy output. So it 10 actually is more -- a higher efficiency than a coal 11 plant or a gas plant without the heat recovery. 12 So it is -- they're getting better and 13 better because the blades are getting longer, and 14 that's just a technical fact. So I'll offer that to 15 you. 16 MS. BJORKLUND: Thank you, Paul. 17 Other questions and comments from the audience? 18 19 Yes, go ahead. 20 Hello, I'm Jeffrey Thoe. MR. THOE: 21 We've dealt a lot with the economic -- we talked a 22 lot about the economics of the wind farms, the giant 23 wind turbines. We haven't talked a whole lot about 24 the human -- the effects on the human -- our health 25 issues, our quality of life.

1 There's three major -- three studies done by -- one by Dr. Michael Nissenbaum, one by 2 Dr. Amanda Harry, Dr. Amanda Pierpont. And there's 3 4 a group of symptoms experienced by many people 5 living near industrial wind turbines. They've been called the wind turbine syndrome by Dr. Pierpont. 6 7 And these symptoms include sleep 8 disturbance, headache, ringing in the ears, ear pressure, dizziness, vertigo, nausea, visual 9 10 blurring, rapid heart rate, irritability, problems 11 with concentration and memory, panic episodes. 12 The research appears to be quite 13 legitimate to me. Their recommendations are one 14 mile, and the other two are one and a quarter miles 15 away from the nearest turbine. They don't say that 16 they understand why all these symptoms are showing 17 up, the -- they are trying to understand it better. There needs to be more research done. 18 19 Part of it is because of the low 20 frequency noise which, to my knowledge, wasn't 21 studied in the earlier studies when they set the 22 original setbacks. And just as a side note, low 23 frequency noise has been used as a form of torture 24 in war to keep criminals from sleeping well. It's 25 been outlawed by -- it's something you can't use in

war anymore.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

In Lincoln Township, Wisconsin, 212 people were surveyed. 73 percent said they would never consider living within one mile of an industrial turbine. These are people who live in an area with industrial turbines. These are huge numbers.

Michael Nissenbaum's got 81 percent of the people he surveyed had sought medical help for their health after living in an industrial -- in an area with industrial wind turbines. These are huge numbers, they can't be ignored. They shouldn't be ignored by us.

14 Our ancestors, they all worked hard to 15 make this place a place -- a better place to live --16 a better place to live. If we fill our counties 17 with wind turbines, are we making this a better 18 place to live? We may make more money, but will it 19 be a better place to live?

I don't know for sure that this research is correct. But I think before we start spending millions and millions of dollars in this township for those turbines, we better know the answer. Once we put them up, they aren't coming down very fast, we all know that.

1 But we have to take action, too. We 2 can't just sit here and ask them questions. And 3 they keeping telling us we will talk about -- we 4 will bring concerns up at the -- to the board or 5 whoever they're going to talk to. We're going to have to work through this, people. We're going to 6 have to talk to some people, people that will 7 listen, people that can affect the outcome. 8 9 This is -- these wind farms, I feel like 10 it's a locomotive that we can't stop. They're 11 coming, there's government incentives to build them. 12 We're trying to reduce greenhouse gases, and I think 13 most of us agree that's a good thing. But I also 14 know that there's more than one way to solve the 15 I don't know that this is the way to solve problem. 16 the problem. I'm guessing that if we think about it 17 and work at it, we'll find better solutions, 18 solutions that will fit into the fabric of our 19 community much better than hundreds and hundreds of 20 gigantic wind turbines that are close to our homes. 21 MS. BJORKLUND: If I might say something, 22 the public process we have at the Office of 23 Environmental Security (sic) is a very strong 24 process where the public has a lot of opportunity to 25 participate. And when you referenced the board that

49 1 it has to go through, it's the Public Utilities Commission that will be making the ultimate 2 3 permitting decisions for the applicants. 4 And this is one of several opportunities. 5 There will be another public hearing in relation to the certificate of need, there'll be a public 6 7 meeting again on the draft site permit. Also, 8 people have -- we have comment periods that are 9 going to be open throughout this process. 10 In addition, people can come to the 11 Public Utilities Commission. If they're -- you 12 know, they're certainly welcome to do that, it's an 13 open and public process. And that's what this 14 process is for, is to get all of your input in in 15 developing and looking at these permits. 16 MR. THOE: Then why can't you be more 17 specific? What can we do -- let's say there -- I 18 don't know what the people in this county feel as a 19 whole, I haven't surveyed a lot of people. I've 20 talked to some, I know there's some strong feelings 21 both ways. What would we need to do specifically to 22 stop it, to stop this project from being built? MS. BJORKLUND: Well, I don't know if I 23 24 can answer what specifically that people can do, but 25 we will evaluate all of the environmental issues

50 that everybody's raised here tonight, and then some, 1 what other people raise, we're required to look by 2 3 law at a number of issues and we'll be weighing 4 whether the applicant is able to address those 5 issues. MR. THOE: So let me be clear. 6 Did you 7 just tell me now that you can't give me a specific answer for that? I want to be clear on that. 8 That 9 this county, that the people in this county can't --10 we want to know -- I want to know specifically what 11 we would have to do to stop the project. I'm not 12 saying we can, I'm not saying there's an option to 13 do it. 14 MS. BJORKLUND: Participate in the public 15 process. 16 MR. THOE: Pardon me? 17 MS. BJORKLUND: Participate in the public 18 process. 19 MR. THOE: How much participation would 20 I want some numbers, I want something we need? 21 specific. We keep getting answers and they sound 22 great to me. I don't feel like we're getting any 23 hard-fact answers. 24 Well, we're at the very MS. BJORKLUND: 25 first step of the permitting process. We have, I

1 don't know, roughly five more months to go in the 2 process. MR. THOE: I understand that, but I want 3 4 a specific answer, can you give me one? 5 MS. BJORKLUND: I can't think of anything 6 specific that somebody can do that would stop the process at this point. We have other opportunities 7 to speak and everybody's concerns are going to be 8 heard and we'll take that under consideration as 9 10 we're developing our recommendation on the permit. 11 UNIDENTIFIED: Don't you need a counter 12 group instead of dealing through the same outfit? 13 I don't know, that's what I'm MR. THOE: 14 asking, what would we need to do to stop the 15 project? 16 MS. BJORKLUND: Well, the Office of 17 Energy Security, we're a state office. We don't 18 have an opinion one way or the other, we're here to gather information for the record and build the 19 20 And the Public Utilities Commissioners record. 21 would be the ultimate deciders of whether a permit 22 gets issued. 23 MR. THOE: Can we get an answer from the 24 Public Utilities Commission? Before it's too late. 25 can we get an answer as to what would we -- what

52 would this community need to do to stop the project 1 from going forward? 2 Because these turbines will affect 3 4 everybody, there's no doubt about that. They're way 5 too big to think that they aren't going to reach into everybody's home. They will, we all know that. 6 But like I say, I'm looking for a specific answer 7 and I haven't gotten one yet. And I expect that 8 there must be one, there must be a way to do it. 9 10 But I don't know what it is. 11 MS. BJORKLUND: Again, there has been 12 other wind farms that the permit was not issued. Ιt 13 just recently happened in New Ulm. Everything is case-specific. But, again, we're just starting to 14 15 develop the record. There's a lot of opportunity to 16 participate in developing this record. 17 Again, the state -- we have a very strong 18 permitting system in the state of Minnesota and we 19 look at things objectively and the Commissioners 20 will be deciding. 21 MR. THOE: One more guestion, then. Ιn 22 the case in New Ulm, what did they do, what stopped 23 that project? 24 MS. BJORKLUND: Well, Larry, do you want 25 to speak to New Ulm?

MR. HARTMAN: The city of New Ulm applied 1 for a site permit and I believe it was for eight 2 3 megawatts. And I don't know remember the wording of 4 the Commission order, but one of the issues that was 5 of significance there was the fact that the New Ulm Public Utilities Commission had procured some wind 6 7 rights, not the wind rights they needed to comply for setback requirements. 8 9 And typically, if you look at all the 10 wind farms built in Minnesota, and we've got 11 1,800-plus turbines in the state, if you look at all 12 the other wind projects that have been built and not 13 one has ever been built with the use of eminent 14 domain. Landowners -- they have wind rights from a 15 few landowners, additional landowners refused to 16 give them the wind rights. 17 So I guess at issue was the question of 18 eminent domain, the fact that they're going to 19 use -- potentially use eminent domain. They hadn't 20 The Commission gave them a chance to go committed. 21 back and reconsider and tell us what -- or I guess, 22 tell the Commission what their plans were. That was 23 not done, so the Commission denied the permit. All of the wind farms that have been 24 25 built to date in Minnesota as well as the U.S. have

1 been built by cooperating agreements or easement agreements between developers and landowners. 2 Land (sic) turbines have not been placed on any 3 4 landowner's property who does not want them. 5 Now, with regard to your point, and I encourage you here as landowners, participants, 6 7 observers, if you have questions about wind farms, 8 go knock on the doors of your neighbors. You've got 9 High Prairie I down here which uses the Siemens 2.3 10 megawatt turbine, you've got High Prairie II that 11 uses the Vestas 1.65 megawatt turbine. And you've 12 got turbines -- and Xcel's Grand Meadow wind farm, 13 as well as the Wapsipinicon, which totals about 14 201 megawatts, 134 turbines. Go talk to those 15 people, find out what they think. 16 I've been permitting wind farms for a 17 number of years, as I mentioned to you earlier, I 18 think I've had less than five complaints in 19 15 years. And most of those complaints have been 20 directed toward speeding concrete trucks, that's a 21 safety issue. 22 We have not had issues, contrary to what 23 people have said in other states. And as I 24 indicated earlier, if you as a landowner are a 25 participant in the project, if the Commission issues

55 1 a permit, all affected or participating landowners get a copy of our permit. 2 We have an 800 number in there and you 3 4 can call us if you have a problem. If it's, you 5 know, major, you know, please call us. If it's anything major the company's supposed to notify us 6 The complaints come to their 7 within 24 hours. office, they're supposed to forward them to us on a 8 9 monthly basis, the 15th of every month. 10 The draft permit -- and I don't have one 11 with me because we don't have one for this 12 project -- we have a complaint report procedure in 13 there and we have a process for dealing with 14 complaints when they're submitted. We just haven't 15 received any. 16 Now, again, you don't have to believe me. 17 Again, I'd go talk to your neighbors who live with 18 wind turbines and find out what their thoughts and 19 opinions are, and people have any number of reasons 20 for doing different things. 21 You know, if you're a participant, maybe 22 you're satisfied that your concerns have been 23 addressed. Maybe some things you haven't thought 24 This is also an opportunity to kind of get about. 25 everything out there in the open that's on the table

for discussion.

2	Again, as Ingrid mentioned, at some point		
3	in time if the draft site permit is authorized by		
4	the board, we'll be back here for another meeting.		
5	You'll have a chance to comment on that. You know,		
6	as with anyone else, state agencies, local units of		
7	government, townships, counties, whoever, so the		
8	process is what I regard as pretty open, pretty		
9	transparent.		
10	MS. BJORKLUND: Thank you.		
11	MR. THOE: Well, thanks for the chance to		
12	speak here. But once again, I don't think I feel		
13	like we've got an answer to what say this project		
14	doesn't go through or not, and I will leave it at		
15	that. And I thank you, again.		
16	MS. BJORKLUND: Thank you.		
17	And there's also one in the back, Larry,		
18	too.		
19	MS. EADIE: It's Debbie Eadie. I would		
20	just like to there's going to be an article in		
21	the Rochester Post-Bulletin tomorrow that the		
22	Minnesota legislature is looking at setback		
23	regulations, setback meaning how far away from the		
24	nearest farmhouse that you have to put them. And		
25	somebody was asking me how far the turbine was from		

57 1 my mom and dad's. I don't know exactly, but I've measured it and it's the least amount that it can 2 3 be. 4 And I'm not sure where that man went to, 5 but I was at home this last summer for two months and so -- and I grew up on that farm and so I spent 6 7 a lot of time outside. And if you -- I myself experienced -- if you're -- when I was outside for 8 hours and hours at a time, I don't know whether it 9 10 was low voltage electricity, I'm not sure. But I 11 personally thought it was a constant whomp, whomp, 12 whomp (phonetic) when I was outside for hours and 13 hours at a time, and this is on more than one 14 occasion that it made me feel sick, nauseated. 15 Sometimes they don't turn, you know, and 16 I don't feel anything. But I just -- I'm not 17 against wind turbines, but I really think that you 18 should look close at how you position them to the 19 people that are living around them. 20 Thanks. 21 MS. BJORKLUND: The comment -- somebody 22 wants to comment in the back, and then we have 23 another person on the side, to my left, Larry, when 24 vou're done there. 25 UNIDENTIFIED: One of the things I'd like

58 1 to say when you talked about the synchronized 2 lights --MS. BJORKLUND: Can we get your name? 3 4 UNIDENTIFIED: I hope that they get 5 better at it because the synchronized lights that we have now, it's like they go on and then it's buh, 6 buh, buh (phonetic), a whole bunch more. And then 7 8 they go on and it's this one, this one, this one. 9 So they're not exactly synchronized, that needs to 10 be perfected. 11 There's also alternative styles of wind 12 turbines, there's wind turbines that have a wider 13 base and the wind turbine goes this way as opposed to this way (indicating), if you know what I'm 14 15 That gets rid of a lot of the noise flicker savina. 16 (sic), they don't have to be as high so you don't 17 have to these irritating, annoying red flashing 18 I strongly encourage you -- into looking at lights. 19 that type of wind turbine as opposed to these. 20 You yourself said that -- you called it a 21 nuisance to people who have headaches, nausea, what 22 else did you talk -- due to the wind flicker (sic). 23 You know, what might be a nuisance to you is turning 24 out to be a severe health problem to a lot of the 25 people living near it.

As far as property values go, if you look at Texas, if you look at a report done in Texas, you look at Wisconsin, they are saying that property values are going down an average of 25 to 30 percent of those people who are near the wind turbines. So, you know, it probably is going to impact your property value, that's something you might want to get looked into.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 My concern, too, is the siting of the 10 wind turbines. You don't want them to be too close 11 to livestock, homes, roads. Even the Minnesota 12 Department of Health recently did a study on our own 13 state and they said that the problems associated 14 with having turbines close lessen after one half of 15 a mile.

16 So the PUC, the state PUC has not set 17 their setback standard yet, but they are in 18 discussion about it. And if our own Minnesota 19 Department of Health recommends one half mile or are 20 saying that they are lessened at one half mile, I 21 really think we should strongly consider that, 22 setbacks of one half mile from homes, from farms. 23 There's farmers in Wisconsin who talk 24 about the problem with their cattle laying on the 25 I mean, wildlife was brought up before. ground.

1 There's been studies about bats and birds, but it's 2 a hard thing to prove. Bats are running into them, but we have lots of bats to replace them. And then 3 4 when they fall on the ground, you know, some other So the wildlife research is 5 wildlife consumes them. a tough one to do unless you're sitting there 24/7 6 7 watching them. But I guess, again, I would really 8 encourage you to look into a different style of a 9 10 turbine. When we know there's information out there 11 of a different style that avoids a lot of these 12 issues. 13 MS. BJORKLUND: Can we get your name for 14 the record? 15 UNIDENTIFIED: Can I get your name for 16 the record? 17 MS. BJORKLUND: Mine? UNIDENTIFIED: 18 Yes. 19 MS. BJORKLUND: Ingrid Bjorklund. 20 UNIDENTIFIED: Ingrid what? 21 MS. BJORKLUND: Ingrid Bjorklund. 22 UNIDENTIFIED: Can you spell that, 23 please? It's 24 MS. BJORKLUND: I can. 25 B-J-O-R-K-L-U-N-D.

61 1 UNIDENTIFIED: And your name? 2 MR. HARTMAN: Larry Hartman. 3 UNIDENTIFIED: Larry. And when you speak 4 it's a little bit tough to hear you, could you just talk a little louder, that would help. 5 MS. BJORKLUND: Okay. Our names and how 6 to contact us, it's on the blue sheet that was at 7 the front door. 8 9 MR. HARTMAN: Perhaps I could respond to 10 some of your points. You know, you're talking about 11 the different types of wind turbines. The one you 12 found that was in -- centered around bats in 13 Altamont, those basically don't function anymore. The only commercial wind turbines on the market are 14 15 the horizontal -- excuse me, the vertical axis 16 turbine. So typically three blades, you don't find 17 the egg-beater type turbines. There's some other kind of turbines on the market. I don't know who 18 19 markets them or if you'd say this side of shady, I 20 think, in terms of what they can actually do. 21 Your point on avian impacts, we did a four-year study out in Buffalo Ridge before and 22 23 after wind turbines went in. We had a control site 24 in South Dakota. On average, we found that the 25 turbine killed about two birds per year, on average.

Bats were a little bit higher in the first couple of years. Typically, that bat number is between maybe two and three. There are some types of bats that are common in Minnesota, a lot of them are migrating bats. Bats typically migrate between August and September.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

24

25

If you look at the top of the Iowa project down here, there's a large DNR wildlife area, Iowa DNR. And for the most part the fatality there was less than one bird per year, or one and a half birds per megawatt per turbine per year.

12 It's something we're doing continued 13 research on and are trying to identify some new 14 research avenues. But we have been in discussion 15 with the DNR maybe about certain types of studies to 16 be undertaken.

If you go back to the health department report, it said problems up to a half a mile if not properly sited. That's why we expect companies to come in and demonstrate before they actually build the project what the anticipated noise levels are. They have to indicate that to demonstrate that they are meeting the state PCA noise standards.

And if you look at the health department report, it says the evidence on low frequency noise

63 1 is scant. A lot people misread that or overlook So there's -- could perhaps be some 2 that. clarification on that, but for the most part -- and 3 4 they did a fairly, I guess, worldwide, I guess, 5 literature review. A lot of the problems that have been 6 documented have primarily been limited to two or 7 8 three wind farms in England out of 134 operational wind farms that were examined in that study. And I 9 10 think the low frequency noise -- for example, 11 traffic also generates low frequency noise. 12 So, you know, there are a number of 13 things that you have to kind of, you know, look at, 14 and a lot of those factors are considered in the 15 design and layout of a wind turbine farm. 16 MS. BJORKLUND: Thank you, Larry. 17 There's someone over here on my left who 18 would like to speak. 19 And please state your name for the 20 record. Thank you. 21 My name is Jean Nelson. MS. NELSON: 22 Very Scandinavian, I don't think I have to spell it. 23 I'm wondering what is the probability if 24 when these wind farms get up and running that they 25 would be sold to a foreign entity? I understand

64 that has happened and this country owns it -- owes 1 its soul to whoever already. We don't need any more 2 of that. 3 4 And in addition, I am a real estate 5 broker and there's no doubt in my mind that the value of the properties will go way down. I live in 6 a fourth-generation farm and I think it's probably 7 worth half -- it would be worth half of what it's 8 9 worth right now. 10 MS. BJORKLUND: Thank you. 11 Other comments and/or comments? 12 MS. KIRSCHNER: I have one. 13 MS. BJORKLUND: Yes. Please, I know 14 you've stated your name before, but if you could 15 state it again because we're capturing it each time 16 we speak. 17 MS. KIRSCHNER: Okay. Margaret Kirschner. Okay. 18 19 As a member -- I have been a member of 20 the Mower County planning and zoning, so I can tell 21 you some. 22 Like on that decommissioning, they will 23 have to sign a CUP, a conditional use permit, so 24 that that will -- they will have to pay for that and 25 they will have to be taken down when they

decommission it.

1

23

24

25

But this is as a landowner myself now, I am talking this way. When the windmills -- when the turbines aren't running, they're using electricity and that goes into the peaking plant. And so they're using electricity, which affects all of our rates.

Then I'd like you to know, too, that each turbine, and this is an average so these are going to go up, they will be approximately -- with each turbine foundation consists of 52 foot by 52 foot octagon that is seven foot deep, 28 loads of concrete and 57,000 pounds of reinforcing steel to fill that hole.

Each base weighs more than one million pounds, or 563 tons. And if they do commission (sic) them, all they do it take out three feet of that, that's all the further down they go. The rest will stay in your land.

20 MS. BJORKLUND: Thank you for your 21 comments. 22 MR. HARTMAN: Typically the permits we

MR. HARTMAN: Typically the permits we've issued, we have a decommissioning -- foundations are taken out down to a depth of 48 inches.

MS. KIRSCHNER: What?

66 1 MR. HARTMAN: 48 inches is what our 2 permit requires. 3 MS. BJORKLUND: Thank you. 4 Other questions or comments? 5 Well, again, I want to thank you so much 6 for your time. You're going to have additional 7 opportunities to comment on the project. If you would like to send me comments on where we're at in 8 the process right now, on the environmental report 9 10 or issues to be considered in developing the site 11 permit, please fill out this yellow sheet 12 (indicating). You can e-mail your comments to me, 13 you can also fold this up, put a stamp on it, and 14 mail them in to me. 15 So I really appreciate your time. We had 16 a great turnout, and thank you so much for coming. 17 (Public comment concluded.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25