

March 29, 2019

Daniel P. Wolf Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 7th Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

RE: Reply Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources

Docket No. E002/CI-18-251

Dear Mr. Wolf:

Attached are the reply comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources (Department) in the following matter:

Xcel Energy's Integrated Distribution Plan

As discussed in the attached comments, the Department provides its response to the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission's (Commission) November 19, 2018 Notice of Comment Period on Xcel Energy's Integrated Distribution Plan

The Department is available to respond to any questions the Commission may have on this matter.

Sincerely,

/s/ MATTHEW LANDI Rates Analyst

ML/ja Attachment



Before the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

Reply Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce Division of Energy Resources

Docket No. E002/CI-18-251

I. BACKGROUND

On August 30, 2018, the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) issued an Order adopting Integrated Distribution Planning (IDP) filing requirements requiring Xcel Energy (Xcel, or the Company) to file an IDP for the next 10-year horizon by November 1, 2018. Subsequently, Xcel filed its IDP entitled "Integrated Distribution Plan (2019-2028): Advancing the Grid at the Speed of Value" (IDP Report) on November 1, 2018.

The Commission issued a Notice of Comment Period on November 19, 2018 (Notice). The following topics are open for comment:

- 1. Should the Commission accept or reject Xcel Energy's Integrated Distribution Plan (IDP)?
- 2. Does the IDP filed by Xcel Energy achieve the planning objectives outlined in the filing requirements approved in the Commission's August 30, 2018 Order in this docket?
- 3. What, if any, adjustments should be made to future filing requirements?
- 4. Are there other issues or concerns related to this matter?

The initial round of comments were due on February 22, 2019. The Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources (Department), as well as the following organizations and stakeholders, filed comments on or before February 22, 2019:

- (1) The Minnesota Office of Attorney General Residential Utilities and Antitrust Division (OAG);
- (2) The City of Minneapolis;
- (3) Fresh Energy;
- (4) The Suburban Rate Authority (SRA);
- (5) Clean Energy Economy Minnesota (CEEM);
- (6) The Center for Energy and the Environment (CEE); and

Analyst Assigned: Matthew Landi

Page 2

(7) Minnesota Center for Environmental Advocacy on behalf of Clean Grid Alliance, Minnesota Center for Environmental Advocacy, Sierra Club, and the Union of Concerned Scientists (MCEA).

The Department offers these reply comments in response to these initial comments. The Department focuses on stakeholders' recommendations for adding, deleting or modifying Xcel's IDP requirements.

II. DEPARTMENT ANALYSIS

A. INTRODUCTION

The Department appreciates the thorough review and thoughtful consideration from multiple stakeholders in this proceeding. Many of the recommendations and comments from stakeholders involve modifications or additions to the requirements for future IDP reports. The Department addresses the merits of these proposed changes in the broader context of distribution system planning in Minnesota and the concurrent IDP processes at other regulated utilities.

The Department believes this broader context is important in order to ensure an optimal outcome for ratepayers and the public interest. The Department provided our position on consistent IDP requirements among utilities in our initial comments in Docket Nos. E017/CI-18-253 (Otter Tail Power Company, or OTP), E015/CI-18-254 (Minnesota Power, or MP), and E011/CI-18-255 (Dakota Electric Association, or DEA). The Department reiterates our position here:

[T]he Department is supportive of draft IDP requirements for OTP that are as consistent with Xcel's IDP to the greatest extent practicable when appropriate, and is supportive of an evolutionary regulatory process that leads to consistent requirements between utilities to the greatest extent practicable.

The Department notes that it is important to adapt IDP requirements to the unique circumstances and characteristics of each utility such that a completely uniform set of requirements is likely precluded. Further, flexibility is an essential feature that should permeate throughout this regulatory effort. Utilities are likely to need time to adjust and grow into this regulatory paradigm as internal planning processes are exposed to regulatory oversight

Analyst Assigned: Matthew Landi

Page 3

and are harmonized with the Commission's IDP requirements. As the IDP process matures, the Department anticipates that a process that converges rather than diverges planning requirements for each of Minnesota's utilities is likely to lead to the most optimal outcome for ratepayers and the public interest.

Consistent regulatory requirements and standards in Minnesota utilities' IDPs are likely to lead to better results for all of Minnesota's ratepayers than a paradigm where IDPs vary to the extent that they result in inconsistent criteria used to assess the costs and benefits of distribution system planning, investments, and operations. Distribution system planning informs utility capital budget construction budgets, which currently includes typical distribution system improvements, equipment replacements, and service extensions.[footnote omitted] Utilities also rely on load forecasts down to the individual substation or feeder, and modeling designed to identify system reliability helps prioritize required capital projects.[footnote omitted] It's important to understand how utilities plan their distribution systems to assess how utilities avoid creating lock-in effects that could prevent a utility from considering other options, how utilities capture the full consideration of various alternatives, how utilities share information, and how utilities ensure that their planning results in efficient uses of ratepayer resources.

Uniformity and interoperability may help position utilities to leverage future technological advancements, to achieve economies of scale, enable distributed energy resource integration, improve system efficiency, and ultimately, reduce costs and increase benefits for Minnesota's ratepayers.

To illustrate the importance of consistent IDP requirements: imagine a scenario in which the Commission has adopted a stringent cost-benefit analysis (CBA) test as part of Xcel's IDP requirements for investments in technologies that enable greater integration of distributed energy resources (DERs), but a less stringent CBA test for the same investments as part of a different utility's IDP requirements. Such a scenario will likely result in different investments

¹ The Department acknowledges that there will likely be irreconcilable differences in the evolution of each utility's IDP requirements due to inherent differences between each utility's distribution systems, capabilities, existing distribution system planning and operations processes, customers, and service territories, among myriad other factors.

Analyst Assigned: Matthew Landi

Page 4

being made that will affect the capability of each utility's respective distribution system to integrate DERs: a more stringent CBA test for Xcel may restrict wider integration of distributed energy resources (DERs) in Xcel's service territory, whereas a less stringent CBA test for another utility may enable greater integration of DERs in their service territory. Such an outcome could preclude utilities, developers, and ratepayers from reaping the benefits of achieving economies of scale and prevent the development of a consistent, seamless experience among utilities in the integration of DERs and in the accompanying ability to provide a wider array of energy services to ratepayers. Such disorderly distribution system development and arbitrary market segmentation in Minnesota is inefficient and suboptimal for ratepayers and the public interest.

Thus, the Department's analysis of other stakeholder recommendations related to Xcel's IDP requirements considers the larger picture of distribution system planning in Minnesota. The Department considers whether such modifications: (1) are reasonably likely to result in a benefit for ratepayers and the public interest; and (2) can be reasonably incorporated into other utilities' IDP requirements.

The Department notes that there may be a reasonable basis for adding, deleting, or modifying an IDP requirement for Xcel that may not exist for other utilities. However, it may be inherently difficult to make that determination at this present stage due to the information asymmetry that exists between the other regulated utilities and stakeholders. The capabilities of other utilities' distribution system planning and operations processes are likely not sufficiently well known enough to assess the reasonableness of adding, deleting, or otherwise modifying their IDP requirements at this stage. However, to the extent that utilities can be reasonably expected to perform similar tasks or have similar planning goals, a reasonable determination can be made at this time. In future IDP reports, the analysis of whether an IDP requirement can be applied to all utilities can be refined as more is learned about other utilities' distribution system planning and operations processes.

Last, the Department notes that determinations as to whether an IDP requirement should be added or modified for a non-Xcel utility should not be made in this proceeding, as the other utilities are not parties to this proceeding and a record is unavailable from which such determinations can be supported.

B. ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO XCEL'S IDP REQUIREMENTS

1. OAG Proposals

While the OAG's proposals related to Xcel's IDP requirements do not include a specific location within Xcel's IDP requirements in which they should be included, the analysis need not rise to that level of detail until the Commission decides whether to adopt the recommendation. It

Analyst Assigned: Matthew Landi

Page 5

would be helpful for administrative purposes, moving forward, for all stakeholders to indicate the appropriate sections of the Xcel's IDP requirements that would be implicated in a recommendation to add, delete, or modify an IDP requirement. Additionally, it would likewise be useful if stakeholders framed each recommendation in language that could be incorporated into Xcel's IDP requirements and provide a red-line edition of Xcel's IDP requirements that reflect the stakeholder's recommendations.

- a) Recommendations 1 and 2
 - i. Summary of Recommendations and Rationale

On page 9 of the OAG's initial comments, the OAG made the following recommendations that would add to Xcel's IDP requirements:²

The Commission should:

- Order Xcel to provide the results of its annual distribution investment risk-ranking, and a description of the risk ranking methodology, in future IDPs.
- Order Xcel to provide information on forecasted net demand, capacity, forecasted percent load, risk score, planned investment spending and investment summary information for all feeders and substation transformers, in future IDPs.

In support of the first recommendation, the OAG argued that the provision of this information is "a useful tool to better understand the risks to the distribution system, and how Xcel is responding to them." ³

In support of the second recommendation, the OAG provided the following rationale:⁴

The risk-ranking information can be even more useful when it is combined with other information. Specifically, the OAG asked Xcel to provide the load factors of its feeders and substation transformers, which are used to identify distribution system risks. Combining that information with the risk-ranking analysis, and

⁴ *Id.*, at 7-8.

² OAG Initial Comments, dated February 22, 2019, at 9.

³ *Id.*, at 7.

Analyst Assigned: Matthew Landi

Page 6

identifying which feeders or substation transformers have work planned during the planning period, would produce a useful document for tracking Xcel's distribution planning. The information could be combined in a single document similar to this example:

Figure 2
Example of Combined Distribution Feeder Information

Feeder	Forecasted Net Demand	Capacity	Forecasted Percent Load	Forecasted Load at Risk	Risk Score	SSS Investment	Description of Investment
A							
В							
C							

A single spreadsheet including this information would work towards several of the goals of the IDP program. It would allow the Commission and parties to understand how Xcel identifies and responds to risks on its system, track the utility's performance over time, and better understand how its investment decisions are related to current capacity risks and growing distributed resource needs.[footnote omitted] Xcel was able to provide the OAG with much of this information in different information requests, so it does not appear that it would be labor-intensive to combine it together for future IDP filings.[footnote omitted]

Presenting this information in future IDPs would be consistent with the "walk" stage from the ICF International report presented to the Commission, which suggests gathering information about infrastructure replacement investment.[footnote omitted] Obtaining information in this way will help the Commission and parties understand how Xcel makes its investment decisions, and how those decisions relate to choices about advanced grid capabilities.[footnote omitted]

ii. Department Analysis

Xcel stated on pages 54 and 55 of the IDP Report that "one of the main deliverables of distribution planning's annual analysis includes a detailed list of all feeders and substations for which a normal overload (N-0) is a concern." Xcel also stated that they conduct an N-1 Contingency Analysis in which situations involving the loss of one feeder could cause other overloads on another are identified.⁵

-

⁵ Xcel Response to OAG IR No. 14, dated December 3, 2018.

Analyst Assigned: Matthew Landi

Page 7

Taken together, OAG recommendations 1 and 2 are aimed at requiring Xcel to provide more information related to how Xcel identifies and responds to risks in its distribution system. Consequently, it follows that investment decisions are impacted based on this risk analysis. These investment decisions are important to understand given the significant amount of capital expenditures that they can implicate. This risk analysis can also be useful in understanding the costs and benefits of other potential risk mitigation options, especially if a non-wires alternative (NWA) can be employed in mitigating the risk that Xcel identifies.

Given that Xcel performs this analysis on an annual basis, and that providing this information could aid in the understanding of both capital expenditures and consideration of NWAs as potential options for risk mitigation, the Department concludes that OAG recommendations 1 and 2 are reasonably likely to result in a benefit for ratepayers and the public interest.

As to whether OAG recommendations 1 and 2 could also be adopted by other utilities, the Department concludes that it is more than likely that other utilities employ some form of risk analysis in their distribution system planning, and further, that other utilities likely use this analysis as a basis in determining capital expenditures. While the precise form may not be able to take the same form as Xcel's risk analysis and the OAG's recommendations, the Department concludes that an appropriate, utility-by-utility specific form of the OAG's recommendations can be reasonably incorporated into other utilities' IDP requirements.

In conclusion, the Department supports OAG's first and second recommendations.

- b) Recommendation 3
 - i. Summary of Recommendation and Rationale

On page 10 of the OAG's initial comments, the OAG made the following recommendation that would add to Xcel's IDP requirements:⁶

The Commission should:

 Order Xcel to file any long-range distribution studies it had conducted in the past year in future IDPs.

The OAG provided the following rationale in support of their recommendation:⁷

⁶ OAG Initial Comments, at 10.

⁷ Id.

Analyst Assigned: Matthew Landi

Page 8

It may be useful for the Commission and other parties to be kept aware of long-range studies, as they represent broader approaches to the challenges that Xcel faces along with potentially larger costs...It would also be helpful if Xcel could provide more information in its Reply Comments discussing how long-range area studies are related to the non-wires analysis it is required to conduct for future IDPs.

ii. Department Analysis

Xcel explained on pages 57 through 59 of the IDP Report that "distribution capacity planning address and solve a continuum of distribution equipment overload problems" and that Xcel's planning engineers first consider localized alternatives to distribution system problems, increasing in scale to the point of a long-range area study if the engineers find that the alternatives do not mitigate the identified problems.

Xcel also stated that the long-range area studies involve the following:8

- Identifying the study area (for instance, a single feeder, a substation, or maybe even an entire community or larger).
- Projecting future loads.
- Estimating the saturation of area (limits of development, zoning, etc. on load growth). Coordinating with transmission planning to advise them of our work and learn if they have area concerns or projects.
- Generating options.
- Studying and comparing the economics and reliability of the alternatives.

It seems logical that as the scale of the distribution system problem increases, so do the potential mitigation options available to Xcel and their costs. Xcel explicitly considers economics of alternatives in their analysis of long-range area studies. Providing stakeholders with details of its long-range area studies can be useful in understanding how Xcel approaches larger scale issues in their distribution system and what options are available to mitigate them and at what cost. This additional information could also help stakeholders identify cost-effective solutions that Xcel may not have considered or point out areas where Xcel can improve its long-range area studies, both of which may benefit ratepayers and the public interest.

_

⁸ IDP Report, at 58.

Analyst Assigned: Matthew Landi

Page 9

Further, Xcel also stated the following:

DER has not historically been considered a viable alternative for resolving distribution capacity issues due to cost, reliability, capacity, longevity, dispatchability, space constraints and dependability. However, we see these constraints lessening as the technologies mature and operational experience increases.

If DERs have a potential to mitigate these issues, they should be considered explicitly in Xcel's planning processes, especially given Xcel's recognition that the constraints to using DERs as alternatives to resolving distribution system issues are lessening. If DERs can play a role in cost-effectively addressing larger scale distribution system issues, ratepayers would benefit from DERs being included in Xcel's alternatives analyses. Further, there is value for stakeholders in understanding the role that DERs can play in Xcel's long-range area studies.

It seems reasonable to expect that other utilities engage in long-range distribution studies regarding the scale of distribution system issues they each may face. While their planning processes are likely to be different, other utilities may still conduct analysis of wider areas of their distribution system in attempting to mitigate any issues. If they do conduct such analyses, the Department does not see the harm requiring other utilities to provide the analyses in their respective IDP reports. In general, it seems likely that other utilities can reasonably incorporate OAG recommendation 3 in their respective IDP requirements.

In conclusion, the Department supports the OAG's third recommendation.

- c) Recommendation 4
 - i. Summary of Recommendation and Rationale

On page 17 of the OAG's initial comments, the OAG made the following recommendation that would modify one of Xcel's existing IDP requirements: ⁹

The Commission should:

 Order Xcel to provide a cost-benefit analysis for each grid modernization project in its 5 year action plan, based on the

.

⁹ OAG Initial Comments, at 17.

Analyst Assigned: Matthew Landi

Page 10

best information it has at the time and including a discussion of non-quantifiable benefits and all supporting information.

The OAG's recommendation is a modification of IDP Requirement 3.D.2, which states in relevant part:

Xcel shall provide a 5-year Action Plan for distribution system developments and investments in grid modernization based on internal business plans and considering the insights gained from the DER futures analysis, hosting capacity analysis, and non-wires alternatives analysis. The 5-year Action Plan should include a detailed discussion of the underlying assumptions (including load growth assumptions) and the costs of distribution system investments planned for the next 5-years (expanding on topics and categories listed above). Xcel should include specifics of the 5-year Action Plan investments. Topics that should be discussed, as appropriate, include at a minimum:

...

For each grid modernization project in its 5-year Action Plan,
 Xcel should provide a cost-benefit analysis.

Accordingly, it appears that the OAG's recommendation is to modify IDP Requirement 3.D.2 in the following way:

 For each grid modernization project in its 5-year Action Plan, Xcel should provide a cost-benefit analysis, based on the best information it has at the time and including a discussion of nonquantifiable benefits and all supporting information.

The OAG provided the following rationale in support of its recommendation: 10

The two pages of explanation that Xcel provided for its cost-benefit analysis are not sufficient. Xcel did not share any supporting information for the cost estimates it provided for the grid modernization projects, or the cost-benefit ratios it reported. It did not provide explanations for any of the non-quantifiable benefits that it identified. Given the short turnaround for this first IDP filing, the OAG asked Xcel whether it intended to provide more or

•

¹⁰ OAG Initial Comments, at 12-13.

Docket No. E002/CI-18-251 Analyst Assigned: Matthew Landi

Page 11

different information in future plans. In its response to OAG Information Request 63, Xcel stated that the IDP only requires it to discuss the issues listed in part D.2 to the extent that Xcel finds them to be appropriate, and that it did not provide more information because it was not yet seeking cost recovery for the AMI, FAN, or FLISR.[footnote omitted] That position is not consistent with the intent of the IDP, which requires that cost-benefit analyses be provided for every investment included in the 5-year Action Plan.[footnote omitted]

The OAG went on to provide details of several of Xcel's responses to various information requests that sought additional information related to Xcel's cost-benefit analyses of grid modernization projects included in its 5-year Action Plan. The OAG then provided the following:¹¹

All of the information that Xcel provided in response to the OAG's information requests was information that Xcel had at the time it filed the IDP. That information should have been included. Xcel correctly identifies that this proceeding does not raise cost recovery questions, and that cost-benefit analyses might change as it learns more about the projects. But Xcel should still provide the best information it has in order to comply with the IDP. The purpose of the IDP is to provide more transparency into Xcel's planning, and to ensure that Xcel is planning reasonably. It does not make sense for Xcel expend its ratepayer-funded resources developing projects that will not produce more benefits to ratepayers, even if non-quantifiable, than costs. Xcel should be prepared to demonstrate that it is considering costs and benefits throughout the entire life of a project, and not creating a new analysis only when it requests cost recovery. It is also somewhat concerning that Xcel appears to interpret the IDP requirements to allow it to ignore the cost-benefit analysis requirement when it wishes.

ii. Department Analysis

In review of Xcel's responses to the OAG's information requests related to Xcel's cost-benefit analyses of grid modernization projects included in Xcel's 5-year Action Plan, the Department

_

¹¹ *Id.,* at 17.

Analyst Assigned: Matthew Landi

Page 12

concludes that the public interest is better served in requiring Xcel to furnish additional information as recommended by the OAG. The additional level of detail provides for a more complete review of Xcel's methodology and imbues a greater sense of transparency in its planning processes. Transparency leads to a more accurate analysis of grid modernization projects, which results in greater certainty in assessing the merits of a project.

The language of OAG recommendation 4 is not prescriptive: it generally states that IDP Requirement 3.D.2 should be understood as requiring the utility to provide all of the information it has at the time it performs its cost-benefit analyses of grid modernization projects and to provide a discussion of non-quantifiable benefits. The Department agrees and sees no compelling reason why another utility could not reasonably incorporate OAG recommendation 4 in its IDP requirements.

In conclusion, the Department supports the OAG's fourth recommendation.

- 2. Fresh Energy Proposals
 - a) Recommendation 1
 - i. Summary of Recommendation and Rationale

On page 6 of Fresh Energy's initial comments, Fresh Energy made the following recommendation that would modify one of Xcel's existing IDP requirements:

We recommend that the Commission adjust the required IDP filing date such that the Company can incorporate its most current information in the IDP.

Fresh Energy's recommendation is a modification of the first section of Xcel's IDP requirements, though Fresh Energy did not recommend a modification of the specific date.

Fresh Energy noted that Xcel's "2018 IDP reflects results from its Q4 2017 annual planning process [footnote omitted] (not its Q4 2018 process)."

ii. Department Analysis

The Department is unaware of whether Xcel's next IDP will be based on its Q4 2019 annual planning process or its Q4 2018 process; however, the Department agrees with Fresh Energy that the IDPs should reflect the most recent and best available information. If Xcel's annual planning processes occur at times that preclude inclusion of that information in the annual IDP

Analyst Assigned: Matthew Landi

Page 13

report, it would be appropriate to change the filing date. The Department notes that the November 1 date was set to coincide with Xcel's Grid Modernization Report, which may provide regulatory efficiencies for Xcel.

The Department notes that the filing date for all utilities should be set at a point that would enable inclusion of the most recent planning information. If that were not the case, however, the modification proposed to Xcel's IDP requirements could be reasonably incorporated into their IDP requirements.

Once the Department and other stakeholders obtain additional information from Xcel regarding the timing of its annual planning processes and the most suitable filing date of the IDP report, a determination of the appropriateness of Fresh Energy's recommendation can be made.

- b) Recommendations 2 and 3
 - i. Summary of Recommendation and Rationale

On page 6 of FE's initial comments, Fresh Energy made the following recommendations that would add two items to Xcel's existing IDP requirements:

- In addition to historic and forecasted expenditures, Fresh Energy recommends that the Company provide historic and forecasted work volumes or units of work (e.g., number of new services, miles of line extended, number of new street lights installed, etc.) for each expenditure category.
- For ease of analysis and comparison, Fresh Energy recommends that the Company provide this detailed level of historic/future expenditures and work volumes in a spreadsheet format with all links and formulas intact.

Fresh Energy did not provide an explicit rationale for these two recommendations.

Presumably, these two additional items would be appended to section 3.A, which details the requirements for Xcel's baseline distribution system and financial data.

ii. Department Analysis

Absent a rationale supporting the recommendations, it is unclear what the benefits would be from the provision of this additional information. However, if Xcel could easily provide such

Analyst Assigned: Matthew Landi

Page 14

information in future IDP reports, the Department does not oppose including these additional items. At this time, however, given the absence of a stated rationale, the Department declines to support these recommendations.

3. MCEA Proposals

- a) Recommendation 1
 - i. Summary of Recommendation and Rationale

On page 2 of MCEA's initial comments, MCEA made the following recommendation modifying Xcel's IDP requirements:

While the \$2 million threshold for the NWA analysis was established as a part of the IDP filing requirements, we would strongly encourage the Commission to lower this amount for future IDPs.

MCEA's recommendation would modify section 3.E.1 of Xcel's IDP requirements, which states the following:

Xcel shall provide a detailed discussion of all distribution system
projects in the filing year and the subsequent 5 years that are
anticipated to have a total cost of greater than two million
dollars. For any forthcoming project or project in the filing year,
which cost two million dollars or more, provide an analysis on
how non-wires alternatives compare in terms of viability, price,
and long-term value

MCEA provided the following rationale in support of this recommendation:

Of these criteria, three are overly limiting and/or unclear: 1) eliminating projects that cost less than \$2 million...

Liberty Utilities in New Hampshire stated in its integrated resource plan[footnote omitted] that it would use a threshold of \$0.5 million as the cutoff for the projects to be considered for NWA. And National Grid (serving its Rhode Island service territory), uses \$1 million as its financial criteria for screening projects.[footnote omitted] The New York utilities use financial criteria of \$1 million

Analyst Assigned: Matthew Landi

Page 15

or several hundred thousand dollars depending on the location or complexity of the project.

ii. Department Analysis

The Department appreciates the provision of information related to the cost threshold for NWA analysis used by other jurisdictions. While this information is indeed useful, and, presumably lowering the threshold would result in a greater number of projects considered for NWA analysis, it isn't clear from the record that lowering the threshold will have a discernible benefit for ratepayers and the public interest. The Department notes that the threshold was at issue at the time the IDP requirements were developed and approved. The draft IDP set the cost threshold at \$5 million, which was lowered to \$2 million at the suggestion of CEE and general support of other stakeholders. Given the iterative nature of the IDP process, the Department concludes that a different cost threshold can be considered as experience is gained.

The Department also notes that the same \$2 million threshold exists for the other utilities, and therefore a different threshold can be considered if the threshold results in too few, or too many, projects discussed and NWA alternatives developed and analyzed.

Finally, the Department notes that the NWA analysis performed by Xcel was incomplete due to the timing of the Commission's August 30, 2018 Order that established Xcel's IDP requirements and the limited ability of Xcel to complete the NWA analysis of each of the projects identified in excess of the \$2 million threshold. The Department requested that Xcel provide additional information regarding the feasibility of providing a supplemental filing for NWA analysis before the 2019 IDP Report. If a supplemental filing for NWA analysis for the projects identified on page 85 of the IDP Report is provided, the Department requests that Xcel include a discussion of whether the \$2 million cost threshold is an appropriate level. This discussion should also provide information related to the number of projects that would be included in NWA analysis if the threshold were lowered to \$1 million and \$0.5 million tiers.

III. DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

The Department recommends that the Commission approve the following changes to Xcel's IDP requirements:

 Require Xcel to provide the results of its annual distribution investment risk-ranking, and a description of the risk ranking methodology, in future IDPs.

Analyst Assigned: Matthew Landi

Page 16

- Require Xcel to provide information on forecasted net demand, capacity, forecasted percent load, risk score, planned investment spending and investment summary information for all feeders and substation transformers, in future IDPs.
- Require Xcel to file any long-range distribution studies it had conducted in the past year in future IDPs.
- Amend IDP Requirement 3.D.2 as follows:

For each grid modernization project in its 5-year Action Plan, Xcel should provide a cost-benefit analysis, <u>based</u> on the best information it has at the time and including a <u>discussion of non-quantifiable benefits and all supporting information</u>.

The Department also requests that, in the future, stakeholders indicate the appropriate sections of the Xcel's IDP requirements that would be implicated in a recommendation to add, delete, or modify an IDP requirement. Additionally, it would likewise be useful if stakeholders framed each recommendation in language that could be incorporated into Xcel's IDP requirements and provide a red-line edition of Xcel's IDP requirements that reflect the stakeholder's recommendations.

The Department requests the Xcel indicate whether selection of a different filing date would better enable the Company to include the most recent planning information in its Integrated Distribution Plan.

Finally, the Department requests that Xcel provide additional information regarding the feasibility of providing a supplemental filing for NWA analysis before the 2019 IDP Report. If a supplemental filing for NWA analysis for the projects identified on page 85 of the IDP Report is provided, the Department requests that Xcel include a discussion of whether the \$2 million cost threshold is an appropriate level. This discussion should also provide information related to the number of projects that would be included in NWA analysis if the threshold were lowered to \$1 million and \$0.5 million tiers.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Linda Chavez, hereby certify that I have this day served copies of the following document on the attached list of persons by electronic filing, e-mail, or by depositing a true and correct copy thereof properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States Mail at St. Paul, Minnesota.

MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE – Reply Comments

Docket Nos. E002/CI-18-251	
Dated this 29th day of March, 2019.	
/s/Linda Chavez	

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Michael	Allen	michael.allen@allenergysol ar.com	All Energy Solar	721 W 26th st Suite 211 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55405	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
David	Amster Olzweski	david@mysunshare.com	SunShare, LLC	1151 Bannock St Denver, CO 80204-8020	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Christopher	Anderson	canderson@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022191	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Ellen	Anderson	ellena@umn.edu	325 Learning and Environmental Sciences	1954 Buford Ave Saint Paul, MN 55108	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Alison C	Archer	aarcher@misoenergy.org	MISO	2985 Ames Crossing Rd Eagan, MN 55121	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Donna	Attanasio	dattanasio@law.gwu.edu	George Washington University	2000 H Street NW Washington, DC 20052	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
John	Bailey	bailey@ilsr.org	Institute For Local Self- Reliance	1313 5th St SE Ste 303 Minneapolis, MN 55414	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Kenneth	Baker	Ken.Baker@walmart.com	Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	2001 SE 10th St. Bentonville, AR 72716-5530	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Sara	Baldwin Auck	sarab@irecusa.org	Interstate Renewable Energy Council, Inc.	PO Box 1156 Latham, NY 12110	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Gail	Baranko	gail.baranko@xcelenergy.c om	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall7th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
James J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@stinson.co m	Stinson Leonard Street LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Derek	Bertsch	derek.bertsch@mrenergy.c om	Missouri River Energy Services	3724 West Avera Drive PO Box 88920 Sioux Falls, SD 57109-8920	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
William	Black	bblack@mmua.org	MMUA	Suite 400 3025 Harbor Lane Not Plymouth, MN 554475142	Electronic Service th	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Kenneth	Bradley	kbradley1965@gmail.com		2837 Emerson Ave S Apt CW112 Minneapolis, MN 55408	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Jon	Brekke	jbrekke@grenergy.com	Great River Energy	12300 Elm Creek Boulevard Maple Grove, MN 553694718	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Sydney R.	Briggs	sbriggs@swce.coop	Steele-Waseca Cooperative Electric	2411 W. Bridge St PO Box 485 Owatonna, MN 55060-0485	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Mark B.	Bring	mbring@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	215 South Cascade Street PO Box 496 Fergus Falls, MN 565380496	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Tony	Brunello	BADEMAIL- tbrunello@greentechleader ship.org	Greentech Leadership Group	426 17th St Ste 700 Oakland, CA 94612-2850	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Christina	Brusven	cbrusven@fredlaw.com	Fredrikson Byron	200 S 6th St Ste 4000 Minneapolis, MN 554021425	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Michael J.	Bull	mbull@mncee.org	Center for Energy and Environment	212 Third Ave N Ste 560 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Jessica	Burdette	jessica.burdette@state.mn. us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place East Suite 500 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Jason	Burwen	j.burwen@energystorage.o rg	Energy Storage Association	1155 15th St NW, Ste 500 Washington, DC 20005	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Douglas M.	Carnival	dmc@mcgrannshea.com	McGrann Shea Carnival Straughn & Lamb	N/A	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Ray	Choquette	rchoquette@agp.com	Ag Processing Inc.	12700 West Dodge Road PO Box 2047 Omaha, NE 68103-2047	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Kenneth A.	Colburn	kcolburn@symbioticstrategi es.com	Symbiotic Strategies, LLC	26 Winton Road Meredith, NH 32535413	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.st ate.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1800 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
George	Crocker	gwillc@nawo.org	North American Water Office	PO Box 174 Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Arthur	Crowell	Crowell.arthur@yahoo.com	A Work of Art Solar	14333 Orchard Rd. Minnetonka, MN 55345	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
David	Dahlberg	davedahlberg@nweco.com	Northwestern Wisconsin Electric Company	P.O. Box 9 104 South Pine Street Grantsburg, WI 548400009	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
James	Denniston	james.r.denniston@xcelen ergy.com	Xcel Energy Services, Inc.	414 Nicollet Mall, Fifth Floor	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
				Minneapolis, MN 55401			
Curt	Dieren	curt.dieren@dgr.com	L&O Power Cooperative	1302 S Union St Rock Rapids, IA 51246	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
lan	Dobson	residential.utilities@ag.stat e.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012131	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Brian	Draxten	bhdraxten@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	P.O. Box 496 215 South Cascade S Fergus Falls, MN 565380498	Electronic Service treet	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Kristen	Eide Tollefson	healingsystems69@gmail.c om	R-CURE	28477 N Lake Ave Frontenac, MN 55026-1044	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Bob	Eleff	bob.eleff@house.mn	Regulated Industries Cmte	100 Rev Dr Martin Luther King Jr Blvd Room 600 St. Paul, MN 55155	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Betsy	Engelking	betsy@geronimoenergy.co m	Geronimo Energy	7650 Edinborough Way Suite 725 Edina, MN 55435	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Oncu	Er	oncu.er@avantenergy.com	Avant Energy, Agent for MMPA	220 S. Sixth St. Ste. 1300 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
James C.	Erickson	jericksonkbc@gmail.com	Kelly Bay Consulting	17 Quechee St Superior, WI 54880-4421	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
John	Farrell	jfarrell@ilsr.org	Institute for Local Self-Reliance	1313 5th St SE #303 Minneapolis, MN 55414	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
John	Fernandes	john.fernandes@res- americas.com	RES	11101 W. 120th Ave Suite 400 Broomfield, CO 80021	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Nathan	Franzen	nathan@geronimoenergy.c om	Geronimo Energy	7650 Edinborough Way Suite 725 Edina, MN 55435	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
John	Fuller	N/A	MN Senate	75 Rev Dr Martin Luther King Jr Blvd Room G-17 St. Paul, MN 55155	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Hal	Galvin	halgalvin@comcast.net	Provectus Energy Development llc	1936 Kenwood Parkway Minneapolis, MN 55405	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Edward	Garvey	garveyed@aol.com	Residence	32 Lawton St Saint Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Bruce	Gerhardson	bgerhardson@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	PO Box 496 215 S Cascade St Fergus Falls, MN 565380496	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Allen	Gleckner	gleckner@fresh-energy.org	Fresh Energy	408 St. Peter Street Ste 220 Saint Paul, Minnesota 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Timothy	Gulden	info@winonarenewableene rgy.com	Winona Renewable Energy, LLC	1449 Ridgewood Dr Winona, MN 55987	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Tony	Hainault	anthony.hainault@co.henn epin.mn.us	Hennepin County DES	701 4th Ave S Ste 700 Minneapolis, MN 55415-1842	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Kim	Havey	kim.havey@minneapolismn .gov	City of Minneapolis	350 South 5th Street, Suite 315M Minneapolis, MN 55415	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Todd	Headlee	theadlee@dvigridsolutions.com	Dominion Voltage, Inc.	701 E. Cary Street Richmond, VA 23219	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Duane	Hebert	duane.hebert@novelenerg y.biz	Novel Energy Solutions	1628 2nd Ave SE Rochester, MN 55904	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Kimberly	Hellwig	kimberly.hellwig@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Jared	Hendricks	hendricksj@owatonnautiliti es.com	Owatonna Public Utilities	PO Box 800 208 S Walnut Ave Owatonna, MN 55060-2940	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Annete	Henkel	mui@mnutilityinvestors.org	Minnesota Utility Investors	413 Wacouta Street #230 St.Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Shane	Henriksen	shane.henriksen@enbridge .com	Enbridge Energy Company, Inc.	1409 Hammond Ave FL 2 Superior, WI 54880	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Michael	Норре	il23@mtn.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.	932 Payne Avenue St. Paul, MN 55130	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Lori	Hoyum	lhoyum@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 West Superior Street Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
an	Hubbard	jan.hubbard@comcast.net		7730 Mississippi Lane Brooklyn Park, MN 55444	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Officia
Casey	Jacobson	cjacobson@bepc.com	Basin Electric Power Cooperative	1717 East Interstate Avenue Bismarck, ND 58501	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Ralph	Jacobson	ralphj@ips-solar.com		2126 Roblyn Avenue Saint Paul, Minnesota 55104	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
John S.	Jaffray	jjaffray@jjrpower.com	JJR Power	350 Highway 7 Suite 236 Excelsior, MN 55331	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Alan	Jenkins	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law	2265 Roswell Road Suite 100 Marietta, GA 30062	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Richard	Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.co m	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Nate	Jones	njones@hcpd.com	Heartland Consumers Power	PO Box 248 Madison, SD 57042	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Michael	Kampmeyer	mkampmeyer@a-e- group.com	AEG Group, LLC	260 Salem Church Road Sunfish Lake, Minnesota 55118	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Mark J.	Kaufman	mkaufman@ibewlocal949.o rg	IBEW Local Union 949	12908 Nicollet Avenue South Burnsville, MN 55337	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Jennifer	Kefer	jennifer@dgardiner.com	Alliance for Industrial Efficiency	David Gardiner & Associates, LLC 2609 11th St N Arlington, VA 22201-2825	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Julie	Ketchum	N/A	Waste Management	20520 Keokuk Ave Ste 200 Lakeville, MN 55044	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Brad	Klein	bklein@elpc.org	Environmental Law & Policy Center	35 E. Wacker Drive, Suite 1600 Suite 1600 Chicago, IL 60601	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Thomas	Koehler	TGK@IBEW160.org	Local Union #160, IBEW	2909 Anthony Ln St Anthony Village, MN 55418-3238	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Brian	Krambeer	bkrambeer@tec.coop	Tri-County Electric Cooperative	PO Box 626 31110 Cooperative W Rushford, MN 55971	Electronic Service ay	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Jon	Kramer	sundialjon@gmail.com	Sundial Solar	3209 W 76th St Edina, MN 55435	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Michael	Krause	michaelkrause61@yahoo.c	Kandiyo Consulting, LLC	433 S 7th Street Suite 2025 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Michael	Krikava	mkrikava@briggs.com	Briggs And Morgan, P.A.	2200 IDS Center 80 S 8th St Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Matthew	Lacey	Mlacey@grenergy.com	Great River Energy	12300 Elm Creek Boulevard Maple Grove, MN 553694718	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
James D.	Larson	james.larson@avantenergy .com	Avant Energy Services	220 S 6th St Ste 1300 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Douglas	Larson	dlarson@dakotaelectric.co m	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W Farmington, MN 55024	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Dean	Leischow	dean@sunrisenrg.com	Sunrise Energy Ventures	315 Manitoba Ave Wayzata, MN 55391	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Annie	Levenson Falk	annielf@cubminnesota.org	Citizens Utility Board of Minnesota	332 Minnesota Street, Suite W1360 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Benjamin	Lowe	N/A	Alevo USA Inc.	2321 Concord Parkway South Concord, North Carolina 28027	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Susan	Ludwig	sludwig@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 West Superior Street Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Kavita	Maini	kmaini@wi.rr.com	KM Energy Consulting LLC	961 N Lost Woods Rd Oconomowoc, WI 53066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Samuel	Mason	smason@beltramielectric.c om	Beltrami Electric Cooperative, Inc.	4111 Technology Dr. NW PO Box 488 Bemidji, MN 56619-0488	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Dave	McNary	David.McNary@hennepin.u s	Hennepin County DES	701 Fourth Ave S Ste 700 Minneapolis, MN 55415-1842	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
John	McWilliams	jmm@dairynet.com	Dairyland Power Cooperative	3200 East Ave SPO Box 817 La Crosse, WI 54601-7227	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Thomas	Melone	Thomas.Melone@AllcoUS.com	Minnesota Go Solar LLC	222 South 9th Street Suite 1600 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55120	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Herbert	Minke	hminke@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Dalene	Monsebroten	dalene@mncable.net	Northern Municipal Power Agency	123 2nd St W Thief River Falls, MN 56701	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Ben	Nelson	benn@cmpasgroup.org	СММРА	459 South Grove Street Blue Earth, MN 56013	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Carl	Nelson	cnelson@mncee.org	Center for Energy and Environment	212 3rd Ave N Ste 560 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Dale	Niezwaag	dniezwaag@bepc.com	Basin Electric Power Cooperative	1717 East Interstate Avenue Bismarck, ND 58503	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
David	Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.c om	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	220 South Sixth Street Suite 1300 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Sephra	Ninow	sephra.ninow@energycent er.org	Center for Sustainable Energy	426 17th Street, Suite 700 Oakland, CA 94612	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Rolf	Nordstrom	rnordstrom@gpisd.net	Great Plains Institute	2801 21ST AVE S STE 220 Minneapolis, MN 55407-1229	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Samantha	Norris	samanthanorris@alliantene rgy.com	Interstate Power and Light Company	200 1st Street SE PO Box 351 Cedar Rapids, IA 524060351	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
David	O'Brien	david.obrien@navigant.co m	Navigant Consulting	77 South Bedford St Ste 400 Burlington, MA 01803	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Jeff	O'Neill	jeff.oneill@ci.monticello.mn .us	City of Monticello	505 Walnut Street Suite 1 Monticelllo, Minnesota 55362	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Russell	Olson	rolson@hcpd.com	Heartland Consumers Power District	PO Box 248 Madison, SD 570420248	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Dan	Patry	dpatry@sunedison.com	SunEdison	600 Clipper Drive Belmont, CA 94002	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
leffrey C	Paulson	jeff.jcplaw@comcast.net	Paulson Law Office, Ltd.	4445 W 77th Street Suite 224 Edina, MN 55435	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Joyce	Peppin	joyce@mrea.org	Minnesota Rural Electric Association	11640 73rd Ave N Maple Grove, MN 55369	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Mary Beth	Peranteau	mperanteau@wheelerlaw.c om	Wheeler Van Sickle & Anderson SC	44 E. Mifflin Street, 10th Floor Madison, WI 53703	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Jennifer	Peterson	jjpeterson@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 West Superior Street Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Hannah	Polikov	hpolikov@aee.net	Advanced Energy Economy Institute	1000 Vermont Ave, Third Floor Washington, DC 20005	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
David G.	Prazak	dprazak@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	P.O. Box 496 215 South Cascade S Fergus Falls, MN 565380496	Electronic Service treet	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Gregory	Randa	granda@lakecountrypower. com	Lake Country Power	2810 Elida Drive Grand Rapids, MN 55744	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Mark	Rathbun	mrathbun@grenergy.com	Great River Energy	12300 Elm Creek Blvd Maple Grove, MN 55369	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Michael	Reinertson	michael.reinertson@avante nergy.com	Avant Energy	220 S. Sixth St. Ste 1300 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
John C.	Reinhardt		Laura A. Reinhardt	3552 26Th Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55406	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Kevin	Reuther	kreuther@mncenter.org	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy	26 E Exchange St, Ste 206 St. Paul, MN 551011667	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Robert K.	Sahr	bsahr@eastriver.coop	East River Electric Power Cooperative	P.O. Box 227 Madison, SD 57042	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Richard	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.c om	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Thomas	Scharff	thomas.scharff@versoco.c	Verso Corp	600 High Street Wisconsin Rapids, WI 54495	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Larry L.	Schedin	Larry@LLSResources.com	LLS Resources, LLC	332 Minnesota St, Ste W1390 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Christopher	Schoenherr	cp.schoenherr@smmpa.or g	SMMPA	500 First Ave SW Rochester, MN 55902-3303	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Kay	Schraeder	kschraeder@minnkota.com	Minnkota Power	5301 32nd Ave S Grand Forks, ND 58201	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Dean	Sedgwick	N/A	Itasca Power Company	PO Box 455 Spring Lake, MN 56680	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Maria	Seidler	maria.seidler@dom.com	Dominion Energy Technology	120 Tredegar Street Richmond, Virginia 23219	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
William	Seuffert	Will.Seuffert@state.mn.us		75 Rev Martin Luther King Jr Blvd 130 State Capitol St. Paul, MN 55155	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
David	Shaffer	shaff081@gmail.com	Minnesota Solar Energy Industries Project	1005 Fairmount Ave Saint Paul, MN 55105	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Patricia	Sharkey	psharkey@environmentalla wcounsel.com	Midwest Cogeneration Association.	180 N. LaSalle Street Suite 3700 Chicago, Illinois 60601	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Bria	Shea	bria.e.shea@xcelenergy.co m	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Doug	Shoemaker	dougs@mnRenewables.or g	Minnesota Renewable Energy	2928 5th Ave S Minneapolis, MN 55408	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Mrg	Simon	mrgsimon@mrenergy.com	Missouri River Energy Services	3724 W. Avera Drive P.O. Box 88920 Sioux Falls, SD 571098920	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Anne	Smart	anne.smart@chargepoint.c om	ChargePoint, Inc.	254 E Hacienda Ave Campbell, CA 95008	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.c om	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@ever- greenenergy.com	Ever Green Energy	305 Saint Peter St Saint Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Joshua	Smith	joshua.smith@sierraclub.or g		85 Second St FL 2 San Francisco, California 94105	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Trevor	Smith	trevor.smith@avantenergy.	Avant Energy, Inc.	220 South Sixth Street Suite 1300 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Beth H.	Soholt	bsoholt@windonthewires.or g	Wind on the Wires	570 Asbury Street Suite 201 St. Paul, MN 55104	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Sky	Stanfield	stanfield@smwlaw.com	Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger	396 Hayes Street San Francisco, CA 94102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Tom	Stanton	tstanton@nrri.org	NRRI	1080 Carmack Road Columbus, OH 43210	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Byron E.	Starns	byron.starns@stinson.com	Stinson Leonard Street LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
James M.	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy- graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	470 U.S. Bank Plaza 200 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Thomas P.	Sweeney III	tom.sweeney@easycleane nergy.com	Clean Energy Collective	P O Box 1828 Boulder, CO 80306-1828	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Lynnette	Sweet	Regulatory.records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Steve	Thompson	stevet@cmpasgroup.org	Central Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	459 S Grove St Blue Earth, MN 56013-2629	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Stuart	Tommerdahl	stommerdahl@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	215 S Cascade St PO Box 496 Fergus Falls, MN 56537	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Pat	Treseler	pat.jcplaw@comcast.net	Paulson Law Office LTD	4445 W 77th Street Suite 224 Edina, MN 55435	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Lise	Trudeau	lise.trudeau@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place East Suite 500 Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Karen	Turnboom	karen.turnboom@versoco.c om	Verso Corporation	100 Central Avenue Duluth, MN 55807	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Andrew	Twite	twite@fresh-energy.org	Fresh Energy	408 St. Peter Street, Ste. 220 St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Lisa	Veith	lisa.veith@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of St. Paul	400 City Hall and Courthouse 15 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Roger	Warehime	warehimer@owatonnautiliti es.com	Owatonna Public Utilities	208 South WalnutPO Box 800 Owatonna, MN 55060	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Jenna	Warmuth	jwarmuth@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 55802-2093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Robyn	Woeste	robynwoeste@alliantenerg y.com	Interstate Power and Light Company	200 First St SE Cedar Rapids, IA 52401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Thomas J.	Zaremba	TZaremba@wheelerlaw.com	WHEELER, VAN SICKLE & ANDERSON	44 E. Mifflin Street, 10th Floor Madison, WI 53703	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official
Christopher	Zibart	czibart@atcllc.com	American Transmission Company LLC	W234 N2000 Ridgeview Pkwy Court Waukesha, WI 53188-1022	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_18-251_Official