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## Before the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

# Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce Division of Energy Resources 

Docket No. G004/M-19-273

## I. SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL

On April 15, 2019, Great Plains Natural Gas Company (Great Plains or GP or the Company) filed a petition requesting that the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) approve new rates for the Company's Gas Utility Infrastructure Costs (GUIC) tariff, to be effective October 1, 2019 (Petition).

Table 1 summarizes GP's projected 2019 revenue requirement proposed to be recovered through the GUIC, including a true-up of the prior year's revenue requirement with actual costs and recoveries for the period ending December 31, 2018, actual recoveries for January - March 2019 and projected recoveries through September 2019. A summary of GP's proposed rate adjustment factors is provided below in Table 2.

Table 1: Great Plains' Proposed Annual Revenue Requirements ${ }^{1}$

| 2017 Revenue Requirement | $\$ 395,916$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2018 Revenue Requirement | $\$ 780,496$ |
| Less: Actual Recoveries (Nov 2017-Dec 2018) | $(\$ 571,363)$ |
| Under/Over Recovery - Dec 2018 | $\$ 605,049$ |
| Less: Recoveries (Jan 2019 - Sept 2019) | $(\$ 404,444)$ |
| Subtotal - GUIC Under Recovery | $\$ 200,605$ |
| 2019 Projected Revenue Requirements | $\$ 1,071,716$ |
|  |  |
| Total Annual Revenue Requirements | $\$ 1,272,321$ |

[^0]Table 2: Great Plains' Proposed GUIC Rates (\$/dekatherm) to Be Effective October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020²

|  | Proposed <br> Rate | Current <br> Rate | Increase (+) or <br> Decrease (-) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sales Customers |  |  |  |
| Residential | $\$ 0.4221$ | $\$ 0.2494$ | $+\$ 0.1727$ |
| Firm General | $\$ 0.3104$ | $\$ 0.1792$ | $+\$ 0.1312$ |
| Small Interruptible | $\$ 0.2063$ | $\$ 0.1519$ | $+\$ 0.0544$ |
| Large Interruptible | $\$ 0.1683$ | $\$ 0.1103$ | $+\$ 0.0580$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Transportation Customers |  |  |  |
| Small Interruptible | $\$ 0.0731$ | $\$ 0.0791$ | $-\$ 0.0060$ |
| Large Interruptible | $\$ 0.0601$ | $\$ 0.0105$ | $+\$ 0.0496$ |

The full tariff modifications for which Great Plains is requesting approval are shown in Exhibit C of the Company's petition.

On September 6, 2019 Great Plains filed a request to modify its Petition due to its plans to file a general rate case on or about September 27, 2019, with a revenue requirement based on a calendar 2020 test year. The upcoming rate case and its implications for the instant Petition (proposed to be effective October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020) are discussed briefly in Section III B below.

## II. BACKGROUND

The Commission established a GUIC rider for Great Plains on October 6, 2017 under the GUIC statute, Minnesota Statutes section 216B.1635. ${ }^{3}$ In general, Great Plains' GUIC adjustment is a per-dekatherm charge that recovers the pipeline replacement costs that Great Plains incurs to comply with Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) regulations; the first adjustment became effective on November 1, 2017. ${ }^{4}$ Currently, the adjustment only recovers the costs of distribution mains and services replacements undertaken in compliance with the Company's PHMSA-mandated Distribution Integrity Management Program (DIMP); no Transmission Integrity Management Program projects are included in the proposed GUIC. The adjustment is also limited to replacements in Great Plains' Minnesota service area, as Great Plains also has customers in North Dakota.

[^1]
## III. DEPARTMENT ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED RATE CHANGE

The Department analyzes whether Great Plains' Petition complies with the requirements set forth in the GUIC statute, other Minnesota statutes, and the Company's current GUIC tariff in assessing whether the petition is reasonable. The Department also discusses the implications of this petition in light of the general rate case that Great Plains expects to file in the near future.

## A. FILING REQUIREMENTS

The first issue is whether Great Plains' petition complies with the filing requirements specified in subdivisions 2,3 , and 4 of the GUIC statute, set forth below:

Subd. 2. Gas infrastructure filing. A public utility submitting a petition to recover gas infrastructure costs under this section must submit to the commission, the department, and interested parties a gas infrastructure project plan report and a petition for rate recovery of only incremental costs associated with projects under subdivision 1, paragraph (c). The report and petition must be made at least 150 days in advance of implementation of the rate schedule, provided that the rate schedule will not be implemented until the petition is approved by the commission pursuant to subdivision 5 . The report must be for a forecast period of one year.

Subd. 3. Gas infrastructure project plan report. The gas infrastructure project plan report required to be filed under subdivision 2 shall include all pertinent information and supporting data on each proposed project including, but not limited to, project description and scope, estimated project costs, and project in- service date.

Subd. 4. Cost recovery petition for utility's facilities. A gas utility's petition for approval of a rate schedule to recover gas utility infrastructure costs outside of a general rate case under section 216B. 16 is subject to the following:
(1) a gas utility may submit a filing under this section no more than once per year; and
(2) a gas utility must file sufficient information to satisfy the commission regarding the proposed GUIC. The information includes, but is not limited to:
(i) the information required to be included in the gas infrastructure project plan report under subdivision 3;
(ii) the government entity ordering or requiring the gas utility project and the purpose for which the project is undertaken;
(iii) a description of the estimated costs and salvage value, if any, associated with the existing infrastructure replaced or modified as a result of the project;
(iv) a comparison of the utility's estimated costs included in the gas infrastructure project plan and the actual costs incurred, including a description of the utility's efforts to ensure the costs of the facilities are reasonable and prudently incurred;
(v) calculations to establish that the rate adjustment is consistent with the terms of the rate schedule, including the proposed rate design and an explanation of why the proposed rate design is in the public interest;
(vi) the magnitude and timing of any known future gas utility projects that the utility may seek to recover under this section;
(vii) the magnitude of GUIC in relation to the gas utility's base revenue as approved by the commission in the gas utility's most recent general rate case, exclusive of gas purchase costs and transportation charges;
(viii) the magnitude of GUIC in relation to the gas utility's capital expenditures since its most recent general rate case; and
(ix) the amount of time since the utility last filed a general rate case and the utility's reasons for seeking recovery outside of a general rate case.

The Department confirmed that Great Plains' Petition provided all the required information, as specified in Exhibit A of the Company's Petition. The Department also confirmed that Great Plains has not submitted another true-up filing under the GUIC statute this year, in compliance with subdivision 4, paragraph (1) of the GUIC statute, and that Great Plains submitted its Petition at least 150 days in advance of the Company's proposed implementation of the updated GUIC tariff, as required by subdivision 2.

## B. UPCOMING RATE CASE

Given the close link between riders and rate cases, the Department discusses this issue up front. Great Plains expects to file a general rate case, Docket No. G004/GR-19-511 in the near future. On September 6, 2019 Great Plains filed a request to modify its Petition due to its plans to file a general rate case with a revenue requirement based on a calendar 2020 test year on or about September 27, 2019. In its modification request, the Company stated the following:

Great Plains plans to file a general natural gas rate case with a revenue requirement based on a projected 2020 test period on or about September 27, 2019. This general rate case filing will include the GUIC projects for 2019 referenced above as well as the projected 2020 PVC replacement projects that would have been included in the 2020 GUIC filing. In light of the timing of Great Plains' general rate case filing, Great Plains now requests that the Commission approve the 2019 GUIC projects and the associated revenue requirement for those projects as submitted in the Company's April 15 filing. However, the Company requests that the Commission suspend the GUIC adjustment rate coincident with the implementation of interim rates in the upcoming natural gas rate case. Great Plains will be requesting to implement interim rates on January 1, 2020 so that the implementation of interim rates corresponds to the start of the test year. ${ }^{2}$ This would have the effect of moving the GUIC costs as of December 31, 2019 into interim rates effective January 1, 2020. The suspension of a rate adjustment under the GUIC at the same time interim rates in the upcoming rate case are implemented will ensure that there is no overlap in recovery of the GUIC projects and provide a logical means of moving the GUIC projects through 2020 into base retail rates as part of the rate case.

Finally, Great Plains proposes to true-up for the 2019 revenue requirement and to defer the true-up balance to the subsequent GUIC filing, to be submitted in April 2020, in lieu of a rate adjustment October 1, 2019.

Great Plains has discussed this proposal with the Department and believes this direction is consistent with past practice.
2. The Commission approved a similar request to delay the interim rate implementation until January 1, which is beyond the 60-day requirement under Minn. Stat. § 216B.16, Subd. 3(a) in Great Plains' last natural gas rate case Docket No. G-004/GR-15-879.

The Department agrees with Great Plains that this proposed approach is reasonable. Having all of the costs for 2020 recovered in one place avoids concerns about double-recovery of costs. The Department also agrees with Great Plains that the modification request does the following:

- suspends the GUIC rider beginning January 1, 2020;
- moves rider costs as of December 31, 2019 (undepreciated rate base and O\&M expenses) into interim rates, effective January 1, 2020; and
- focuses the true-up filed in Spring of 2020 on any under- or over-recovery in the rider (tracker balance) as of December 31, 2019.

The Commission will still need to approve Great Plains' proposed annual revenue requirements in the Petition for the period from October 1, 2019 to December 31, 2019. Thus, the Department provides its analysis below.

## C. COST/PROJECT ELIGIBILITY

The Department examined whether the GUIC costs/projects for which Great Plains is requesting recovery satisfy the definitional requirements in the GUIC statute, subdivision 1, paragraphs (b) and (c), which state:
(b) "Gas utility infrastructure costs" or "GUIC" means costs incurred in gas utility projects that:
(1) do not serve to increase revenues by directly connecting the infrastructure replacement to new customers;
(2) are in service but were not included in the gas utility's rate base in its most recent general rate case, or are planned to be in service during the period covered by the report submitted under subdivision 2, but in no case longer than the one-year forecast period in the report; and
(3) do not constitute a betterment, unless the betterment is based on requirements by a political subdivision or a federal or state agency, as evidenced by specific documentation, an order, or other similar requirement from the government entity requiring the replacement or modification of infrastructure.
(c) "Gas utility projects" means:
(1) replacement of natural gas facilities located in the public right-of-way required by the construction or improvement of a highway, road, street, public building, or other public work by or on behalf of the United States, the state of Minnesota, or a political subdivision; and
(2) replacement or modification of existing natural gas facilities, including surveys, assessments, reassessment, and other work necessary to determine the need for replacement or modification of existing infrastructure that is required by a federal or state agency.

The current version of Great Plains' GUIC tariff5 echoes these definitions, stating, under "Applicability," that the tariff "constitutes provision to recover the costs of investment and associated expenses for the replacement of natural gas distribution facilities required to comply with state and federal pipeline safety programs."

The Department reviewed Great Plains' description of the costs/projects, particularly the information provided in Exhibit B of the Company's Petition, and confirmed that the costs/projects satisfy the GUIC Statute definitional requirements. The costs for which Great Plains is requesting recovery are limited to the DIMP capital costs for replacing polyvinyl distribution mains and services. Great Plains' petition also clarifies that the costs are limited to projects incurred for Great Plains' Minnesota customers.

## D. RATE OF RETURN

The next issue is whether Great Plains' GUIC proposal complies with the rate of return requirements in subdivision 6 of the GUIC statute, which state that rate of return "shall be at the level approved by the commission in the public utility's last general rate case, unless the commission determines that a different rate of return is in the public interest." Great Plains proposes to continue using the $7.032 \%$ rate of return approved in the Company's last general rate case. ${ }^{6}$ The Department supports this proposal for Great Plains, as the Company clearly identified the GUIC projects involved in this rate request and use of this rate would maintain continuity from the last approved GUIC rates.

## E. REVENUE REQUIREMENT AND RATE CALCULATION

The Department examined whether the revenue requirement would recover the costs specified in subdivision 4 of the GUIC statute and is calculated in accordance with the requirements of Minnesota statutes and Commission Order establishing the GUIC. Subdivision 4 of the GUIC statute provides the general requirements, stating that:
... the commission may approve a rate schedule for the automatic annual adjustment of charges for gas utility infrastructure costs net of revenues under this section, including a rate of return, income taxes on the rate of return, incremental property taxes, incremental depreciation expense, and any incremental operation and maintenance costs.

[^2]Great Plains indicated that the DIMP projects all involve replacing PVC mains and services in Minnesota. As noted above, Great Plains is filing a general rate case soon; thus, there will be an opportunity to ensure that there is no double-recovery between the GUIC and base rates.

Great Plains' GUIC tariff ${ }^{7}$ also provides the specifics of how to calculate the rates, stating, under the "Adjustment" section:

1. The Projected Revenue Requirement shall include:
a. The return on investment on the rate base reflecting the eligible projects shall be based on the twelve month average of the projected investment and the authorized rate of return authorized in the most recent general rate case, unless otherwise determined by the Commission.
b. The operation and maintenance, depreciation expense and ad valorem tax expense associated with the eligible projects.
c. The revenue requirement shall be apportioned to each rate class based on the authorized non-gas costs (margin) apportionment.
d. The revenue requirement for each rate class shall be divided by projected annual dk sales and transportation volumes, excluding flexible rate contracts, to derive a cost per dk for each rate class.
2. The true-up
a. For each annual period ending December 31 a true-up will be calculated for each rate class and will be applied effective with the change in the GUIC. This adjustment shall include:
i. The balance in the (over) under recovered gas cost account as of December 31.
ii. The difference between the revenue requirement based on actual project costs and recovered costs for each customer class for the twelve months ending October December 31. The amount may be an under recovery or (over) recovery.

[^3]b. The resulting balance is divided by the projected annual dk sales and transportation volumes.

The Department obtained the calculations supporting Great Plains' proposed rates through an information request and confirmed that the calculations follow the steps prescribed above. The Department also checked the calculations for errors and did not find any. Additionally, in Great Plains' Petition for Approval of the 2019 Annual Depreciation Study in Docket No. G004/D-19-376 (Docket 19376), the Department stated the following: ${ }^{8}$
... The Department concludes that using the previously approved depreciation rates for these accounts for 2019 is reasonable. However, as this program progresses, the Department would expect the depreciation rates to be adjusted to reflect changes associated with the replacement of the relevant assets. The Department recommends that the Commission continue to require Great Plains to provide an update on the Company's PVC replacement program in its future depreciation studies.

Lastly, the Department compared Great Plains' projected sales with the forecasted sales information filed by the Company in Great Plains' Reports for the Regional Energy Information System (REIS) in Dockets EG999/PR-18-19 and E, G999/PR-19-19. The projected sales in the petition appear to be reasonable. In addition, in last year's GUIC filing in Docket No. G004/M-18-182 (Docket 18-182) the Department stated the following: ${ }^{9}$

Lastly, the Department reviewed Great Plains' forecasting process with a Company representative and confirmed that it was reasonable, as it is same process used for internal gas acquisitions and the Company therefore has an incentive to make its forecasts accurate. ${ }^{7}$

[^4]As mentioned above, for GUIC project expenses to be eligible for recovery through the GUIC Rider, the project costs must meet the following requirements:

- Project costs must be incremental to costs already recovered in base rates;
- Projects cannot serve to increase revenues by connecting new customers to the system; and

[^5]- Projects cannot constitute a "betterment" to the system, unless that betterment is required by a political subdivision or federal or state agency.

In its Petition, the Company stated the following: ${ }^{10}$
The Company's filing does not include an adjustment related to the infrastructure being replaced under its replacement program nor does it include the associated cost of removal. The mains and services are accounted for as mass assets which does not maintain the accumulated reserve for individual sections of pipe. Therefore, upon retirement of mains and service, the original cost of plant in service is removed and applied to the accumulated reserve balance with no resulting change in rate base. The Company's experience has indicated that there is no salvage value associated with PVC mains and services which have been removed.

The Department notes that the issues surrounding mass accounting in terms of quantifying "incremental costs" in GUIC riders is discussed extensively in the Department's July 2, 2018 Comments in Xcel Energy's GUIC Rider in Docket No. G002/M-17-787 (Docket 17-787). In that docket, Xcel Energy argued that it wasn't able to track the individual costs of the pipes being replaced due to the use of mass accounting despite being able to track and include the removal costs associated with these pipes in its GUIC rate base. As a result, the Department concluded that, to avoid double-charging ratepayers for costs, if the costs to remove the old pipes are included in the GUIC rate base, the GUIC rate base must also be adjusted for the remaining original cost of the existing pipes being replaced. Consequently, the Department recommended that Xcel Energy either exclude its removal costs from GUIC rate base or remove the remaining original cost of the old pipes being replaced.

Subsequently, Xcel Energy acknowledged that the GUIC projects replace existing assets, therefore made adjustments to rate base, depreciation and property tax in order to reflect only the incremental costs of the GUIC projects in its recovery request. ${ }^{11}$ The Department concluded that this issue was resolved in that docket and recommended that Xcel Energy continue the practice of isolating only the incremental costs in its future GUIC Rider cost recovery petitions.

Great Plains did not include any additional removal costs associated with the pipes being replaced in its GUIC Rider. In addition, because Great Plains will be filing a rate case soon, it will be possible to ensure that Great Plains recovers distribution costs only once. As a result the Department does not oppose Great Plains' proposed treatment of the pipes being replaced in its GUIC Rider for the months of October through December 2019 and subsequently in its rate case. The Department concludes that Great Plains' proposed rates would recover the costs specified in subdivision 4 of the GUIC statute and are calculated in accordance with the requirements of the statute and GUIC tariff.

[^6]
## F. PRUDENCY

The final issue is determining whether the costs to be recovered in Great Plains' updated GUIC rates "are prudently incurred and achieve gas facility improvements at the lowest reasonable and prudent cost to ratepayers," as required by subdivision 5 of the GUIC statute.

Regarding this requirement, Great Plains' Petition states on page 3 of Exhibit B that the Company satisfied this requirement by "using a competitive bidding process with multiple contractors on large projects" and "closely watching costs to stay within the budgeted amounts or determine why expenditures were different from the budget." Page 4 of Exhibit B adds more detail, stating:

The 2019 projects identified [in the petition and for which Great Plains is requesting cost recovery] are being bid through a Request for Proposal (RFP) process. The bid process will be finalized in April. The costs and footages shown above [in Great Plains' petition] are based on the quantities in the RFP.

In response to a Department information request, Great Plains provided more information on the RFP, stating in part:

The PVC replacement projects for Pelican Rapids and Montevideo were bid through an RFP issued on April 5, 2019, with the scope of the work for each project area provided by Great Plains. Company Engineering and Operations staff evaluated the bids received in response to the RFP, taking into account the overall cost of the project, the contractor's experience and ability to perform the work requested. ...

Great Plains has complied with the requirements of Minnesota Statutes, section 216B.1635, subdivision 5, by engaging multiple contractors for project bids through the RFP process. Through this process, 5 bids were received for the Montevideo project and 3 bids were received for Pelican Rapids. Contractor bids were evaluated based on the overall cost the contractor's bid to complete the project, as well as the contractor's experience and ability to perform the work requested. The contractors selected to perform the 2019 projects were chosen the based on their bids being the lowest bid for the respective location, and their experience with natural gas systems within the Great Plains service area.

None of the successful bidders are affiliated with Great Plains Natural Gas Co., Montana-Dakota Utilities, or MDU Resources Group, Inc.

Based on the information provided by the Company in its Petition and response to the Department's information request, the Department agrees that that Great Plains has satisfied the subdivision 5 requirements. It is reasonably clear that Great Plains attempted to perform "at the lowest reasonable and prudent cost to ratepayers" and that the costs "are prudently incurred."

## G. PRORATED ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES

The Department notes that, if the 2019 GUIC is implemented prior to December 31, 2019, it will be necessary to address the issue of proration of accumulated deferred income taxes (ADIT); thus, the Department provides this analysis.

ADIT keeps track of (accumulates) the extent to which ratepayers pre-pay a utility for its federal income taxes; ${ }^{12}$ ADIT reduces rate base, thus giving ratepayers credit for the prepayment. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Regulation Section 1.167(I)-1(h)(6) states that ratemaking procedures and adjustments must be consistent with normalization accounting. The IRS requires that, if rates are implemented prior to the end of the "test period" on which rates are based, ADIT must be prorated (reduced) for each of the months remaining in the test period. If rates are implemented after the test period, the IRS requires no proration.

Since riders involve new assets, ADIT proration increases rates to ratepayers during the early life of the assets. However, because the assets are subsequently rolled into base rates, ratepayers typically do not receive an offsetting rate reduction during the later years in the life of the asset. In other words, ratepayers are generally not made whole for the effects of ADIT proration in riders. As a result, this issue continues to require examination.

Further, there is concern about Great Plains' proposed method of prorating ADIT. As shown on Exhibit D, Page 17 of 18 of its filing, Great Plains included the effects of proration on its forecasted 2019 ADIT balances. Great Plains' 2019 deferred tax expense for Mains, which is included in its 2019 annual revenue requirements, totals $\$ 11,192$. However, instead of recording the entire $\$ 11,192$ to its 2019 ADIT balance, Great Plains proposes to record only the prorated amount of $\$ 5,189$ to its ADIT balance to calculate its 2019 annual revenue requirement calculations. That is, ratepayers would miss out on over $\$ 6,000$ of the expected reduction in rate base. Similarly, Great Plains' 2019 deferred tax expense for Services totals $\$ 7,916$ but only $\$ 3,668$ is recorded to the ADIT balance for ratemaking purposes. In other words, ratepayers are paying $\$ 20,906(\$ 11,192+\$ 9,714)$ in deferred tax expense but only receiving a credit to ADIT of $\$ 9,692(\$ 5,189+\$ 4,503)$ due to the effects of proration. ${ }^{13}$

[^7]The Department notes that while Great Plains provided the effects of its calculations of proration on its ADIT balances, did not provide the effects of prorated ADIT on its 2019 annual revenue requirements when compared to not using ADIT proration.

The Department notes that Xcel Energy proposed an alternative prorated ADIT methodology based on consultations with Deloitte Tax Services in its May 25, 2018 and July 16, 2018 supplemental reply comments in Docket No. E002/M-17-797 (17-797). Under this alternative prorated ADIT methodology, commonly referred to as the Deloitte method or Xcel method, each forecasted month is considered a separate test period for proration purposes. The Deloitte method significantly reduced the effects of proration on Xcel Energy's ADIT balances and annual revenue requirements in 17-797. As a result, the Department asked Great Plains, in Department Information Request No. 4, to provide the effects of ADIT proration on its annual revenue requirements using the Deloitte method. As summarized below in Table 3, GP's use of the Deloitte methodology (alternative monthly) only slightly reduced its 2019 annual revenue requirements when compared to the annual proration methodology used in its initial filing (as filed): ${ }^{14}$

## Department Table 3: Impact of proration methodology on Great Plains 2018 True-Up and Projected 2019 Annual Revenue Requirements ${ }^{15}$

|  | Alternative <br> Monthly |  | As Filed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | Variance |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Depreciation Expense | $\$ 259,294$ | $\$ 259,294$ |
| Ad Valorem Taxes | 167,197 | 167,197 | - |
| Return | 508,717 | 509,712 | $(995)$ |
| Income Taxes | $(172,424)$ | $(172,520)$ | 96 |
| Gross up for Taxes | 307,670 | 308,033 | $(363)$ |
| 2019 Sub-Total | $\$ 1,070,454$ | $\$ 1,071,716$ | $(\$ 1,262)$ |
| 2018 True-Up | $\$ 779,546$ | $\$ 780,496$ | $(\$ 950)$ |
| Total | $\$ 1,850,000$ | $\$ 1,852,212$ | $(\$ 2,212)$ |

[^8]The Department notes Great Plains' relatively small impact on its annual revenue requirements using the Deloitte method (above in Table 3) in contrast to Xcel's significant impact on its annual revenue requirements using the Deloitte method in 17-797 as shown below in Table 4:

## Department Table 4: Impact of Proration Methodology on Xcel Energy's 2018-2019 Annual Revenue Requirements in 17-797 ${ }^{16}$

|  | 2018 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADIT Proration As-filed <br> ADIT Proration Refined <br> (Deloitte Method) | $\$ 627,974$ | $\$ 241,014$ |
| Difference | $(\$ 627,776)$ | $(\$ 240,787)$ |

Due to this apparent discrepancy, the Department further reviewed Great Plains' and Xcel Energy's prorated ADIT calculations and resulting annual revenue requirements using the Deloitte methodology. The Department's review indicates that Great Plains used beginning monthly prorated ADIT balances in its annual revenue requirement calculations instead of re-setting its beginning monthly ADIT balances on a non-prorated basis; in other words, Great Plains carried forward its monthly prorated ADIT balances to the following month, thus compounding the effects of monthly proration on its ADIT balances. In contrast, and consistent with the concept that each month represents separate test period, Xcel Energy re-set its beginning monthly ADIT balance on a nonprorated basis since the previous monthly test period is considered historical under the Deloitte method.

Based on the above, the Department recommends that Great Plains recalculate the effects of the Deloitte method on its ADIT balances and resulting annual revenue requirements for the months of October through December, 2019 in reply comments using non-prorated beginning monthly balances in its calculations. The Department will provide its final recommendations regarding prorated ADIT in subsequent comments after it has reviewed Great Plains reply comments.

## H. EXCESS ADIT AND AMORTIZATION

The Commission's February 12, 2019 Order in 18-282 required Great Plains to include, in future GUIC filings, its excess ADIT balance and any related amortization amounts to be refunded to customers. ${ }^{17}$ Great Plains stated the following on page 7 of its Petition:

[^9]With respect to the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA), Great Plains recalculated Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (ADIT) for its existing GUIC assets as of December 31, 2018, but since accelerated tax depreciation still exceeds book depreciation for those assets, the Company concluded that no ADIT refund was warranted at this time. Great Plains will amortize and return excess ADIT in any future period in which the book depreciation exceeds tax depreciation in a manner consistent with the Commission's decision in Docket No. E,G-999/Cl-17-895.

While the Department agrees with Great Plains that the Company's excess ADIT balance would begin to be amortized and refunded to customers in future periods according to the average-rateassumption method (ARAM) required by the Commission in Docket No. E,G-999/Cl-17-895, the Department notes that Great Plains did not provide its excess ADIT balance as required by the Commission's Order in 18-282. Thus, the Department recommends that Great Plains provide its excess ADIT balance in reply comments.

## IV. DEPARTMENT ANALYSIS OF TARIFF CLARIFICATIONS

Great Plains proposes to update the GUIC adjustment rate to its GUIC tariff. The Department agrees and concludes that Great Plains' changes should accurately reflect the respective Order in the current Docket in its future compliance filing, including the suspension of the GUIC Rider beginning January 1, 2020.

## V. SUMMARY OF DEPARTMENT CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Regarding the GUIC cost recovery for the period January 2020 through September 2020, the Department recommends that the Commission approve Great Plains' proposal to:

- suspend the GUIC rider beginning January 1, 2020;
- move rider costs as of December 31, 2019 (undepreciated rate base and O\&M expenses) into interim rates, effective January 1, 2020; and
- focus the true-up filed in Spring of 2020 on any under- or over-recovery in the rider (tracker balance) as of December 31, 2019.

Regarding Great Plains' proposed annual revenue requirements in the Petition for the period from October 1, 2019 to December 31, 2019, the Department recommends that Great Plains recalculate the effects of the Deloitte method on its ADIT balances and resulting annual revenue requirements in reply comments using non-prorated beginning monthly balances in its calculations. The Department will provide its final recommendations regarding prorated ADIT in subsequent comments after it has reviewed Great Plains reply comments.

## Minnesota Department of Commerce <br> Division of Energy Resources Information Request

| Docket Number: | G004/M-19-273 | $\square$ Nonpublic 区Public |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Requested From: | Great Plains Natural Gas Co., - Tamie Aberle | Date of Request: 4/17/2019 |
| Type of Inquiry: | General | Response Due: 4/29/2019 |
| Requested by: | Sachin Shah |  |
| Email Address(es): | sachin.shah@state.mn.us |  |
| Phone Number(s): | 651-539-1834 |  |
| Request Number: | 1 |  |
| Topic: |  |  |
| Reference(s): | Great Plains Natural Gas Co. (Great Plains), a Co., April 15, 2019 Filing. | of Montana-Dakota Utilities |

## Request:

Please provide all of the tables, Exhibits, and all the data used to create them, in Microsoft Excel format with all links and formulae intact.

In addition, please provide Exhibits C and G of the filing referenced above in Microsoft Word format.

If this information has already been provided in the application or in response to an earlier Department information request (IR), please identify the specific cite(s) or Department IR numbers(s).

## Response:

See Excel file titled "Response No. 1 Exhibits B, D, E, and F". Information entered directly is highlighted and supported in Response No. 1 Attachment A as noted.

See the Word files titled "Response No. 1 Exhibit C", "Response No. 1 Exhibit C-redline", and "Response No. 1 Exhibit G".

To be completed by responder

Response Date: April 26, 2019
Response by: Travis Jacobson, Manager of Regulatory Affairs
Email Address: travis.jacobson@mdu.com
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In the Matter of the Petition by Great Plains Natural Gas Co., a Division of MDU Resources Group, Inc., for Authority to Increase Natural Gas Rates in Minnesota

Chair
Commissioner
Commissioner
Commissioner
Commissioner

ISSUE DATE: September 6, 2016
DOCKET NO. G-004/GR-15-879
FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS, AND ORDER

## PROCEDURAL HISTORY

## I. Initial Filings and Orders

On September 30, 2015, Great Plains Natural Gas Company, a Division of MDU Resources Group, Inc., filed this general rate case. The Company asked to increase Minnesota retail naturalgas rates by some $\$ 1,580,000$, or approximately $6.4 \%$, per year. The filing included a proposed interim rate schedule.

On the same date, the Company filed a petition to establish a new base cost of gas for the period during which interim rates would be in effect; that petition was granted by order dated November 30, $2015 .{ }^{1}$

Also on November 30, 2015, the Commission issued three orders in this case:

- an order finding the rate-case filing substantially complete, suspending the proposed final rates, and extending the time period for deciding the case;
- a notice of and order for hearing referring the case to the Office of Administrative Hearings for contested-case proceedings; and
- an order setting interim rates for the period during which the rate case was being resolved.

[^10][Footnote 4: Page 37 of Mr. Addonizio’s Revised Direct Testimony incorrectly stated that the Department's proposed short-term debt interest rate is 1.244 percent. The correct short-term debt interest rate is 1.205 percent, as noted in Attachment CMA-RD-9 to Mr. Addonizio's Revised Direct Testimony.]

The Company accepted the Department's proposed cost of shortterm debt.

## XVI. Final Capital Structure and Overall Cost of Capital

The final capital structure and overall cost of capital resulting from the decisions made in this order are set forth below:

| Component | Ratio | Cost | Weighted Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-Term Debt | $41.712 \%$ | $5.492 \%$ | $2.291 \%$ |
| Short-Term Debt | $6.556 \%$ | $1.610 \%$ | $0.106 \%$ |
| Preferred Stock | $1.146 \%$ | $4.562 \%$ | $0.052 \%$ |
| Common Equity | $50.586 \%$ | $9.060 \%$ | $4.583 \%$ |
| Total | $100.000 \%$ |  | $7.032 \%$ |

## CLASS-COST-OF-SERVICE-STUDY ISSUES

## XVII. Class Cost of Service Studies in General

The preceding discussion has sought to quantify the costs that a prudently managed utility serving Great Plains’ service area would bear. The next issues will address how Great Plains may recover those costs from its ratepayers and earn a reasonable return on its investment. This process of rate design requires the Commission to exercise policy judgment because there are many ways to set rates to enable a utility to recover appropriate revenues.

In designing rates for a natural gas utility, the Commission considers a variety of factors, including:

- Equity, justice, and reasonableness, and avoidance of discrimination, unreasonable preference, and unreasonable prejudice; ${ }^{23}$
- Continuity with prior rates to avoid rate shock;
- Revenue stability;
- Economic efficiency;
- Encouragement of energy conservation; ${ }^{24}$
- Customers' ability to pay; ${ }^{25}$
- Ease of understanding and administration, and in particular,
- Cost of service.

[^11]
## BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Beverly Jones Heydinger<br>Nancy Lange<br>Dan Lipschultz<br>Matthew Schuerger<br>John A. Tuma

Chair<br>Commissioner<br>Commissioner<br>Commissioner<br>Commissioner

In the Matter of the Application of Great Plains Natural Gas Company, a Division of MDU Resources Group, Inc., for Authority to Increase Natural Gas Rates in Minnesota

In the Matter of the Petition by Great Plains Natural Gas Company, a Division of MDU Resources Group, Inc., to Establish a New Base Cost of Gas in Compliance with the September 6, 2016 Commission Order in Its General Rate Case

ISSUE DATE: December 22, 2016
DOCKET NO. G-004/GR-15-879
DOCKET NO. G-004/MR-16-834
ORDER APPROVING FINAL REVENUE APPORTIONMENT AND RATE DESIGN, UPDATED BASE COST OF GAS, AND INTERIM-RATE REFUND PLAN

## PROCEDURAL HISTORY

## I. Rate-Case Compliance Filing

On September 6, 2016, the Commission issued its Findings of Fact, Conclusions, and Order resolving disputed issues in Great Plains Natural Gas Company's (Great Plains or the Company's) rate case. ${ }^{1}$ The order directed Great Plains to file revised schedules of rates and charges reflecting the revenue-requirement and rate-design decisions made by the Commission, along with other compliance items. ${ }^{2}$

On September 22, Great Plains made a compliance filing in accordance with the Commission's order.

On October 20, the Minnesota Department of Commerce (the Department) filed comments requesting that Great Plains provide more information in reply comments:

- A full discussion reconciling the differences between the Company's proposed customerclass rate increases and those approved by the Commission;
- A full explanation of how the Company calculated the customer charges in its compliance filing;

[^12]
## III. Interim-Rate Refund Plan

Great Plains' compliance filing included a plan to refund the difference between the revenue that the Company collected through interim rates, which took effect on January 1, 2016, and the revenue that would have been collected if the final rates determined in the Commission's September 6 order had been in effect since January instead.

Great Plains calculated a total overcollection of $\$ 308,197$, plus interest of $\$ 4,969$ through November 30, 2016, and estimated that the average residential customer would receive a refund of $\$ 6.63$. It proposed that, beginning no later than March 1, 2017, current customers would receive their refunds as a bill credit, while customers who had left the system would be paid by check if their refund was greater than $\$ 2.00$.

The Department recommended that the Commission approve the interim-rate refund plan and require the Company to make a compliance filing within ten days of the refund showing the actual refunds and interest paid by class. The Commission concurs, will approve Great Plains' interim-rate refund plan, and will require the Company make the recommended compliance filing.

## IV. Updated Base-Cost-of-Gas Rates

A significant component of the rates Great Plains charges its customers for natural-gas service is attributable to the "commodity" cost that the Company incurs in procuring natural gas from its suppliers. Along with its initial rate-case filing, Great Plains filed a petition to establish a new base cost of gas to be implemented with interim rates, and the Commission issued an order establishing a new base cost of gas. ${ }^{8}$

During the course of the rate case, the Company informed the Commission that its commodity cost of gas had declined. In its September 6 order, the Commission directed Great Plains to update its base-cost-of-gas rates in a compliance filing to reflect this lower commodity cost, updated sales forecasts, and any other aspects of that order bearing on base-cost-of-gas rates.

Great Plains made the required compliance filing on October 6 and provided supplemental information requested by the Department. The Department reviewed the Company's filings and recommended that the Commission approve the updated base-cost-of-gas rates. The Commission concurs and will approve Great Plains' updated base cost of gas.

## ORDER

1. The Commission hereby approves Great Plains' revenue-apportionment methodology used in its September 22, 2016 compliance filing.
2. The Commission approves the Department's preferred rounding rate design for Great Plains' customer and distribution charges.

[^13]3. The Commission approves Great Plains' updated base cost of gas filed in its September 22, 2016 compliance filing, Exhibit 6, and in its October 6, 2016 updated base-cost-of-gas filing.
4. The Commission authorizes Great Plains to implement final rates on January 1, 2017. Within ten days after final rates become effective, the Company shall submit final tariff sheets that incorporate the Commission's decisions herein.
5. Within ten days after final rates become effective, Great Plains shall resubmit the CIP tracker account (including rates, revenues, expenses, and ending balance) for the entire period that interim rates were in effect.
6. The Commission hereby approves Great Plains' proposed interim-rate refund plan. Within ten days of the interim-rate refund, Great Plains shall file a compliance filing report that reflects the actual refunds and interest paid by class including the calculations.
7. This order shall become effective immediately.

## BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION



Daniel P. Wolf
Executive Secretary

This document can be made available in alternative formats (e.g., large print or audio) by calling 651.296.0406 (voice). Persons with hearing loss or speech disabilities may call us through their preferred Telecommunications Relay Service.

## GREAT PLAINS NATURAL GAS CO.

GAS UTILITY - MINNESOTA
ALLOCATION OF REVENUES
Final Order- Projected 2016 - Docket No. G004/GR-15-879

|  | Phase 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost of Gas |  |  | Distribution including CIP |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Increase } \\ & \text { (Decrease) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% | Revenue |  | Increase (Decrease) | \% |
|  | Demand | Commodity | Total | Present | Proposed |  |  | Present | Proposed |  |  |
| North |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | \$892,414 | \$1,718,277 | \$2,610,691 | \$1,907,868 | \$2,184,051 | \$276,183 | 14.5\% | \$4,518,559 | \$4,794,742 | \$276,183 | 6.1\% |
| Firm General | 679,917 | 1,309,130 | 1,989,047 | 1,088,031 | 1,245,547 | 157,516 | 14.5\% | 3,077,078 | 3,234,595 | 157,517 | 5.1\% |
| Small Interruptible | 112,108 | 842,543 | 954,651 | 471,305 | 540,099 | 68,794 | 14.6\% | 1,425,956 | 1,494,750 | 68,794 | 4.8\% |
| Large Interruptible | 89,464 | 672,365 | 761,829 | 302,040 | 344,558 | 42,518 | 14.1\% | 1,063,869 | 1,106,387 | 42,518 | 4.0\% |
| Total Sales | 1,773,903 | 4,542,315 | 6,316,218 | 3,769,244 | 4,314,255 | 545,011 | 14.5\% | 10,085,462 | 10,630,474 | 545,012 | $5.4 \%$ |
| Transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small Interruptible |  |  |  | 43,775 | 49,538 | 5,763 | 13.2\% | 43,775 | 49,538 | 5,763 | 13.2\% |
| Large Interruptible |  |  |  | 744,530 | 745,730 | 1,200 | 0.0\% | 744,530 | 745,730 | 1,200 | 0.2\% |
| Total Transportation |  |  |  | 788,305 | 795,268 | 6,963 | 0.9\% | 788,305 | 795,268 | 6,963 | 0.9\% |
| Total | \$1,773,903 | \$4,542,315 | \$6,316,218 | \$4,557,549 | \$5,109,523 | \$551,974 | 12.1\% | $\underline{\$ 10,873,767}$ | \$11,425,742 | \$551,975 | 5.1\% |
| South |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | \$846,638 | \$2,006,307 | 2,852,945 | \$1,857,987 | \$2,126,981 | \$268,994 | 14.5\% | \$4,710,932 | \$4,979,926 | \$268,994 | 5.7\% |
| Firm General | 849,119 | 2,012,186 | 2,861,305 | \$1,305,542 | 1,494,511 | 188,969 | 14.5\% | 4,166,847 | 4,355,816 | 188,969 | 4.5\% |
| Small Interruptible | 118,442 | 931,304 | 1,049,746 | 479,728 | 549,521 | 69,793 | 14.5\% | 1,529,474 | 1,599,267 | 69,793 | 4.6\% |
| Large Interruptible | 18,879 | 148,445 | 167,324 | 24,159 | 27,707 | 3,548 | 14.7\% | 191,483 | 195,031 | 3,548 | 1.9\% |
| Total Sales | 1,833,078 | 5,098,242 | 6,931,320 | 3,667,416 | 4,198,720 | 531,304 | 14.5\% | 10,598,736 | 11,130,040 | 531,304 | 5.0\% |
| Transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small Interruptible |  |  |  | 28,371 | $32,152$ | $3,781$ | 13.3\% | 28,371 | $32,152$ | 3,781 | $13.3 \%$ |
| Large Interruptible |  |  |  | 493,576 | 547,832 | 54,256 | 11.0\% | 493,576 | 547,832 | 54,256 | 11.0\% |
| Total Transportation |  |  |  | 521,947 | 579,984 | 58,037 | 11.1\% | 521,947 | 579,984 | 58,037 | 11.1\% |
| Total South 13 | \$1,833,078 | \$5,098,242 | \$6,931,320 | \$4,189,363 | \$4,778,704 | \$589,341 | 14.1\% | $\underline{\text { \$11,120,683 }}$ | \$11,710,024 | \$589,341 | 5.3\% |
| GRAND TOTAL | $\underline{\$ 3,606,981}$ | \$9,640,557 | \$13,247,538 | \$8,746,912 | \$9,888,227 | \$1,141,315 | 13.0\% | $\underline{\text { \$21,994,450 }}$ | $\underline{\text { \$23,135,766 }}$ | $\underline{\$ 1,141,316}$ | 5.2\% |

Table A-1. 3-, 5-, 7-, 10-, 15-, and 20-Year Property Half-Year Convention

| Year | Depreciation rate for recovery period |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3-year | 5-year | 7-year | 10-year | 15-year | 20-year |
| 1 | 33.33\% | 20.00\% | 14.29\% | 10.00\% | 5.00\% | 3.750\% |
| 2 | 44.45 | 32.00 | 24.49 | 18.00 | 9.50 | 7.219 |
| 3 | 14.81 | 19.20 | 17.49 | 14.40 | 8.55 | 6.677 |
| 4 | 7.41 | 11.52 | 12.49 | 11.52 | 7.70 | 6.177 |
| 5 |  | 11.52 | 8.93 | 9.22 | 6.93 | 5.713 |
| 6 |  | 5.76 | 8.92 | 7.37 | 6.23 | 5.285 |
| 7 |  |  | 8.93 | 6.55 | 5.90 | 4.888 |
| 8 |  |  | 4.46 | 6.55 | 5.90 | 4.522 |
| 9 |  |  |  | 6.56 | 5.91 | 4.462 |
| 10 |  |  |  | 6.55 | 5.90 | 4.461 |
| 11 |  |  |  | 3.28 | 5.91 | 4.462 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  | 5.90 | 4.461 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  | 5.91 | 4.462 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  | 5.90 | 4.461 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  | 5.91 | 4.462 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  | 2.95 | 4.461 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.462 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.461 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.462 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.461 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.231 |

Table A-2. 3-, 5-, 7-, 10-, 15-, and 20-Year Property Mid-Quarter Convention
Placed in Service in First Quarter

| Year | Depreciation rate for recovery period |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3-year | 5-year | 7-year | 10-year | 15-year | 20-year |
| 1 | 58.33\% | 35.00\% | 25.00\% | 17.50\% | 8.75\% | 6.563\% |
| 2 | 27.78 | 26.00 | 21.43 | 16.50 | 9.13 | 7.000 |
| 3 | 12.35 | 15.60 | 15.31 | 13.20 | 8.21 | 6.482 |
| 4 | 1.54 | 11.01 | 10.93 | 10.56 | 7.39 | 5.996 |
| 5 |  | 11.01 | 8.75 | 8.45 | 6.65 | 5.546 |
| 6 |  | 1.38 | 8.74 | 6.76 | 5.99 | 5.130 |
| 7 |  |  | 8.75 | 6.55 | 5.90 | 4.746 |
| 8 |  |  | 1.09 | 6.55 | 5.91 | 4.459 |
| 9 |  |  |  | 6.56 | 5.90 | 4.459 |
| 10 |  |  |  | 6.55 | 5.91 | 4.459 |
| 11 |  |  |  | 0.82 | 5.90 | 4.459 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  | 5.91 | 4.460 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  | 5.90 | 4.459 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  | 5.91 | 4.460 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  | 5.90 | 4.459 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  | 0.74 | 4.460 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.459 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.460 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.459 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.460 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.565 |

GREAT PLAINS NATURAL GAS
TAX PER PLANT
STATE OF MINNESOTA

| Assessment | Total MN | Less MN | Total Taxable |  | MN Effective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | Utility Plant | Excludables | Plant | Tax | Tax Rate |
| 2016 | 47,154,997 | 8,691,405 | 38,463,592 | 686,544 | 1.78\% |

Plant and Excludables per MN Assessment
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| John A. Tuma | Commissioner |

Tami A. Aberle
Director of Regulatory Affairs
Great Plains Natural Gas Company
705 West Fir Avenue
P.O. Box 176

Fergus Falls, MN 56538-0176

In the Matter of Great Plains Natural Gas Co.'s Annual Depreciation Study
The above-entitled matter was considered by the Commission on March 23, 2017 and the following disposition made:

1. Approved the depreciation parameters and depreciation rates proposed in Great Plains' 2016 Depreciation Study; and
2. Required Great Plains to file a five-year depreciation study by June 1, 2017.

The Commission agrees with and adopts the recommendations of the Department of Commerce, which are attached and hereby incorporated into the order. This order shall become effective immediately.


BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION


Daniel P. Wolf
Executive Secretary

This document can be made available in alternative formats (e.g., large print or audio) by calling 651.296 .0406 (voice). Persons with hearing loss or speech disabilities may call us through their preferred Telecommunications Relay Service.
Great Plains Natural Gas Company
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| เで9¢でし | $00 \cdot 96 Z^{\prime}$ レ | \％88＇ | £でとદ9 | \％+00 | （81＇乙८¢） | \％ $800^{-}$ | ャ6．と61＇レて | \％$\chi^{\prime}$＇ | 6L＇880＇0Z | \％0¢＇ |  | sulew uolss！usuex $\perp$｜etol |  |
| （で・GLG） | （ع8．28L） | \％ ¢9 $^{\circ} 0^{-}$ | （LG＇6zz） | \％LL＇0－ | （ $8<\cdot \mathrm{c}$ ¢） | \％${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{-}$ | ZS＇LL | \％9z＇0 | $69^{\circ} \mathrm{L8} \mathrm{\varepsilon}$ | \％08＇ | 88＇tレ8＇6Z | sde」 әp！s \％\％ue」 | 19－09＇ 298 |
| （レレ＇ャをレ） | （02＇z6） | \％レ6で | （s1．しゃ） | $\% \mathrm{sc} 0^{-}$ | （0L＇s） | \％910－ | （9ヶ゚9く） | \％0がで | げけ | \％ 0 ＇${ }^{\text {L }}$ | $89.98{ }^{\prime}$＇$\varepsilon$ | səлıел | 09＇ $29 \varepsilon$ |
| （98．${ }^{\text {）}}$ | $88 \cdot \mathrm{Sl}$ | \％91． | （01－9） | \％9＋6－ | （69＇L） | \％て1＇0－ | L0＇६z | \％ちぐし | ちでくし | \％08＇ | L8＇Gzと＇। |  | ¢t＇ $29 \varepsilon$ |
| （0s＇z\＆9） | 19181 | \％6て＇0 |  | \％かt＇0－ | （98．99） | \％60＇0－ | zs＇ELS | \％ 280 | いいい8 | \％0と＇ | 10＇ャて9＇z9 |  | で－0t＇L9を |
| 01＊009＇z | ャ9＇8Lどして | \％8t＇ | 09 ¢s．＇เ | \％ $80{ }^{\circ}$ | （¢ع＇£\＆ち） | \％ $800^{-}$ | 6て＇999＇0z | \％とが | 加 8 8L＇8 | \％0¢＇ | しく「S6ガロカガし | sulew uo！ss！usue．」 SNIVW NOISSIWSNVY | $00<19 \varepsilon$ |
| （tr゙くt） | $60^{\prime} 196^{\prime} \downarrow$ | \％ゅでし | $00^{\circ}$ | \％00＇0 | $00^{\circ}$ | \％00＇0 | $60^{\prime} 196^{\prime}$ เ | \％ちて＇レ | \＆${ }^{\prime} 800{ }^{\prime}$ 乙 | \％LZ＇レ | \＆0＇ZS1＇8S1 |  <br> fueld uolss！umsue．t | 0Z＇998 |
| 000 | 000 | \％00＇0 | 000 | \％00＇0 | 000 | \％00＇0 | 000 | \％00＇0 | $00 \%$ | \％00＇0 | 000 | fueld uolponpord Itelol |  |
| 000 | $00 \cdot 0$ | \％00＇0 | $00 \cdot 0$ | \％00＇0 | $00 \cdot 0$ | \％00＇0 | 000 | \％00＇0 | 000 | \％00＇0 | 000 |  | 00．0マع |
| $00^{\circ}$ | 000 | \％00＇0 | 000 | \％00＇0 | 000 | \％00＇0 | $00^{\circ}$ | \％00＇0 | $00^{\circ}$ | \％00＇0 | 000 |  | 00 レレを |
| $00^{\circ}$ | 000 | \％00＇0 | 000 | \％00＇0 | 000 | \％00＇0 | $00^{\circ}$ | \％00＇0 | $00^{\circ}$ | \％00＇0 | $00^{\circ}$ |  | 00 ¢0¢ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7ueld uolionpodd |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | INV7d $379 \forall 1038 d \exists \square$ |  |
| （u） | （u） | （1） | （x） | （1） | （1） | （4） | （6） | （t） | （ ${ }^{\text {）}}$ | （p） | （） | （9） | （e） |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { dx " "Idəo } \\ & \text { әбиечว } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iendovy } \\ & \text { jenuuy } \end{aligned}$ | \％गुलप्व | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IendoJy } \\ & \text { jenuuy } \end{aligned}$ | \％गेषप्ర | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ienगov } \\ & \text { jenuuy } \end{aligned}$ | \％गुप्व | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IendoJy } \\ & \text { jenuuy } \end{aligned}$ | \％गुएप्व | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IendoJy } \\ & \text { ןenuuy } \end{aligned}$ | \％әघ区्प | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sl/LE/Zl } \\ 1500 \end{gathered}$ | uoḷdursea | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\circ}{O N} \\ \text { funooov } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 IN | serey paso |  | səाey yoo | pesododd | setry ${ }^{\text {dies }}$ S | do pesododd | seley ${ }^{\text {coluo }}$ | pesododd | sepey fuesadd |  | ｜еи！¢¢о |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | pesododd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

| Nancy Langer | Chair |
| :--- | ---: |
| Dan Lipschultz | Commissioner |
| Matthew Schuerger | Commissioner |
| Katie J. Sieben | Commissioner |
| John A. Tuma | Commissioner |

Tami A. Aberle
Director of Regulatory Affairs
Great Plains Natural Gas Company
705 West Fir Avenue
P.O. Box 176

Fergus Falls, MN 56538-0176

In the Matter of Great Plains Natural Gas Company's Five Year Depreciation Study

The above-entitled matter was considered by the Commission on November 21, 2017, and the following disposition made:

- Approved the depreciation parameters and depreciation rates proposed in Great Plains' 2017 Depreciation Study; and
- Required Great Plains to file its next depreciation study by June 1, 2018.

The Commission agrees with and adopts the recommendations of the Department of Commerce, which are attached and hereby incorporated into the order. This order shall become effective immediately.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION


Daniel P. Wolf
Executive Secretary


This document can be made available in alternative formats (e.g., large print or audio) by calling 651.296.0406 (voice). Persons with hearing loss or speech disabilities may call us through their preferred Telecommunications Relay Service or email consumer.puc@state.mn.us for assistance.
Great Plains Natural Gas Company

|  |  |  |  | mmary of Orig Related Ann | Cost of Ut epreciation | lant in Servic ense Under P | as of Decembe ent and Propos | $\begin{aligned} & 31,2016 \\ & \text { ed Rates } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Proposed |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Original | Pres | t Rates | Proposed | ant Only Rates | Proposed Gr | Ss Salv Rates | Propose | COR Rates | Total Prop | sed Rates | Net |
| Account No. | Descripion | Cost | Rate \% | Annual | Rate \% | Annual | Rate \% | Annual | Rate \% | Annual | Rete | Annual | Change |
| $\frac{\text { (a) }}{}$ | (b) | (c) | (d) | $\frac{\text { Accrual }}{(\mathrm{e})}$ | (f) | $\frac{\text { Accrual }}{(\mathrm{g})}$ | (h) | $\frac{\text { Accrual }}{\text { (i) }}$ | (i) | $\frac{\text { Accrual }}{\text { (k) }}$ | (1) | $\frac{\text { Accrual }}{(\mathrm{m})}$ | ( ${ }_{\text {( }}$ |
|  | DEPRECIABLE PLANT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Transmission Plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 365.20 | Rights of Way | 158,152.03 | 1.24\% | 1,961.09 | 1.21\% | 1,913.64 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 1.21\% | 1,913.64 | (47.45) |
|  | TRANSMISSION MAINS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 367.00 | Transmission Mains | 1,444,495.69 | 1.38\% | 19,934.04 | 1.40\% | 20,222.94 | -0.03\% | (433.35) | 0.08\% | 1,155.60 | 1.45\% | 20,945.19 | 1,011.15 |
| 367.40-42 | Rairoad, River \& Highway Crossings | 62,624.01 | 1.38\% | 864.21 | 0.74\% | 463.42 | -0.09\% | (56.36) | -0.43\% | (269.28) | 0.22\% | 137.77 | (726.44) |
| 367.45 | Anodes and Cathodic Protection | 1,325.87 | 1.38\% | 18.30 | 1.49\% | 19.76 | -0.12\% | (1.59) | -0.53\% | (7.03) | 0.84\% | 11.14 | (7.16) |
| 367.50 | Valves | 3,185.68 | 1.38\% | 43.96 | -1.41\% | (44.92) | -0.19\% | (6.05) | -1.57\% | (50.02) | -3.17\% | (100.99) | (144.95) |
| 367.60-61 | Farm \& Side Taps | 29,814.38 | 1.38\% | 411.44 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | -0.13\% | (38.76) | -0.84\% | (250.44) | -0.97\% | (289.20) | (700.64) |
|  | Total Transmission Mains | 1,541,445.63 | 1.38\% | 21,271.95 | 1.34\% | 20,661.20 | -0.03\% | (536.11) | 0.04\% | 578.83 | 1.34\% | 20,703.91 | (568.04) |
| 369.00 | Meas \& Reg Station Equipment | 820,969.58 | 3.01\% | 24,711.18 | 2.27\% | 18,636.01 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.34\% | 2,791.30 | 2.61\% | 21,427.31 | $(3,283.87)$ |
|  | Total Transmission Plant | 2,520,567.24 | 1.90\% | 47,944.22 | 1.63\% | 41,210.85 | -0.02\% | (536.11) | 0.13\% | 3,370.13 | 1.75\% | 44,044.86 | (3,899.36) |
|  | Distribution Plant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 374.20 | Rights of Way | 17,653.59 | 2.14\% | 377.79 | 2.11\% | 372.49 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 2.11\% | 372.49 | (5.30) |
| 375.00 | Distr. Meas \& Reg Station Structures | 32,251.03 | 2.84\% | 915.93 | 2.69\% | 867.55 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.15\% | 48.38 | 2.84\% | 915.93 | 0.00 |
|  | Mains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 376.00 | Steel Mains | 3,681,756.47 | 2.99\% | 110,084.52 | 1.24\% | 45,653.78 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.86\% | 31,663.11 | 2.10\% | 77,316.89 | (32,767.63) |
| 376.10 | Plastic Mains | 12,067,385.57 | 2.99\% | 360,814.83 | 2.09\% | 252,208.36 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 1.22\% | 147,222.10 | 3.31\% | 399,430.46 | 38,615.63 |
| 376.11 | Plastic Mains - PVC | 1,151,401.15 | 2.99\% | 34,426.89 | 0.89\% | 10,247.47 | -0.01\% | (115.14) | 2.45\% | 28,209.33 | 3.33\% | 38,341.66 | 3,914.77 |
| 376.20 | Valves | 134,310.35 | 2.99\% | 4,015.88 | 1.28\% | 1,719.17 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 1.19\% | 1,598.29 | 2.47\% | 3,317.47 | (698.41) |
| 376.28-50 | Railroad, River \& Highway Crossings | 399,866.60 | 2.99\% | 11,956.01 | 1.67\% | 6,677.77 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 1.21\% | 4,838.39 | 2.88\% | 11,516.16 | (439.85) |
| 376.55 | Anodes and Cathodic Protection | 81,169.34 | 2.99\% | 2,426.96 | -0.01\% | (8.12) | -0.01\% | (8.12) | 1.71\% | 1,388.00 | 1.69\% | 1,371.76 | $(1,055.20)$ |
| 376.56 | Pipeline Markers | 171.75 | 2.99\% | 5.14 | -35.84\% | (61.56) | -0.07\% | (0.12) | -0.22\% | (0.38) | -36.13\% | (62.05) | (67.19) |
|  | Total Mains | 17,516,061.23 | 2.99\% | 523,730.23 | 1.81\% | 316,436.87 | 0.00\% | (123.38) | 1.23\% | 214,918.84 | 3.03\% | 531,232.35 | 7,502.12 |
| 378.00 | Meas \& Reg Station Equip-General | 501,025.62 | 2.34\% | 11,724.00 | 8.79\% | 44,040.15 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 3.76\% | 18,838.56 | 12.55\% | 62,878.72 | 51,154.72 |
| 379.00 | Meas \& Reg Station Equip-City Gate | 442,661.26 | 3.47\% | 15,360.35 | 3.43\% | 15,183.28 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.44\% | 1,947.71 | 3.87\% | 17,130.99 | 1,770.64 |
|  | Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 380.00 | Steel Services | 1,076,486.26 | 4.10\% | 44,135.94 | 1.42\% | 15,286.10 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 1.01\% | 10,872.51 | 2.43\% | 26,158.62 | (17,977.32) |
| 380.10 | Plastic Services | 12,550,273.57 | 4.10\% | 514,561.22 | 2.32\% | 291,166.35 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 1.72\% | 215,864.71 | 4.04\% | 507,031.05 | (7,530.17) |
| 380.11 | Plastic Services - PVC | 788,764.40 | 4.10\% | 32,339.34 | 0.88\% | 6,941.13 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.26\% | 2,050.79 | 1.14\% | 8,991.91 | (23,347.43) |
| 380.55-60 | Anodes and Cathodic Protection | 69,491.30 | 4.10\% | 2,849.14 | 2.50\% | 1,737.28 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 1.75\% | 1,216.10 | 4.25\% | 2,953.38 | 104.24 |
|  | Total Services | 14,485,015.53 | 4.10\% | 593,885.64 | 2.18\% | 315,130.86 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 1.59\% | 230,004.11 | 3.76\% | 545,134.96 | (48,750.68) |
| 381.00 | Meters \& Meter Installations | 6,324,475.10 | 8.58\% | 542,639.96 | 7.03\% | 444,610.60 | 0.02\% | 1,264.90 | 2.86\% | 180,879.99 | 9.91\% | 626,755.48 | 84,115.52 |

## BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

| Dan Lipschultz | Commissioner |
| :--- | :--- |
| Matthew Schuerger | Commissioner |
| Katie J. Sieben | Commissioner |
| John A. Tuma | Commissioner |

In the Matter of Great Plains Natural Gas Co.'s Petition for Approval of its 2018
Depreciation Certification

ISSUE DATE: February 22, 2019
DOCKET NO. G-004/D-18-369
ORDER APPROVING DEPRECIATION STUDY AND SETTING INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS FOR FUTURE FILINGS

## PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On June 1, 2018, Great Plains Natural Gas Co., a Division of MDU Resources Group, Inc., (Great Plains or the Company) filed a petition requesting approval of the depreciation rates determined in its Annual Depreciation Study for 2018.

On August 16, 2018, the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources (the Department) filed comments requesting additional information from Great Plains in reply comments.

On October 4, 2018, Great Plains filed reply comments addressing the Department's comments and correcting its depreciation study.

On November 5, 2018, Great Plains filed supplemental reply comments further correcting its depreciation study (2018 Second Revised Study).

On November 13, 2018, the Department filed a response to Great Plains' reply comments and supplemental reply comments recommending that the Commission (1) approve the depreciation rates included in the 2018 Second Revised Study and (2) require certain additional information and analysis in future Great Plains depreciation filings.

On December 3, 2018, Great Plains filed reply comments responding to the Department's November 13, 2018 response comments. Great Plains expressed concern with the Department's recommendation regarding individual reporting of buildings used for regulatory utility operations.

On December 10, 2018, the Department filed a supplemental response letter explaining its recommendation regarding individual reporting of buildings used for regulatory utility operations.

On December 20, 2018, the Commission met to consider the petition.

## FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

## I. Introduction

Great Plains' petition is governed by Minn. Stat. § 216B. 11 and Minn. R. 7825.0500-.0900, which require public utilities to submit their depreciation rates and methods to the Commission for approval. As the Department explains,
utilities must file depreciation studies at least once every five years, and must review their depreciation rates annually to determine if they are generally appropriate. . . When [a] compan[y] choose[s] the remaining-life technique as its basis for depreciating group property accounts, as Great Plains does, although the underlying life and salvage factors may not change, the depreciation rates are adjusted annually to reflect the passage of time effect on remaining lives, as well as the impact of plant additions and retirements. Annual depreciation study updates are required when the remaininglife technique is employed to allow the Commission the opportunity to approve changes in depreciation rates. ${ }^{1}$

Because Great Plains uses the remaining-life technique for depreciation, the Company submitted its Annual Depreciation Study for 2018 for the Commission's review and approval. The Department's review revealed certain necessary corrections that Great Plains incorporated into its depreciation study, culminating in the 2018 Second Revised Study that Great Plains submitted with its November 5, 2018 reply comments.

## II. Depreciation Study Results

The depreciation rates contained in the 2018 Second Revised Study, when applied to plant balances as of December 31, 2017, result in a total depreciation expense amount of \$2,273,242.

Table 1 below provides a high-level summary of the 2018 Second Revised Study's results, aggregated by system function, and compares these results to the Company's 2017 depreciation study:

[^14]Table $\mathbf{1}^{2}$

| Plant Group / <br> Accounts | Original <br> Cost |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ Annual Accrual |  | 2018 Requested <br> Annual Accrual |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transmission <br> Plant | $\$ 2,555,239$ | $1.75 \%$ | $\$ 44,717$ | $1.24 \%$ | $\$ 31,558$ |  |
| Distribution <br> Plant | $\$ 43,806,947$ | $4.57 \%$ | $\$ 2,001,978$ | $4.55 \%$ | $\$ 1,991,946$ |  |
| General Plant | $\$ 6,334,250$ | $3.60 \%$ | $\$ 228,033$ | $3.94 \%$ | $\$ 249,738$ |  |
| TOTAL | $\$ 52,696,436$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3 1 \%}$ | $\$ 2,274,728$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3 1 \%}$ | $\$ \mathbf{2 , 2 7 3 , 2 4 2}$ |  |

The 2018 Second Revised Study's proposed depreciation parameters yield an overall, composite depreciation rate of $4.31 \%$.

## III. Commission Action

The Department ultimately recommended approval of the 2018 Second Revised Study, though it expressed concerns with certain aspects of the study. Most of the Department's concerns were resolved upon further explanation from Great Plains, but the Department recommended Commission action regarding several issues. The Commission will adopt the Department's recommendations to approve the 2018 Second Revised Study and require additional information described below in future Great Plains depreciation filings.

## A. Group Accounting

Great Plains uses group accounting for buildings used in regulated utility operations. The Department stated that it "wants to better understand how Minnesota regulated utilities account for buildings used in utility service, including the treatment of additions and retirements" ${ }^{3}$ in order to "assess whether group accounting is appropriate for the building assets included in the account. ${ }^{" 4}$ To that end, the Department recommended that the Commission require Great Plains to provide a schedule in its next depreciation filing containing information about each building used for regulated utility operations. Great Plains replied with concerns about this recommendation because its use of group accounting means it does not maintain certain information for each building.

The Commission acknowledges the Company's concerns with the difficulty of obtaining the information requested by the Department, and the Commission agrees with the Department that this information is useful and important. Accordingly, this information will not be required in the Company's next depreciation filing, but the Commission will expressly preserve its discretion to

[^15]require the Company, in its next rate case, to provide a schedule listing all buildings used for regulated utility operations, including the cost amounts, depreciation amounts, depreciation method and rate, placed-in-service dates, building address/location, building's operational purpose, and account where the building is booked.

## B. PVC Replacement Program

Great Plains is currently conducting a 15-year PVC Replacement Program, initiated in 2011. The program includes "customer site visits to replace meter bars, house regulators and older meters not able to be refurbished. ${ }^{55}$ In its comments on the petition, the Department requested a status update for the program to justify the depreciation rate used for the relevant accounts. In reply comments, Great Plains reported that the program is approximately 42 percent complete. Great Plains also stated that it would provide a "more robust analysis" of the program in its next depreciation filing. ${ }^{6}$ The Department recommended that the Commission memorialize the Company's commitment in its order.

The Commission agrees with the Department's recommendation and will require Great Plains to provide a more robust analysis of the accounts affected by the Company's PVC replacement program in its next depreciation filing.

## C. Changes to Depreciation-Study Approach

During its review of the Company's petition, the Department noted that the Company "changed the basis for its remaining life calculations from the Broad Group basis to a Vintage Group approach." ${ }^{. "}$ The Department considered this an "important change that should have been highlighted within the Petition." ${ }^{8}$ The Company agreed that it should have explained this change in its petition. The Company promised to using the Vintage Group approach in future years and describing any future changes to its approach. ${ }^{9}$ The Department recommended that the Commission memorialize this commitment in its order.

The Commission agrees with the Department's recommendation and will order Great Plains to transparently disclose any changes to its depreciation-study approach in future filings and submit a full depreciation study if the Company alters its depreciation approach before its next scheduled five-year depreciation filing.

## D. Schedules Required By Minn. R. 7825.0700

Through an information request, the Department obtained from Great Plains "schedules showing the additions, retirements, adjustments and transfers activity of the plant-in-service and their

[^16]respective depreciation reserve accounts during 2017." ${ }^{10}$ The Department maintains that this information is required for depreciation study filings under Minn. R. 7825.0700. The Commission agrees and will require Great Plains to provide activity schedules showing additions, retirements, adjustments, and transfers for plant-in-service accounts and their associated accumulated depreciation accounts in future depreciation filings.

## E. Change in Survivor Curve

In its comments, the Department pointed out that Great Plains changed its approach to five general plant accounts by assigning survivor curves to each account where it previously had not used survivor curves. ${ }^{11}$ Great Plains explained in reply comments that its approach to the general plant accounts used the same amortization accounting as previously approved, but it employed a different depreciation technique for the current study. The Department concluded that this technique was reasonable, but recommended that Great Plains be required to conduct a full depreciation study before changing its depreciation approach in the future.

The Commission agrees with the Department's recommendation and will require Great Plains to conduct a full depreciation study of any plant group with a change in the survivor curve prior to the Company's next scheduled 5-year depreciation study, or explain why a full study is not necessary.

## F. Jurisdictional Annual Report

In conjunction with its review of Great Plains' petition, the Department conducted a limited review of the Company's recent Jurisdictional Annual Report (JAR) filed in Docket No. E,G-999/PR-18-04, which the Company must file because it serves customers in Minnesota and North Dakota. This review revealed that Great Plains reported the entire cost of facilities located within Minnesota for certain accounts instead of reporting the jurisdictional cost of facilities serving Minnesota customers. The Department has recommended that Great Plains correct this error in future JAR filings and explain the change in its next JAR.

The Commission agrees with the Department's recommendation and will require the Company to report the Minnesota-jurisdictional amounts in the gas plant-in-service accounts in future JAR filings. The Commission will also require that in the Company's next JAR, the 2018 beginning-of-year balances for the gas plant-in-service accounts shall reflect the jurisdictional amount, footnoted to explain why the 2018 beginning-of-year balances differ from the reported 2017 year-end balance in the Company's JAR in Docket No. E,G-999/PR-18-04.

## ORDER

1. The Commission approves the Company's depreciation study filed November 5, 2018. The Commission expressly preserves its discretion to require the Company, in its next

[^17]rate case, to provide a schedule listing all buildings used for regulated utility operations, including the cost amounts, depreciation amounts, depreciation method and rate, placed-in-service dates, building address/location, building's operational purpose, and account where the building is booked.
2. In its next depreciation filing, the Company shall provide a more robust analysis of the accounts affected by the Company's PVC replacement program.
3. In its future depreciation filings, the Company shall do the following:
a. Transparently disclose changes to its depreciation-study approach;
b. Submit a full depreciation study if the Company alters its depreciation approach before its next scheduled 5-year depreciation filing;
c. Provide activity schedules required by Minn. R. 7825.0700 showing additions, retirements, adjustments, and transfers for plant-in-service accounts and their associated accumulated depreciation accounts; and
d. Conduct a full depreciation study of any plant group with a change in the survivor curve prior to the Company's next scheduled five-year depreciation study, or explain why a full study is not necessary.
4. In future Minnesota Jurisdictional Annual Report (JAR) filings, the Company shall report the Minnesota-jurisdictional amounts in the gas plant-in-service accounts. In the Company's next JAR, the 2018 beginning-of-year balances for the gas plant-in-service accounts shall reflect the jurisdictional amount, footnoted to explain why the 2018 beginning-of-year balances differ from the reported 2017 year-end balance in the Company's JAR in Docket No. E,G-999/PR-18-04.
5. This order shall become effective immediately.

# BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION 



Daniel P. Wolf<br>Executive Secretary

This document can be made available in alternative formats (e.g., large print or audio) by calling 651.296.0406 (voice). Persons with hearing loss or speech disabilities may call us through their preferred Telecommunications Relay Service or email consumer.puc@state.mn.us for assistance.


| GENERAL PLANT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 390.0 | GENERAL STRUCTURES \& IMPROVEMENTS | 45-R4 | 0 | 2,528,697 | 650,371 | 973,232 | 42,387 | 1.68 | 33.4 |
| 391.1 | OFFICE FURNITURE \& EQUIPMENT | 16-SQ | 0 | 95,317 | 59,254 | 58,979 | 5,814 | 6.10 | 6.1 |
| 391.3 | COMPUTER \& ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | 4-SQ | 0 | 61,617 | 38,511 | 26,529 | 23,392 | 37.96 | 1.5 |
| 392.1 | TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT - TRAILERS | 12-R1 | 10 | 31,167 | 19,560 | 25,947 | 280 | 0.90 | 3.6 |
| 392.2 | TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT | 7-L2 | 20 | 1,380,893 | 490,542 | 648,860 | 107,138 | 7.76 | 3.9 |
| 394.0 | TOOLS, SHOP, \& GARAGE EQUIPMENT | 20-SQ | 0 | 628,270 | 227,794 | 222,312 | 32,474 | 5.17 | 12.8 |
| 396.1 | POWER OPERATED EQUIPMENT - TRAILERS | 6-L0 | 65 | 151,442 | 16,034 | 33,224 | 3,902 | 2.58 | 4.2 |
| 396.2 | POWER OPERATED EQUIPMENT | 6-LO | 65 | 1,101,925 | 98,366 | 300,745 | 15,068 | 1.37 | 4.5 |
| 397.0 | COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT | 18-SQ | 0 | 303,583 | 195,944 | 194,381 | 17,108 | 5.64 | 6.4 |
| 398.0 | MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT | 25-SQ | 0 | 51,339 | 20,175 | 18,341 | 2,175 | 4.24 | 15.2 |
| TOTAL GENERAL PLANT |  |  |  | 6,334,250 | 1,816,551 | 2,502,550 | 249,738 | 3.94 |  |
| TOTAL GAS PLANT STUDIED |  |  |  | 52.696 .436 | 20.759326 | 28.428 .463 | 2273.242 | 4.31 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANT NOT STUDIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 301.0 | ORGANIZATION COSTS |  |  | 5,006 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 302.0 | FRANCHISE COSTS |  |  | 73,680 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 303.0 | INTANGIABLE ASSETS |  |  | 2,783,783 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 365.0 | LAND |  |  | 5,585 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 374.0 | LAND |  |  | 2,978 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 389.0 | LAND \& LAND RIGHTS GENERAL |  |  | 48,658.66 |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL PLANT |  |  |  | 55,616,128 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^18]ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION
TRANSMISSION PLANT 365.2 RIGHTS OF WAY ले


DISTRIBUTION PLANT 374.2 RIGHTS OF WAY 376.0 MAINS MEAS \& REG STATION EQUIP-GENERAL 378.0 MEAS \& REG STATION EQUIP-CITY GATE 380.0 SERVICES
381.0 METERS \& METER INSTALLATIONS
385.0 INDUSTRIAL MEAS. \& REG. STATION EQU 387.1 CATHODIC PROTECTION EQUIPMENT 387.2 OTHER EQUIPMENT
TOTAL DISTRIBUTION PLANT

G
390.0
391.

ल ल
ले
ले ले
ल।
$\frac{\text { PLA }}{301 .}$
3
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|  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December |
| Replace PVC Main Expenditures $1 /$ | \＄956，526 | \＄0 | \＄4，061 | \＄112 | \＄13，489 | \＄48，987 | \＄194，341 | \＄243，851 | \＄162，179 | \＄136，844 | \＄6，844 | \＄151，832 | $(\$ 6,014)$ |
| Close to Plant（cumulative） | 956，526 | 0 | 4，061 | 4，173 | 4,173 | 4，173 | 4，173 | 4，173 | 4，173 | 306，559 | 307，251 | 317，039 | 956，526 |
| Depreciation | 2，390 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 764 | 766 | 790 |
| Accumulated Reserve | 2，390 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 834 | 1，600 | 2，390 |
| ADIT－see page 16 | 13，851 | 1，154 | 2，308 | 3，462 | 4，616 | 5，770 | 6，924 | 8，078 | 9，232 | 10，386 | 11，540 | 12，694 | 13，851 |
| Net Plant | \＄940，285 | （\＄1，154） | \＄1，753 | \＄701 | （\＄463） | $(\$ 1,627)$ | $(\$ 2,791)$ | $(\$ 3,955)$ | $(\$ 5,119)$ | \＄296，103 | \＄294，877 | \＄302，745 | \＄940，285 |
| Ad Valorem Taxes | \＄17，026 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 455 | 456 | 470 | 15，603 |

1／December 2016 expenditures and closure to plant amounts revised to actual．

| $\angle 1 \vdash^{\prime}$ | 6 6ロ゙レ | $6 \downarrow \square^{\prime}$ | 6 6゙レ | $6 \downarrow$ 6＇し | $6 レ$ 6゙レ |  | 6151 | $6 レ カ$－ | 61 6＇レ | $6 \mid \nabla^{\prime} \downarrow$ | $6 \downarrow \nabla^{\prime} \downarrow$ | 920＇くL\＄ | Sexeı majoje＾PV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LZL＇t68S | 815＇868\＄ | SLE＇Z06\＄ | てレL＇906\＄ | 606＇606\＄ | 90L＇E16\＄ | ع0＇ㄴ́l6\＄ | 008＇126\＄ | L60＇926\＄ | 768＇826\＄ | 169 ＇ZE6\＄ | 88ฤ＇986\＄ | LZL＇t68\＄ | jueld lon |
| 6 6ヤ＇0¢ | عSO＇6Z | 1 $\angle 9^{\prime} \angle Z$ | 68て＇92 | L06＇ゅ乙 | ¢ZS＇ยZ | とカl＇で | 19L＇0Z | 6LE＇61 | L66＇ 21 | c19＇91 | $\varepsilon \varepsilon Z ' G 1$ | $6 て \triangleright$ ¢ | 91 əbed əəs－110V |
| $0<\varepsilon^{\prime} \mid \varepsilon$ | ¢96＇82 | $0 \downarrow S^{\prime} 92$ | S21＇tて | 0トぐに | S6z＇61 | 088،91 | 99t＇tl | OSO＇Z1 | ¢¢9＇6 | OこでL | S08＊${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 0＜E＇レع | әләsəy perpjnumoor |
| Sit＇z | G1ナ゙て | S1t＇Z | SIt＇Z | S1ヵ＇Z | SlV＇Z | Slt＇Z | Slt＇z | G1ナ＇Z | Slt＇Z | Sレカ＇Z | Sトロ＇て | 086＇82 | บи！̣！pədəa |
| 9ZS＇996 | 9ZS＇996 | 92G＇996 | 92S＇996 | 9ZG＇9G6 | 9ZG＇9S6 | 92¢＇996 | 9Zs＇996 | 9Zs＇996 | 9ZG＇956 | 9ZS＇9S6 | 9Z§＇9G6 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 Z G^{\prime} 9 \mathrm{~S} \\ & 0 \$ \end{aligned}$ | （әл！！民ןnumo）ұueld 아 asolo səmplpuədxヨ u！ew J＾d əכeןday |
| Јəqயəวəด | JəquıлО | 1290100 | dequiardes | 7 ¢nбn $\forall$ | Kinc | bunf | Kew | I！ 1 d $\forall$ | yosew | Kenıqə」 | Kismuer | 1 PlO |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | LLOZ SInS SNIVW－ OO SVS | LOZ－SNOI NOILIOOV $\forall 甘 \cap \perp \forall N$ S | OOV 910Z <br> LNV7d כIn <br> Ni甘7d LVヨ |  |  |  |  |  |

GREAT PLAINS NATURAL GAS CO.
GUIC PLANT ADDITIONS - SERVICES


Exhibit D
Page 13 of 19


GREAT PLAINS NATURAL GAS CO.
GUIC PLANT ADDITIONS - MAINS

1/ Balances based on monthly proration methodology
2017 ADDITIONS - GUIC 2018

|  | PROJECTED 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December |
| Replace PVC Main Expenditures | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Close to Plant (cumulative) | \$1,710,848 | 1,710,848 | 1,710,848 | 1,710,848 | 1,710,848 | 1,710,848 | 1,710,848 | 1,710,848 | 1,710,848 | 1,710,848 | 1,710,848 | 1,710,848 | 1,710,848 |
| Depreciation | 51,840 | 4,320 | 4.320 | 4,320 | 4,320 | 4,320 | 4,320 | 4,320 | 4,320 | 4,320 | 4,320 | 4,320 | 4,320 |
| Accumulated Reserve | 57,972 | 10,452 | 14,772 | 19,092 | 23,412 | 27,732 | 32,052 | 36,372 | 40,692 | 45,012 | 49,332 | 53,652 | 57,972 |
| ADIT - see page 17 // | \$33,549 | 25,581 | 27,025 | 28,323 | 29,480 | 30,491 | 31,361 | 32,085 | 32,664 | 33,101 | 33,393 | 33,544 | 33,549 |
| Net Plant | \$1,619,327 | 1,674,815 | 1,669,051 | 1,663,433 | 1,657,956 | 1,652,625 | 1,647,435 | 1,642,391 | 1,637,492 | 1,632,735 | 1,628,123 | 1,623,652 | 1,619,327 |
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# Minnesota Department of Commerce <br> Division of Energy Resources <br> Information Request 

| Docket Number: <br> Requested From: <br> Type of Inquiry: | G004/M-19-273 <br> Great Plains Natural Gas Co., - Tamie Aberle <br> General | $\square$ Nonpublic 区Public <br> Date of Request: 7/15/2019 <br> Response Due: 7/25/2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Requested by: <br> Email Address(es): <br> Phone Number(s): | Sachin Shah <br> sachin.shah@state.mn.us <br> $651-539-1834 ~$ |  |
| Request Number: <br> Topic: <br> Reference(s): | $\mathbf{2}$ |  |
|  | Great Plains Natural Gas Co. (Great Plains), a Division of Montana-Dakota Utilities <br> Co., April 15, 2019 Filing. |  |

## Request:

In Exhibit B of its Petition, the Company stated the following:
In 2018, mains and services were replaced in Clarkfield and Pelican Rapids. At the end of 2018, approximately $45 \%$ of total mains and $55 \%$ of total services planned to be replaced has been completed.
A. Please separately provide the estimated mains (feet) and services, by location, that the company has planned to be replaced, for its Minnesota communities and service area.
B. Please separately provide on a yearly basis, the actual mains (feet) and services, by location, that the Company has completed through 2018.
C. Explain how the $45 \%$ of total mains and $55 \%$ of total services planned to be replaced as described above were calculated.

Please provide all of the above calculations' and all the data used to create them, in Microsoft Excel format with all links and formulae intact.

If this information has already been provided in the application or in response to an earlier Department information request (IR), please identify the specific cite(s) or Department IR numbers(s).

To be completed by responder

Response Date: 7/30/2019
Response by: Travis Jacobson - Manager, Regulatory Affairs
Email Address: travis.jacobson@mdu.com
Phone Number: 701-222-7855

# Minnesota Department of Commerce <br> Division of Energy Resources <br> Information Request 

Docket Number:
Requested From: Great Plains Natural Gas Co., - Tamie Aberle
Type of Inquiry General
$\square$ Nonpublic 区Public Date of Request: 7/15/2019
Response Due: 7/25/2019

Requested by: Sachin Shah
Email Address(es): sachin.shah@state.mn.us
Phone Number(s): 651-539-1834

## Response:

A \& B: See Response No. 2 - Attachment A. Page 1 identifies PVC Mains and Services identified in the Company's Geographic Information System (GIS) yet to be retired through the system replacement projects. Pages 2 and 3 identify PVC Mains and Services identified as retired in the Company's GIS system.
C. The calculation based on the provided information is below. Please note there was an error in the wording of the paragraph. The paragraph should have read, "At the end of 2019, approximately $45 \%$ of total mains and $51 \%$ of total services planned to be replaced will be completed." This is due to the fact that the calculation takes into account the planned replacements in 2019 for Pelican Rapids and Montevideo.

|  | Mains |  | Services |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Planned Replacements 2019 - forward: | 595,306 |  | 397,258 |  |
| Planned 2019 Replacements: | 49,312 |  | 27,633 |  |
| Total Replacements Remaining After 2019: | 545,994 | 54.29\% | 369,625 | 48.68\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Retirements: | 410,332 |  | 361,981 |  |
| Planned 2019 Retirements: | 49,312 |  | 27,633 |  |
| Total Retirements - 2019: | 459,644 | 45.71\% | 389,614 | 51.32\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total: | 1,005,638 | 100.00\% | 759,239 | 100.00\% |

To be completed by responder

Response Date: 7/30/2019
Response by: Travis Jacobson - Manager, Regulatory Affairs
Email Address: travis.jacobson@mdu.com
Phone Number: 701-222-7855

## Great Plains Natural Gas Co. Planned Mains / Services Replacements

| Location | Main (FT.) | Service (FT.) | Services (EA.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Breckenridge |  |  |  |  |
| Crookston |  | 40 | 2 | 1/ |
| Erhard |  |  |  |  |
| Fergus Falls | 215,492 | 143,509 | 1,694 |  |
| Frazee | 1 |  |  | 1/ |
| GPNG Transmission | 4 |  |  | 1/ |
| Pelican Rapids | 9,561 | 6,710 | 84 |  |
| Vergas |  |  |  |  |
| Belview | 12,055 | 6,098 | 68 |  |
| Boyd | 9,886 | 5,428 | 75 |  |
| Clarkfield |  |  |  |  |
| Danube | 15,259 | 9,604 | 111 |  |
| Dawson | 5 |  |  | 1/, 2 / |
| Echo |  |  |  |  |
| Granite Falls | 8,561 | 2,592 | 46 |  |
| Marshall | 106,622 | 80,059 | 1,178 |  |
| Montevideo | 111,589 | 72,167 | 939 |  |
| Redwood Falls | 89,825 | 60,687 | 877 |  |
| Renville |  |  |  |  |
| Sacred Heart |  |  |  |  |
| Wood Lake | 16,446 | 10,364 | 129 |  |
| Total: | 595,306 | 397,258 | 5,203 |  |

1/ Great Plains is reviewing these records as these locations likely do not have PVC mains or services.
2/ Dawson replacements were completed in 2013.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VICES | REAT PLAI FOOTAGE | NS NATU REPLACED | RAL GAS THROU | o. SH 07-22. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NORTH DIS | TRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SOUTH | DISTRIC |  |  |  |  |  | Grand |
| YEAR | Breckenridge | Crookston | Fergus Falls | Pelican Rapids | Vergas | Belview | Boyd | Clarkfield | Danube | Dawson | Echo | Granite Falls | Marshall | Montevideo | Redwood Falls | Renville | Sacred Heart | Wood Lake | Total |
| 1961 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 23 | - | - | - | 23 |
| 1963 | 113 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 113 |
| 1968 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 26 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 26 |
| 1969 | 109 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 156 | 6 | - | - | - | - | 271 |
| 1970 | 44 | - | 35 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 56 | - | - | - | - | 134 |
| 1971 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 84 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 84 |
| 1972 | 353 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | 360 |
| 1973 | - | - | 280 | - | - | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100 | - | 380 |
| 1974 | 57 | - | 80 | - | - | - | - | 40 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 176 |
| 1975 | 14 | - | 79 | 169 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | 44 | 20 | 43 | - | - | - | 376 |
| 1976 | 408 | - | 608 | 101 | - | - | - | - | 225 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 47 | - | - | 1,389 |
| 1977 | 36 | - | 208 | 24 | - | - | 4 | 9 | - | 125 | - | - | 120 | 15 | 58 | - | 19 | - | 619 |
| 1978 |  | - | 255 | , | - | 101 | 97 | 114 | - | 316 | - | - | 10 | 109 |  | 279 | 352 | 57 | 1,691 |
| 1979 | 86 | - | 523 | 89 | - | - | - | 245 | - | 168 | - | - | 24 | 348 | - | 95 | 181 | - | 1,759 |
| 1980 | 120 | - | 81 | 160 | - | - | - | 83 | - | 280 | - | - | 25 | 258 | - | - | - | - | 1,007 |
| 1981 | 342 | - | 187 | - | - | 47 | - | 9 | 23 | 154 | - | 20 | 203 | 235 | 15 | - | - | - | 1,236 |
| 1982 | - | - | 296 | 187 | - | - | 146 | 27 | - | 20 | - | - | 160 | 582 | 191 | - | - | 28 | 1,638 |
| 1983 | 300 | - | 225 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 73 | - | - | 372 | 74 | 192 | - | 12 | - | 1,248 |
| 1984 | 293 | 68 | 149 | 188 | - | - | 12 | 81 | - | - | 18 | - | - | 89 | 153 | - | 151 | - | 1,203 |
| 1985 | 499 | - | - | 137 | - | - | - | - | - | 72 | - | - | 343 | 320 | - | 175 | - | - | 1,547 |
| 1986 | 50 | - | 317 | 55 | - | 77 | 138 | - | - | 281 | - | - | 209 | - | 56 | 156 | - | - | 1,339 |
| 1987 | 15 | - | 267 | 356 | - | 98 | 216 | 6 | - | 353 | 46 | - | 6 | - | 142 | 291 | - | - | 1,797 |
| 1988 | 209 | 215 | 73 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 278 | - | - | 87 | - | 35 | 293 | 41 | 99 | 1,330 |
| 1989 | 281 | 123 | 645 | 432 | - | - | 125 | 316 | - | 302 | - | - | 285 | 679 | - | 205 | - | - | 3,393 |
| 1990 | 427 | 78 | 74 | 184 | - | 68 | 71 | 129 | - | 79 | - | - | 458 | - | 70 | 274 | - | 253 | 2,165 |
| 1991 | 147 | . | 289 | 144 | - | - | - | - | - | 210 | 38 | - | 578 | 80 | 152 | 290 | 80 | 22 | 2,029 |
| 1992 | 264 | - | 158 | - | - | - | - | 396 | - | 169 | - | - | 178 | 22 | 54 | 302 | 124 | 167 | 1,833 |
| 1993 | 152 | 23 | - | 21 | - | - | - | 126 | 97 | 217 | - | - | 192 | 48 | 123 | 119 | 96 | - | 1,215 |
| 1994 | 13 | 41 | 102 | 99 | - | 50 | - | 53 | - | 128 | - | - | 121 | 120 | 200 | - | - | - | 927 |
| 1995 | 15 | - | 208 | - | - | 60 | 68 | 101 | - | - | 59 | - | 127 | 254 | 399 | - | 66 | - | 1,358 |
| 1996 | 250 | - | 442 | - | - | - | 31 | - | - | 19 | 99 | - | 28 | 26 | 97 | 32 | - | - | 1,024 |
| 1997 | 301 | - | 268 | 6 | - | - | - | 46 | - | 47 | - | - | 276 | 267 | 50 | - | - | 130 | 1,391 |
| 1998 | 1,917 | 100 | 47 | 172 | - | 32 | 15 | 41 | - | 478 | 44 | - | 121 | 1,526 | 448 | 136 | 34 | - | 5,110 |
| 1999 | 1,540 | 202 | 85 | 452 | - | - | 184 | 37 | 101 | 722 | - | - | 411 | 1,529 | 66 | 18 | 39 | - | 5,386 |
| 2000 | 203 | 25 | 103 | 70 | - | 139 | - | - | , | 380 | 105 | - | 391 | 672 | 581 | 64 | 90 | - | 2,824 |
| 2001 | 338 | - | 43 | 146 | 29 | 21 | 91 | - | - | 250 | 87 | - | 344 | 441 | - | - | 61 | - | 1,850 |
| 2002 | 49 | - | 683 | 54 | - | - | 237 | - | - | 165 | 111 | - | 45 | 12 | 113 | - | - | - | 1,467 |
| 2003 | 264 | 43 | 597 | 149 | - | - | 20 | 142 | 60 | 75 | - | - | 189 | 427 | 149 | - | - | - | 2,116 |
| 2004 | 85 | - | 854 | 139 | - | - | 27 | 32 | - | 10 | - | - | 648 | 777 | 144 | 54 | 198 | - | 2,968 |
| 2005 | 243 | - | 518 | 45 | - | - | - | 358 | 108 | 155 | - | - | 2,388 | 646 | 467 | - | 378 | - | 5,305 |
| 2006 | 581 | - | 587 | 122 | - | - | 32 | - | - | - | 141 | - | 1,719 | 458 | 141 | 518 | - | 66 | 4,365 |
| 2007 | 197 | - | 485 | 292 | - | - | - | 87 | - | 148 | - | - | 2,255 | 131 | 56 | - | - | - | 3,651 |
| 2008 | 1,616 | - | 235 | - | - | - | - | 415 | 90 | 111 | - | - | 1,336 | 1,018 | 882 | 2,118 | 424 | 42 | 8,286 |
| 2009 | 1,907 | - | 2,099 | 24 | - | 110 | - | 238 | - | 132 | 146 | - | 1,251 | 211 | 1,113 | 115 | 72 | 151 | 7,570 |
| 2010 | 1,249 | - | 3,300 | , | - | - | - | 41 | - | 1,657 | 111 | - | 2,504 | 653 | 762 | 86 | 253 | 122 | 10,738 |
| 2011 | 3,856 | - | 1,552 | 120 | - | - | - | 88 | - | 341 | 41 | - | 5,401 | 113 | 50 | 140 | 74 | 52 | 11,829 |
| 2012 | 826 | - | 6,181 | 2,038 | - | 105 | - | 1,599 | 84 | 13,329 | - | - | 678 | 180 | 1,518 | - | 98 | 98 | 26,736 |
| 2013 | 449 | - | 698 | 139 | - | 145 | 144 | 262 | 11 | 14,281 | 131 | - | 1,374 | 990 | 117 | - | , | 23 | 18,765 |
| 2014 | 9,943 | - | 1,183 | 1,311 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4,937 | 1,383 | 585 | - | 10,837 |  | 30,179 |
| 2015 | 11,184 | - | 1,377 | 788 | - | - | - | 221 | 24 | - | 92 | - | 5,006 | 73 | 681 | 11,525 | - | 144 | 31,116 |
| 2016 | 11,063 | - | 1,728 | 154 | - | - | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | 246 | 107 | 68 | - | - | 7,121 | 274 | 417 | 10,744 | - | 328 | 32,250 |
| 2017 | 2,664 | - | 1,134 | 10,082 | - | - | 276 | 3,857 | - | - | 4,691 | - | 673 | 5,479 | 506 | - | - | - | 29,362 |
| 2018 | - | - | 2,624 | 6,582 | - | 211 | - | 11,236 | 67 | 53 | - | - | 881 | 229 | 244 | - | - | - | 22,127 |
| 2019 | - | - | 340 | - | - | - | 355 | - | - | - | - | - | 284 | 46 | - | - | - | 12 | 1,037 |
| TOTAL | 55,074 | 917 | 32,306 | 25,235 | 29 | 1,265 | 2,289 | 20,709 | 997 | 35,741 | 5,961 | 20 | 43,960 | 20,876 | 11,092 | 28,076 | 13,781 | 1,795 | 300,122 |
| 1/ | 6,716 | 169 | 6,296 | 6,254 | 116 | 431 | 24 | 1,957 | 358 | 5,473 | 2,041 | - | 18,746 | 4,124 | 3,425 | 2,744 | 2,055 | 930 | 61,859 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 61,790 | 1,087 | 38,602 | 31,489 | 145 | 1,696 | 2,314 | 22,666 | 1,355 | 41,213 | 8,002 | 20 | 62,706 | 25,000 | 14,517 | 30,819 | 15,836 | 2,724 | 361,981 |

# Minnesota Department of Commerce <br> Division of Energy Resources <br> Information Request 

| Docket Number: | G004/M-19-273 | $\square$ Nonpublic $\boxtimes$ Public |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Requested From: | Great Plains Natural Gas Co., - Tamie Aberle | Date of Request: 7/15/2019 <br> Response Due: 7/25/2019 |
| Type of Inquiry: | General |  |
| Requested by: | Sachin Shah |  |
| Email Address(es): | sachin.shah@state.mn.us <br> Phone Number(s): <br> 651-539-1834 |  |

## Request Number: <br> 3

Topic:
Reference(s): Great Plains Natural Gas Co. (Great Plains), a Division of Montana-Dakota Utilities Co., April 15, 2019 Filing.

## Request:

In Exhibit B of its Petition, the Company stated the following:

The 2019 projects identified are being bid through a Request for Proposal (RFP) process. The bid process will be finalized in April. The costs and footages shown above are based on the quantities in the RFP.
A. Please provide a narrative of the RFP process.
B. Please provide documentation linking the RFP results to Great Plains' proposed rates.
C. Please show how Great Plains has complied with the requirement in subdivision 5 of the GUIC statute that costs be "prudently incurred and achieve gas facility improvements at the lowest reasonable and prudent cost to ratepayers."
D. Are any of the successful bidders affiliated with Montana-Dakota Utilities Company or its Parent?

If applicable, please provide the requested information, in Microsoft Excel format with all links and formulae intact.

To be completed by responder

Response Date: 7/30/2019
Response by: Travis Jacobson - Manager, Regulatory Affairs
Email Address: travis.jacobson@mdu.com
Phone Number: 701-222-7855

# Minnesota Department of Commerce <br> Division of Energy Resources <br> Information Request 

| Docket Number: | G004/M-19-273 | $\square$ Nonpublic $\boxtimes$ Public <br> Requested From: <br> Type of Inquiry: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Great Plains Natural Gas Co., - Tamie Aberle |  |  |
| General | Date of Request: 7/15/2019 <br> Response Due: 7/25/2019 |  |
| Requested by: Sachin Shah |  |  |
| Email Address(es): | sachin.shah@state.mn.us |  |
| Phone Number(s): | $651-539-1834$ |  |

If this information has already been provided in the application or in response to an earlier Department information request (IR), please identify the specific cite(s) or Department IR numbers(s).

## Response:

A. The PVC replacement projects for Pelican Rapids and Montevideo were bid through an RFP issued on April 5, 2019, with the scope of the work for each project area provided by Great Plains. Company Engineering and Operations staff evaluated the bids received in response to the RFP, taking into account the overall cost of the project, the contractor's experience and ability to perform the work requested. See Response No. 3 Attachment A for the following information (Information in Attachment A has been designated as trade secret information - Not for Public Disclosure):

- Pages 1-2-RFP Process
- Pages 3-5- Pelican Rapids RFP
- Pages 6-9-Montevideo RFP
- Page 10 - Pelican Rapids Cost Breakdown
- Page 11 - Montevideo Cost Breakdown
B. Pages 10-11 of Attachment C identify the accepted cost plans based on the awarded bids for the 2019 projects in Pelican Rapids and Montevideo, respectively. Sewer inspection and concrete/asphalt removal and restoration costs were estimated by Great Plains staff and were added to the awarded bids. The following table is a breakdown of the 2019 projected project costs.

| Mains |  |  | Services |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pelican Rapids: | 210,885 | (Page 10) | Pelican Rapids: | 226,985 | (Page 10) |
| Montevideo: | 916,364 | (Page 11) | Montevideo: | 967,258 | (Page 11) |
| Sewer Inspect./Restoration: | 285,000 |  |  | 1,194,243 | $1 /$ |
|  | 1,412,249 | 1/ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

To be completed by responder
Response Date: 7/30/2019
Response by: Travis Jacobson - Manager, Regulatory Affairs
Email Address: travis.jacobson@mdu.com
Phone Number: 701-222-7855

## Minnesota Department of Commerce <br> Division of Energy Resources Information Request

| Docket Number: | G004/M-19-273 | $\square$ Nonpublic 区Public |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Requested From: | Great Plains Natural Gas Co., - Tamie Aberle | Date of Request: $7 / 15 / 2019$ |
| Type of Inquiry: | General | Response Due: $7 / 25 / 2019$ |


| Requested by: | Sachin Shah |
| :--- | :--- |
| Email Address(es): | sachin.shah@state.mn.us |
| Phone Number(s): | 651-539-1834 |

C. Great Plains has complied with the requirements of Minnesota Statutes, section 216B.1635, subdivision 5 by engaging multiple contractors for project bids through the RFP process. Through this process, 5 bids were received for the Montevideo project and 3 bids were received for Pelican Rapids. Contractor bids were evaluated based on the overall cost the contractor's bid to complete the project, as well as the contractor's experience and ability to perform the work requested. The contractors selected to perform the 2019 projects were chosen the based on their bids being the lowest bid for the respective location, and their experience with natural gas systems within the Great Plains service area.
D. None of the successful bidders are affiliated with Great Plains Natural Gas Co., Montana-Dakota Utilities, or MDU Resources Group, Inc.

April 5, 2019

Re: Bid Letting for MDU/GPNG natural gas distribution replacement

## Bid Deadline: Friday 4/19/2019 at 5PM Central Time

Attached are requests for proposal to replace portions of MDU/GPNG's natural gas distribution systems in $\mathbf{4}$ states at $\underline{9}$ locations. Work described by the collective RFPs are not tied and bidders are welcome to bid any or all the attached Scopes of Work. Replacement project locations include:

```
Minnesota - Montevideo/Pelican Rapids
Montana - Fairview (MT)/Glendive
North Dakota - Dickinson/Fairview (ND)/Glen Ullin/New Salem
South Dakota - Rapid City
```


## 1. Proposal Requirements

Energy WorldNet (EWN) - MDU/GPNG is utilizing EWN to manage its Operator Qualification plan and the qualification of its employees. Any contractor that is to do work on MDU/GPNG gas distribution system must be enrolled into EWN, and at minimum completed the "level 2" Operator Qualification requirements prior to starting work.

- Bid submittal -
- Submit bids via email to - MDUGasIntegrityEngineering@mdu.com
- Complete \& Submit respective Electronic Bidder Schedule for individual project
- Complete \& Submit preliminary work schedule with bid. At a minimum, the schedule must include the estimated start and completion dates for each phase identified in the plan set
- Receipt of bids will be acknowledged by a reply email.


## 2. Addenda and Interpretations

Interpretations of the meaning of the Scopes of Work will not be made orally to any Bidder. Questions may be sent by email to the engineer or supervisor listed on the cover letter of each RFP. Questions will be collected, and addenda will be issued to all Bidders, as needed. Questions must be received at least five (5) business days prior to the date fixed for bid opening to allow for appropriate consideration. Questions received less than 5 business days prior to the date for opening of bids may not be answered.

## 3. Time of Completion

All work must be complete by November 1, 2019. The Successful Bidder will be required to complete the work to the satisfaction of MDU/GPNG within the stipulated time and prior to final payment.

## 4. Modifications or Withdrawal of Bids

A Bidder may submit modification to or withdrawal of his proposal at any time prior to bid opening.

## 5. Qualification of Bidders

MDU/GPNG reserves the right to evaluate the Bidder's financial responsibility, experience, capacity, ability, and integrity to perform the work in accordance with the contract documents.
6. Contract Award

MDU/GPNG reserves the right to award the work as is most advantageous to them or reject all bids.
7. Contract Specifications

Specification to be followed under this contract are "Master Construction Services Contract Natural Gas Distribution Facilities", as currently revised, and the Scope of Work for each project.
8. Examination of Project Site

Bidders are responsible for examination of the sites of the projects. This includes the soil and water conditions to be encountered, improvements and private property to be protected, disposal sites for surplus material other than sites designated, and as to methods of ingress and egress to private properties and methods of handling traffic during construction.

## EXHIBIT G <br> PROJECT PROPOSALS

## 2019 PVC Replacement Project

## Pelican Rapids, Minnesota

## Scope of Work

This project includes the replacement of PVC gas mains and services with MDPE lines, tie-over of existing facilities, and removal of one (1) District Regular Station. All Work shall be done under the direct supervision of Owner's personnel. Contractor shall conform to all of Owner's duties and obligations required under this Contract and is required to adhere to all construction practices as outlined in the current revision of the MDU-GPND Gas Construction, Operations, and Maintenance Standards.

## 1) GENERAL CONDITIONS

a. All required documentation is incidental to the retirements and replacements and will be submitted weekly, legibly, and neatly. This documentation typically includes:
i. Fuser Sheet
1.Part/Material Lists - Part No./Qty/Manufacturer/Lot No./etc.
2.DOT Information - Tester/Test Duration/Test Medium/Test Pressure/Fuser/etc.
ii. Pipeline Inspection Report
1.Exposed pipe inspected - Type/Size/Condition/Pipe or Wire to Soil Voltage Potential/Approx. Depth/etc.
2.Exposed Pipe Removed from Service - Size/Liquids Present/Internal Condition
b. Any gas line tie-overs performed must have and adhere to the following:
i. Verifiable pressure test records
ii. Locatable
iii. Meet proper depth \& cover requirements
c. All bores shall require documentation in a log book. At the end of the project the log book must be turned in to the MDU/GPNG Integrity Engineering Department.
d. Any welding on steel lines will be completed by Owner's personnel.
e. Per Contract Section 20 of the Contract, Contractor may not subcontract its responsibilities without the written consent of Owner. Contractor shall provide a list of subcontractors to Owner prior to the start of construction.
f. Work Schedule shall be submitted and updated per Section 7 of the Contract.
i. Estimated start date: May 1, 2019
ii. Completion date: November 1, 2019
iii. A preliminary schedule is to be submitted with bid. At a minimum, the schedule must include the estimated start and completion dates for each phase identified in the plan set.

## 2) MAIN INSTALLATION/REPLACEMENT

a. Installation of approximately 10,042 feet of 2 " MDPE
b. Main Tie-Over - Connections to and tie-over of existing plastic mains are incidental to the bid price for main installation/replacement.
c. All main-to-main connections shall be completed using full open tees. Use of high-volume tap tees shall require approval from the MDU Gas Integrity Department prior to use.

## 3) SERVICE LINE INSTALLATION/REPLACEMENT

a. Total Service Line Count -147 with average length of $72^{\prime}$
i. Replacement - 95
ii. Tie-Over - 52
iii. Typical service diameter $-3 / 4$ "
b. Approximate Service Footage Retirement - 12,552' of small diameter PVC, EVPP, or Steel.
c. All replacement and tie-over services must have an appropriately sized excess flow valve (EFV) installed as close to the main as practical. If an EFV of sufficient size is not commercially available, a curb valve must be installed.
d. All services shall have a separate bell-hole and shall come off the main at a $90^{\circ}$ angle, unless approved by the MDU/GPNG Engineering Department.

## 4) SYSTEM RETIREMENT

a. District Regulator Stations (DRS)
i. Two District Regulator Stations will be retired as part of this project.
ii. All isolation valve, regulator, and relief valve operation will be performed by Owner's personnel.
iii. Miscellaneous work associated with DRS retirements performed by Contractor (excavation, building demo, etc.) will be paid on a T\&E basis.
b. Main Retirements are incidental to bid item for installation/replacement.
i. Retirement includes purging of gas from and sealing ends of mains.
ii. The presence/absence of liquids is to be verified at the ends of the retired system. Any liquids found are to be collected.
c. Service Retirements are incidental to bid items for installation/replacement
i. Retirement includes purging of gas from services
ii. Each retired service is to be cut at ground level and have an expand-o plug installed
d. Underground Greaseable Plug Valves
i. All greaseable plug valves are to be removed \& properly handled per Owner PCB Plan
ii. Miscellaneous work to extract valves (additional excavation \& backfill, pipe cutting, sealing pipe ends, etc.) will be incidental to "Remove Greaseable Plug Valve" bid item.

## 5) MATERIALS

a. All necessary building materials will be furnished by Owner. This includes pipe, locator wire, small fittings, taps, risers, etc.
b. Owner will supply a warehouse or laydown yard to store project materials.

## 6) CONTRACT CREW \& SUPERVISION REQUIREMENTS

a. Contractor must provide a permanent crew lead(s) \& fuser(s) for the duration of the project.
b. Contractor is responsible for providing adequate crew staffing to complete project before the specified completion date and in accordance with Contractor-provided schedule.
7) MAP SHEET DETAILS - Service lines shown on the map sheet indicate approximate location of existing services only. Actual locations may differ.

## 8) MISCELLANEOUS

a. All mapping will be completed by Owner's personnel.
b. Owner's construction personnel shall perform all steel/welding work and live plastic retirements.
c. Contractor is responsible for calling and maintaining located and for following One Call laws.
d. Railroad and highway permits will be completed by Owner's personnel.
e. System Operating Pressure(s):
i. Current: 15 and 50 psig
ii. $\mathrm{MAOP}=60 \mathrm{psig}$
f. System Materials consist of - PVC, MDPE, Aldyl-A, \& Steel
g. Sewer Investigation may be required.
i. Sewer investigations are NOT to be included in project bid.
ii. If a sewer investigation is required, Owner requests a separate invoice be provided.
9) TRAFFIC CONTROL - Contractor shall provide all required traffic control devices and personnel necessary for safe performance of project.
10) EROSION CONTROL - Contractor shall provide erosion control measures to mitigate potential storm water issues caused by run-off.

## 11) SOFT SURFACE RESTORATION

a. Contractor is responsible for obtaining all necessary restoration materials including top soil, grass seed, etc.
b. All restoration activities are required to be completed as soon as practicable after completion of work in an area.

## 12) HARD SURFACE RESTORATION

a. Contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary restoration materials including gravel, concrete, rebar, asphalt, etc.
b. Gravel alleyway surfacing is to be replaced with similar material and matching thickness.
c. Contractor is responsible for surfacing subcontractor coordination.
d. All restoration activities are required to be completed as soon as practicable after completion of work in an area.

## 13) METER TIE-OVER (ALTERNATE BID ITEM)

a. Contractor to install Company-supplied piping, valves, and/or meter bars
b. Re-installation of existing meter or installation of replacement meter at Company discretion
c. Connect customer piping to meter set
d. Painting of meter, piping, and fittings - including downstream piping
e. Verify or adjust regulator set pressure
f. Perform applicable testing per State and City Code Requirements
i. Meter motion test
ii. Pressure testing (installed piping only \& soap final connections)
g. Schedule and perform relights
h. Complete and submit paper order(s)
14) OQ REQUIREMENTS - Contractor OQ program must be sent in with bid and must be reviewed and approved by Owner before a contract will be issued.
15) INVOICE APPROVALS - Owner's inspector will sign off weekly on Contractor invoices for payment.
16) T\&E RATES - Contractor shall provide price breakdown and equipment rates at time of bid submission.

# EXHIBIT G <br> PROJECT PROPOSAL 

## 2019 PVC Replacement Project

Montevideo, Minnesota

## Scope of Work

The project includes the replacement of PVC gas mains and services with MDPE lines, tie-over of existing facilities, and removal of two (2) District Regulator Stations. All work shall be done under the direct supervision of Owner's personnel. Contractor shall conform to all Owner's duties and obligations required under this Contract and is required to adhere to all construction practices as outlined in the current revision of MDU-GPNG Gas Construction, Operations, and Maintenance Standards.

## 1) GENERAL CONDITIONS

a. All required documentation is incidental to the retirements and replacements and shall be submitted weekly, legibly, and neatly. This documentation typically includes:
i. Fuser Sheet

1. Part/Material Lists - Part No./Qty/Manufacturer/Lot No./etc/
2. DOT Information - Tester/Test Duration/Test Medium/Test Pressure/Fuser/etc.
ii. Pipeline Inspection Report
3. Expose pipe inspected - Type/Size/Condition/Pipe or Wire to Soil Voltage Potential/Approximate Depth/etc.
4. Exposed Pipe Removed from Service - Size/Liquids Present/Internal Condition
b. Any gas line tie-overs performed must have and adhere to the following:
i. Verifiable pressure test records
ii. Locatable
iii. Meet proper depth \& cover requirements
c. All bores shall require documentation in a log book. At the end of the project the log book must be turned in to the MDU/GPNG Integrity Engineering Department.
d. Any welding on steel lines will be completed by Owner's personnel.
e. Per Contract Section 20 of the Contract, Contractor shall not subcontract its responsibilities without the written consent of Owner. Contractor shall provide a list of subcontractors to Owner prior to the start of construction.
f. Work Schedule will be submitted and updated per Section 7 of the Contract.
i. Estimated start date: May 1, 2019
ii. Completion date: November 1, 2019
iii. A preliminary schedule is to be submitted with bid. At a minimum, the schedule must include the estimated start and completion dates for each phase identified in the plan set.

## 2) MAIN INSTALLATION/REPLACEMENT

a. Installation of approximately $31,771^{\prime}$ of 2 " MDPE, 6,084 ' of 4 " MDPE, and 1,415 ' of 8 " MDPE
b. Main Tie-Over - Connections to and tie-over of plastic mains are incidental to the bid price for main installation/replacement.
c. All main-to-main connections shall be completed using full open tees. Use of high-volume tap tees shall require approval from MDU Gas Integrity Engineering Department prior to use.

## 3) SERVICE LINE INSTALLATION/REPLACEMENT

a. Total Service Line Count - 564 with average length of $70^{\prime}$
i. Replacement -446
ii. Tie-Over -118
iii. Typical service diameter: $3 / 4$ " to $11 / 4$ " and 2 "
b. Approximate Service Footage Retirement - 39,480' of small diameter PVC or Aldyl-A.
c. All replacement and tie-over services must have an appropriately sized excess flow valve (EFV) installed as close to the main as practical. If an EFV of sufficient size is not commercially available, a curb valve shall be installed.
d. All services shall have a separate bell-hole and will come off the main at a $90^{\circ}$ angle, unless approved by the MDU/GPNG Gas Integrity Engineering Department.

## 4) SYSTEM RETIREMENT

a. District Regulator Stations (DRS)
i. Two (2) DRS shall be retired as part of this project.
ii. All isolation valve, regulator, and relief valve operation will be performed by Owner's personnel.
iii. Miscellaneous work associated with DRS retirements performed by Contractor (excavation, building demo, etc.) shall be paid on a T\&E basis.
b. Main Retirements are incidental to bid item for installation/replacement.
i. Retirement includes purging of gas from and sealing ends of mains.
ii. The presence/absence of liquids is to be verified at the end of the retired system. Any liquids found are to be collected.
c. Service Retirements are incidental to bid items for installation/replacement
i. Retirement includes purging of gas from services
ii. Each retired service is to be cut at ground level and have an expand-o plug installed.
d. Underground Greaseable Plug Valves
i. All greaseable plug valves are to be removed \& properly handled per MDU PCB Plan.
ii. Miscellaneous work to extract valves (additional excavation \& backfill, pipe cutting, sealing pipe ends, etc.) will be incidental to "Remove Greaseable Plug Valve" bid item.

## 5) MATERIALS

a. All necessary building materials will be furnished by Owner. This includes pipe, locator wire, small fittings, taps, risers, etc.
b. Owner will supply a warehouse or laydown yard to store project materials.

## 6) CONTRACT CREW \& SUPERVISION REQUIREMENTS

a. Contractor shall provide a permanent crew lead(s) \& fuser(s) for the duration of the project.
b. Contractor shall be responsible for providing adequate crew staffing to complete project before the specified complete date and in accordance with contractor-provided schedule.
7) MAP SHEET DETAILS - Service lines shown on the map sheet indicate approximate location of existing services only. Actual locations may differ.

## 8) MISCELLANEOUS

a. All mapping will be completed by Owner's personnel.
b. Owner's construction personnel will perform all steel/welding work and live plastic retirements.
c. Contractor shall be responsible for calling and maintaining locates and for following One Call laws.
d. Railroad and highway permits will be completed by Owner's personnel.
e. System Operating Pressure(s):
i. Current: 15 and 55 psig
ii. Design: 30 psig (MAOP -60 psig )
f. Systems Materials consist of - PVC, MDPE, Aldyl-A, \& Steel.
g. Sewer Investigation may be required.
i. Sewer Investigations are NOT to be included in project bid.
ii. If a sewer investigation is required, Owner requests a separate invoice be provided.
9) TRAFFIC CONTROL - Contractor shall provide all required traffic control devices and personnel necessary for safe performance of project.
10) EROSION CONTROL - Contractor shall provide erosion control measures to mitigate potential storm water issues caused by run-off.

## 11) SOFT SURFACE RESTORATION

a. Contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary restoration materials including top soil, grass seed, etc.
b. All restoration activities are required to be completed as soon as practicable after completion of work in an area.

## 12) HARD SURFACE RESTORATION

a. Contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary restoration materials including gravel, concrete, rebar, asphalt, etc.
b. Gravel alleyway surfacing shall be replaced with similar material and matching thickness.
c. Contractor shall be responsible for surfacing subcontractor coordination.
d. All restoration activities are required to be completed as soon as practicable after completion of work in an area.

## 13) METER TIE-OVER (ALTERNATE BID ITEM)

a. Contractor shall install Company-supplied piping, valves, and/or meter bars
b. Re-installation of existing meter or installation of replacement meter at Company discretion
c. Connect customer piping to meter set
d. Painting of meter, piping, and fitting - including downstream piping
e. Verify or adjust regulator set pressure
f. Perform applicable testing per State and City Code Requirements
i. Meter motion test
ii. Pressure testing (installed piping only \& soap final connections)
g. Schedule and perform relights
h. Complete and submit paper order(s)
14) OQ REQUIREMENTS - Contractor OQ program must be sent in with bid and must be reviewed and approved by Owner before a contract will be issued.
15) INVOICE APPROVALS - Owner's inspector will sign off weekly on Contractor invoices for payment.
16) T\&E RATES - Contractor shall provide a price breakdown of labor and equipment rates at time of bid submission.

Response No. 3
Page 10 of 11
NOTE: Prices \& Classes are based upon:
Date: 4/23/2019
AD-101 \& DO-205
Creator: KHDC
Misc. (10\%)
ES \& GA - AD_107
Prices based on addendum =
C
[TRADE SECRET BEGINS]

| MAIN INSTALLATION :2019 PVC Replacement Pelican Rapids |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Material | quantity | Unit price | Overtime | Unit Price | Markup | total |
|  | PIP-PL-2-IPS | 10042 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PIP-PL-4-IPS | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WI-LOC-CU\#14-HMWPE | 10042 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | RR X-ing (Permits/Fee/Materials) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | VLV-PL-RWL-4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ह | Working Lead -0.5 week/50 hrs | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ভ̀ | Serviceperson A - 2.5 months/50 hr wks | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\pi}{0}$ | Engineer Assoc.- 2.5 months/40 hr wks | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 이 | Construction Supv. - 2 weeks | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | Engineer III-2 weeks | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $. \underset{\pi}{E}$ | Arvig - Install 2" PE Gas Main | 10042 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\pi}{8}$ | Arvig - Install 4" PE Gas Main | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<$ | Arvig - PE Valve Installation 2" or 4" | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arvig - Retest Existing Main \& Svcs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arvig - Tie Over Main | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MISC - Materials, Permits, etc. (10\%) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ES \& GA | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

[TRADE SECRET ENDS]
COST OF MAIN

| Material | Length (ft) | $\$ / \mathrm{ft}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PE Main | 10042 | $\$ 21.00$ | $\$ 210,885.09$ |

[TRADE SECRET BEGINS]

| SERVICE: 3/4" (P) service line install |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T0000000000000000 | Material | quantity | Unit price | Overtime | Unit Price | Markup | total |
|  | PIP-PL-.75-IPS | 10584 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TEE-STELF-2X. 75 | 147 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EFV-PL-.75IPS | 147 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | RIS-PL-.75-GROUP | 147 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sleeve | 450 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | VLV-BRLWBP-.75-175IN | 147 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WI-LOC-CU\#14-HMWPE | 10584 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Working Lead - 0.5 entire week/50 hrs | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Serviceperson A - 2.5 months/50 hr wks | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Engineer Assoc.- 2.5 months/40 hr wks | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Construction Supv. - 2 weeks | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Engineer III - 2 weeks | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arvig - Install New 3/4" to $11 / 4$ " PE Gas Svc. | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arvig - Tie Over 3/4" to $11 / 4$ " PE Gas Svc. | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MISC - Materials, Permits, etc. (10\%) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ES \& GA | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

[TRADE SECRET ENDS]
COST OF SERVICE

| COST OF SERVICE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material | Service Count | $\$ /$ vvc | Total |
| Service Line - Replace \& Tie Over | 147 | $\$ 1,544.12$ | $\$ 226,985.21$ |

NOTE: Prices \& Classes are based upon:
Date: 4/23/2019
Creator: KHDC
AD - 101 \& DO - 205

Misc. (10\%)
ES \& GA -AD_107

Prices based on addendum =
C
[TRADE SECRET BEGINS]

| MAIN INSTALLATION :2019 PVC Replacement Montevideo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Material | quantity | Unit price | Overtime | Unit Price | Markup | total |
|  | PIP-PL-2-IPS | 31771 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PIP-PL-4-IPS | 6084 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PIP-PL-8-IPS | 1415 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WI-LOC-CU\#14-HMWPE | 39270 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | RR X-ing (Permits/Fee/Materials) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | VLV-PL-RWL-4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Working Lead -4 week/50 hrs | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Serviceperson A \& B - 10 months/50 hr wks | 800 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Engineer Assoc. A\& B - 10 months/40 hr wks | 800 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Construction Supv. - 4 weeks | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Engineer III - 4 weeks | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ellingson - Install 2" PE Gas Main | 31771 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ellingson - Install 4" PE Gas Main | 6084 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ellingson - Install 8" PE Gas Main | 1415 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ellingson - Retest Existing Main \& Svcs | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ellingson - Tie Over Main | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MISC - Materials, Permits, etc. (10\%) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ES \& GA | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

[TRADE SECRET ENDS]

[TRADE SECRET BEGINS]

| SERVICE: 3/4" (P) service line install |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Material | quantity | Unit price | Overtime | Unit Price | Markup | total |
|  | PIP-PL-.75-IPS | 39480 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TEE-STELF-2X. 75 | 564 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EFV-PL-.75IPS | 564 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | RIS-PL-.75-GROUP | 564 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sleeve | 1701 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | VLV-BRLWBP-.75-175IN | 564 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WI-LOC-CU\#14-HMWPE | 39480 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Working Lead - 4 entire week/50 hrs | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Serviceperson A \& B - 10 months/50 hr wks | 800 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Engineer Assoc. A\& B-10 months/40 hr wks | 800 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Construction Supv. - 4 weeks | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Engineer III-4 weeks | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ellingson - Install New 3/4" to $11 / 4$ " PE Gas Svc. | 446 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arvig - Tie Over 3/4" to $11 / 4$ " PE Gas Svc. | 118 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MISC - Materials, Permits, etc. (10\%) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ES \& GA | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

[TRADE SECRET ENDS]
COST OF SERVICE

| Material | Service Count | \$/Svc | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service Line - Replace \& Tie Over | 564 | $\$ 1,715.00$ | $\$ 967,258.30$ |

## Minnesota Department of Commerce Division of Energy Resources Information Request



## Request:

Xcel Energy's Alternative Prorated ADIT Methodology -Docket E002/M-17-797.
A. Please separately provide revised prorated ADIT balances, resulting annual revenue requirements, and tracker true-up balances using Xcel Energy's alternative prorated methodology.

Please provide all of the above calculations', exhibits, supporting exhibits and all the data used to create them, in Microsoft Excel format (*.xlsx) with all links and formulae intact.

If this information has already been provided in the application or in response to an earlier Department information request (IR), please identify the specific cite(s) or Department IR numbers(s).

## Response:

A. See Response No. 4 - Attachment A for a summary of the annual revenue requirements and ADIT balances. Page 1 compares the revenue requirement calculation as filed to the alternate ADIT method. The net effect to the revenue requirement by adjusting the methodology is a $\$ 2,212$ decrease. Page 2 outlines the change to the ADIT balances for 2018 , the true-up period, and 2019, the projected period. The ADIT balances for 2018 were accepted as filed in the Commission's Order in Docket No. G-004/M-18-282, dated February 12, 2019 and were based on the annual proration method. Great Plains has been advised that the method used for the projection and true up must be applied consistently. However, the information has been presented in the attachment for informational purposes only. Please see the attached Excel file Response No. 4 - Attachment B for support of the revised calculation.

To be completed by responder

Response Date: 7/30/2019
Response by: Travis Jacobson, Manager - Regulatory Affairs
Email Address: travis.jacobson@mdu.com
Phone Number: 701-222-7855

Response No. 4
Attachment A
Page 1 of 2

## GREAT PLAINS NATURAL GAS CO. GUIC REVENUE REQUIREMENT

| ACTUAL 2018 TRUE UP 1/ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alternative |  |  | Variance |
| Depreciation Expense | \$185,605 | \$185,605 | \$0 |
| Ad Valorem Taxes | 121,114 | 121,114 | - |
| Return | 373,525 | 374,273 | (748) |
| Income Taxes | $(124,754)$ | $(124,826)$ | 72 |
| Gross up for Taxes | 224,056 | 224,330 | (274) |
| Total | \$779,546 | \$780,496 | (\$950) |
| PROJECTED 2019 1/ |  |  |  |
|  | Alternative Monthly | As Filed | Variance |
| Depreciation Expense | \$259,294 | \$259,294 | \$0 |
| Ad Valorem Taxes | 167,197 | 167,197 | - |
| Return | 508,717 | 509,712 | (995) |
| Income Taxes | $(172,424)$ | $(172,520)$ | 96 |
| Gross up for Taxes | 307,670 | 308,033 | (363) |
| Total | \$1,070,454 | \$1,071,716 | $(\$ 1,262)$ |
| Total | \$1,850,000 | \$1,852,212 | $(\$ 2,212)$ |

1/ Revised to reflect alternative monthly period proration rather than annual period proration methodology.

$\frac{\text { Dec }}{\$ 72845}$ Dec
$\$ 72,845$
51,832 $\begin{array}{r}\text { 51,832 } \\ \hline 124,677\end{array}$
As Filed Mains Services Variance
Mains
Services Total
Alternative Mains
Services Total
As Filed Mains Total
Variance Mains Services Total

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Linda Chavez, hereby certify that I have this day served copies of the following document on the attached list of persons by electronic filing, e-mail, or by depositing a true and correct copy thereof properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States Mail at St. Paul, Minnesota.

MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE - COMMENTS

Docket Nos. G004/M-19-273
Dated this 13th day of September, 2019.
/s/Linda Chavez

| First Name | Last Name | Email | Company Name | Address | Delivery Method | View Trade Secret | Service List Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tamie A. | Aberle | tamie.aberle@mdu.com | Great Plains Natural Gas Co. | 400 North Fourth Street <br> Bismarck, <br> ND <br> 585014092 | Electronic Service | No | OFF_SL_19-273_M-19-273 |
| Generic Notice | Commerce Attorneys | commerce.attorneys@ag.st ate.mn.us | Office of the Attorney General-DOC | 445 Minnesota Street Suite 1800 St. Paul, MN 55101 | Electronic Service | Yes | OFF_SL_19-273_M-19-273 |
| Sharon | Ferguson | sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us | Department of Commerce | 85 7th Place E Ste 280 <br> Saint Paul, <br> MN <br> 551012198 | Electronic Service | No | OFF_SL_19-273_M-19-273 |
| Brian | Meloy | brian.meloy@stinson.com | STINSON LLP | 50 S 6th St Ste 2600 <br> Minneapolis, <br> MN <br> 55402 | Electronic Service | No | OFF_SL_19-273_M-19-273 |
| Generic Notice | Residential Utilities Division | residential.utilities@ag.stat e.mn.us | Office of the Attorney General-RUD | 1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012131 | Electronic Service | Yes | OFF_SL_19-273_M-19-273 |
| Daniel P | Wolf | dan.wolf@state.mn.us | Public Utilities Commission | 121 7th Place East <br> Suite 350 <br> St. Paul, <br> MN <br> 551012147 | Electronic Service | Yes | OFF_SL_19-273_M-19-273 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Petition at 1.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Petition at 2.
    ${ }^{3}$ In the Matter of the Petition of Great Plains Natural Gas Co. for Approval of a GUIC Tariff and Adjustment, Docket No. G004/M-16-1066, Order Approving Rider and Rate Adjustment Factors, and Requiring Compliance Filing (October 6, 2017).
    ${ }^{4}$ See: In the Matter of the Petition of Great Plains Natural Gas Co. for Approval of a GUIC Tariff and Adjustment, Docket No. G004/M-16-1066, Order Approving Rider and Rate Adjustment Factors, and Requiring Compliance Filing (October 6, 2017) and Great Plains' October 25, 2017 compliance filing in the same docket.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ See Great Plains' February 15, 2019 compliance filing in Docket No. G004/M-18-282.
    ${ }^{6}$ In the Matter of the Petition by Great Plains Natural Gas Co., a Division of MDU Resources Group, Inc., for Authority to Increase Natural Gas Rates in Minnesota, Docket No. G004/GR-15-879, Findings of Fact, Conclusions, and Order (September $6,2016)$, page 28.

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ See Great Plains' February 15, 2019 compliance filing in Docket No. G004/M-18-282. The only change to the tariff in the current petition is to the GIUC adjustment per dekatherm as shown on Great Plains Section 5, $1^{\text {st }}$ Revised Sheet No. 5-131.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ Great Plains' forecasting process estimates customer numbers and per-customer growth for each customer class based on internal surveying and then uses those numbers to estimate sales. For the GUIC rider, any inaccuracy in the forecast is mitigated by annual true-ups.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ See the Department's July 31, 2019 Comments in Docket No. G004/D-19-376 at pages 12-13.
    ${ }^{9}$ See the Department's June 27, 2018 Comments in Docket No. G004/M-18-182 at page 7.

[^6]:    ${ }^{10}$ Petition at page 7.
    ${ }^{11}$ See Xcel Energy's July 27, 2018 Reply Comments at pages 5-6.

[^7]:    ${ }^{12}$ The prepayment happens due to the difference in depreciation in determining utility rates and federal income taxes. When utilities use accelerated depreciation for federal income taxes, they generally owe little or no income taxes during the entire early life of the asset. Meanwhile, utility retail rates assume the same level of depreciation each year for the asset, resulting in ratepayers paying essentially a levelized amount of income taxes over the life of the asset. Thus, ratepayers pay the utility more in federal income taxes than the utility owes during the early life of an asset and less than what is owed as the asset ages.
    ${ }^{13}$ Petition, Exhibit D page 17 of 18.

[^8]:    ${ }^{14}$ As shown in Great Plains' Response to Department Information Request No. 4, Attachment A, the Deloitte methodology also slightly reduced Great Plains' 2018 true-up balance. However, the Department only addressed the effect on GP's 2019 annual revenue requirements in these comments for simplicity.
    ${ }^{15}$ Per Great Plains' Response to Department Information Request No. 4 which is attached to these comments.

[^9]:    ${ }^{16}$ Per Xcel Energy's May 25, 2018 Supplemental Reply Comments in Docket No. E002/M-17-797, Page 2.
    ${ }^{17}$ See Commission's February 12, 2019 ORDER APPROVING 2017 TRUE-UP, 2018 REVENUE REQUIREMENTS, AND REVISED ADJUSTMENT FACTORS in Docket No. G004/M-18-282, Ordering Point No. 3.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the Matter of Great Plains Natural Gas Company (Great Plains), a Division of MDU Resources Group, Inc. Petition to Establish a New Base Gas Cost Filing for Interim Rates in Great Plains’ General Rate Case, Docket No. G-004/GR-15-879, Docket No. G-004/MR-15-878, Order Setting New Base Cost of Gas (November 30, 2015).

[^11]:    ${ }^{23}$ Minn. Stat. §§ 216B.01, 216B. 03.
    ${ }^{24}$ Minn. Stat. §§ 216B.03, 216B.2401, 216C. 05 .
    ${ }^{25}$ Minn. Stat. § 216B.16, subd. 15.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Docket No. G-004/GR-15-879.
    ${ }^{2}$ September 6 order, at 57.

[^13]:    ${ }^{8}$ Docket No. G-004/MR-15-878, Order Setting New Base Cost of Gas (November 30, 2015).

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Department comments at 2 (August 16, 2018).

[^15]:    ${ }^{2}$ Great Plains 2018 Second Revised Study at 1-1 (November 5, 2018).
    ${ }^{3}$ Department reply comments at 8 (November 13, 2018).
    ${ }^{4}$ Department response comments at 2 (December 10, 2018).

[^16]:    ${ }^{5}$ Department comments at 7 (August 16, 2018).
    ${ }^{6}$ Great Plains reply comments at 9 (October 4, 2018).
    ${ }^{7}$ Department comments at 3 (August 16, 2018).
    ${ }^{8}$ Id.
    ${ }^{9}$ Great Plains reply comments at 2, 6 (October 4, 2018).

[^17]:    ${ }^{10}$ Department comments Attachment A at 1 (August 16, 2018).
    11 The Department explains that "[s]urvivor curves represent statistical analysis of the plant's estimated useful life; therefore, survivor curves are an integral part in setting depreciation rates." Department comments at 6 (August 16, 2018).

[^18]:    Notes:
    2 Based upon anticipated district regulator change out / eliminations.
    3 Based upon 20 ERT battery life and remaining PVC program term 2016-2026.

    Notes:
    1 Interim Retirement Rate. Service lives vary.

