

September 16, 2019

Daniel P. Wolf Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 7th Place East, Suite 350 Saint Paul, Minnesota 55101-2147

RE: Response Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources

Docket No. E002/M-17-828

Dear Mr. Wolf:

Attached are the Response Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources (Department) in the following matter:

Xcel's Compliance Filing for the Nuclear Decommissioning Accrual.

The Department recommends that the Commission **approve** Xcel's updated accruals, **with modifications.** The Department is available to answer any questions that the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission may have.

Sincerely,

/s/ STEVE RAKOW
Analyst Coordinator

/s/ NANCY CAMPBELL Analyst Coordinator

/s/ CRAIG ADDONIZIO
Financial Analyst

SR/NC/CA/ar



Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce Division of Energy Resources

Docket No. E002/M-17-828

I. INTRODUCTION

On July 15, 2019, Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy (Xcel or the Company) filed its Compliance Filing for Nuclear Decommissioning Accrual (Compliance Filing) with the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) in this Docket. In its Compliance Filing, the Company addressed the possible impacts on 2020 nuclear decommissioning accrual of: 1) the Department of Energy (DOE) continuing refunds for dry cask storage during the decommissioning process; 2) the use of SAFSTOR decommissioning method; and 3) the possible use of third-party contractors for nuclear decommissioning. In addition, Xcel updated its assumptions regarding the rate of return on investments in its Nuclear Decommissioning Trust fund (NDT), which also impacts the annual decommissioning accrual. The Company also updated its end-of-life (EOL) nuclear fuel accrual to reflect the passage of time. Ultimately, the Company proposed an annual nuclear decommissioning accrual of \$2.8 million and an annual EOL nuclear fuel accrual of \$2.8 million, both beginning January 1, 2020.

On July 22, 2019, the Commission issued a Notice of Comment Period (Notice) on Xcel's Compliance Filing.

On August 19, 2019, the Minnesota Department of Commerce, (Department) filed Comments on Xcel's Compliance Filing recommending that the Commission approve an annual decommissioning accrual of \$27.4 million beginning January 1, 2020, which was calculated assuming that Xcel will recover 75 percent of its dry fuel storage costs from the DOE. In its Comments, the Department also recommended that the Commission find Xcel's NDT financial inputs (i.e. its assumed returns on investments) to be reasonable at this time, but also recommended that the Commission require Xcel to provide additional information about the information and processes it uses to evaluate its investment strategies and investment managers. Lastly, in its Comments, the Department requested that Xcel provide additional explanation of the ratemaking mechanics of its EOL nuclear fuel accrual.

On August 29, 2019 Xcel filed Reply Comments in which it addressed the Department's recommended annual decommissioning accrual, the Department's request for additional information regarding Xcel's management of its Nuclear Decommissioning Trust (NDT), and the Department's questions regarding the EOL nuclear fuel accrual.

Analysts Assigned: Steve Rakow, Nancy Campbell, CRAIG ADDONIZIO

Page 2

II. DEPARTMENT ANALYSIS

A. ANNUAL DECOMMISSIONING ACCRUAL

As noted above, in its Comments, the Department recommended that the Commission approve an annual decommissioning accrual of \$27.4 million beginning January 1, 2020, which was calculated assuming that Xcel will recover 75 percent of its dry fuel storage costs from the DOE. In its Reply Comments, Xcel stated that it agrees that the 75 percent scenario is a reasonable, conservative option and does not object to using this approach.

The Department appreciates the Company's response.

B. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING XCEL'S NDT MANAGEMENT

The Department recommended that the Commission require Xcel to provide additional information related to the management of the funds in the NDT by January 10, 2020, and provided a list of topics for the Company to address. In its Reply Comments, the Company stated that it has no issues with providing the requested information.

Again, the Department appreciates the Company's response, and willingness to provide the requested information.

C. EOL NUCLEAR FUEL ACCRUAL

In its Comments, the Department noted that Xcel calculated its annual EOL nuclear fuel accrual using the rate of return authorized in its 2012 rate case (Docket No. E002/GR-12-961), and recommended that the Company recalculate its accrual using the capital structure and capital costs estimates approved in the Company's most recent rate case (Docket No. E002/GR-15-826), including a 9.06 percent return on equity.

Xcel provided an updated calculation of its EOL nuclear fuel accrual reflecting the appropriate cost of capital inputs in Schedule B.4 of its Reply Comments. The updated accrual is \$2,856,756 on a total company basis, and a Minnesota Retail jurisdictionalized amount of \$2,087,026. The Department reviewed Xcel's calculation and concludes that it is correct and reasonable.

The Department also noted that the sinking fund formula Xcel uses to calculate its annual EOL nuclear fuel accrual assumes that accruals are deposited in an interest-bearing account, and that the interest compounds over time. Further, the formula is intended to produce a fixed annual accrual that, when combined with interest earned, will total the targeted amount to recover at the end of the nuclear facilities' lives. However, in reviewing past accruals and total amounts recovered from ratepayers, the Department noted that no interest was being credited to ratepayers, and that the annual accruals increase each year. Thus, the Department requested that Xcel clarify the mechanics of its EOL nuclear fuel accrual calculations and explain whether ratepayers were being credited with interest earned on collected accruals.

Analysts Assigned: Steve Rakow, Nancy Campbell, CRAIG ADDONIZIO

Page 3

In its Reply Comments, Xcel explained that accumulated accruals are treated as an offset to rate base in the Company's general rate case filings. Thus, rather than allowing interest to accrue and compound on accumulated accruals, interest equal to the Company allowed rate of return is credited back to ratepayers each year. The Company also explained that the rate impact of the offset to rate base (a credit to ratepayers) increases each year such that it exactly offsets the increase in the accrual each year (a charge to ratepayers). The net result is that the revenue requirement associated with the EOL nuclear fuel accruals is level over time.

The Department concludes that Xcel's explanation of the mechanics of its EOL nuclear fuel accruals is reasonable, and recommends that the Commission approve the updated EOL nuclear fuel accrual from Schedule B.4 of Xcel's Reply Comments. However, due in part to time constraints, the Department was unable to confirm that the Company provided the offset to rate base for the EOL nuclear fuel in the Company's most recent general rate case filing. As a result, the Department recommends that the Commission require Xcel address this concern in testimony in its upcoming rate case.

III. DEPARTMENT CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Below the Department provides updated responses to the questions listed in the Commission's Notice, which include the Department's recommendations. The Department notes that its responses to questions, 1, 2, 3, and 6 are identical to those included in its Comments, and the responses to questions 4 and 5 have been updated to reflect the Department's analysis of the information Xcel provided in Reply Comments related to its EOL nuclear fuel accrual.

Q1. Does Xcel's July 15, 2019 filing comply with the Commission's January 7, 2019 Order?

Yes; Xcel's 2019 Compliance addressed possible implications of: 1) DOE continuing refunds for dry cask storage during the decommissioning process; 2) the use of SAFSTOR decommissioning method; and 3) the possible use of third-party contractors for nuclear decommissioning; on the 2020 nuclear decommissioning accrual. Xcel also updated its 2020 End of Life Fuel accrual to reflect the passage of time.

Q2. Are Xcel's updated decommissioning methodology assumptions reasonable?

Generally, yes; however, the Department recommends basing the accrual on 75% of Xcel's estimated DOE reimbursements, which results in a \$27.4 nuclear decommissioning accrual for 2020, rather than Xcel's proposed \$22.8 million nuclear decommissioning accrual for 2020. Regarding Xcel's proposed alternative, the Department does not recommend that the accrual be based on an assumption that Monticello will be extended, unless and until Xcel receives regulatory approval. As a result, Xcel's alternative proposal to extend the \$14 million decommissioning accrual is not appropriate.

Analysts Assigned: Steve Rakow, Nancy Campbell, CRAIG ADDONIZIO

Page 4

The Department agrees with Xcel that no methodology changes in the nuclear decommissioning accrual regarding SAFSTOR alternative or use of third-party decommissioning firms should be made at this time. Any such changes should be addressed in a future Xcel nuclear decommissioning triennial filing.

Q3. Are Xcel's updated Nuclear Decommissioning Trust (NDT's) financial inputs reasonable?

The Department recommends that the Commission find Xcel's NDT financial inputs to be reasonable at this time. However, the Department also recommends that the Commission require Xcel to provide the following information by January 10, 2020, and work with the Department to explain how these processes work:

- Fully describe exactly what information and analysis Goldman Sachs provides and how
 the NDT Committee evaluates that information within the framework of its fund
 strategy to make allocation decisions to optimize the NDT's risk/return profile.
- Fully describe how Xcel's NDT Committee evaluates changes in expected long-term returns and volatility in particular asset classes in its allocation decisions.
- Fully describe how the Company:
 - decides whether to pursue an active or passive strategy within that asset class,
 - selects one or more investment managers to manage the NDT's investments in U.S. large capitalization equities,
 - o monitors the performance of the managers it has selected,
 - o determines whether to retain or replace those managers, and
 - manages its capital gains tax liability across its entire portfolio to minimize its capital gains tax liability, and
 - ensures that ratepayers fully benefit from Xcel's minimization of capital gains.
- Fully explain how these decisions impact expenses associated with manager turnover and asset turnover, as well as tax expense.

This information will help the Department work with Xcel to gain a better understanding of the Company's asset allocation decisions, which are the largest driver of the expected portfolio return assumptions, as well as the methods used to calculate the expected returns by asset class reflected in the assumptions.

Analysts Assigned: Steve Rakow, Nancy Campbell, CRAIG ADDONIZIO

Page 5

Q4. Is the updated end of life (EOL) nuclear fuel accrual reasonable?

Yes, the updated EOL nuclear fuel accrual, as provided in Schedule B.4 of Xcel's Reply Comments is reasonable, and the Department recommends that the Commission approve it. However, the Department also recommends that the Commission require Xcel to make clear in testimony in its next rate case that the accumulated EOL nuclear fuel accruals balance is included as an offset to rate base.

Q5. Should the Commission change the 2019 annual decommissioning and 2019 EOL nuclear fuel accruals?

No. The Department recommends that the \$27.4 million nuclear decommissioning accrual and the \$2,087,026 EOL nuclear fuel accrual be approved starting in 2020.

Q6. Are there other issues or concerns related to this matter?

The Department is not aware of any other issues or concerns related to the nuclear decommissioning and EOL nuclear fuel accruals at this time.

/ar

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Linda Chavez, hereby certify that I have this day served copies of the following document on the attached list of persons by electronic filing, e-mail, or by depositing a true and correct copy thereof properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States Mail at St. Paul, Minnesota.

MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE – RESPONSE COMMENTS

Docket Nos.	E002/M-17-828
Dated this 16	th day of September, 2019
/s/Linda Chav	vez .

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
David	Aafedt	daafedt@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine, P.A.	Suite 3500, 225 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Christopher	Anderson	canderson@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022191	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Sigurd W.	Anderson	mariner@eldinc.com	Engineering Lab Design	30910 716th St Lake City, MN 55041	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Alison C	Archer	aarcher@misoenergy.org	MISO	2985 Ames Crossing Rd Eagan, MN 55121	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
James J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@stinson.co m	STINSON LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
James	Canaday	james.canaday@ag.state. mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Suite 1400 445 Minnesota St. St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
John	Coffman	john@johncoffman.net	AARP	871 Tuxedo Blvd. St, Louis, MO 63119-2044	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.st ate.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1800 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Kristen	Eide Tollefson	healingsystems69@gmail.c om	R-CURE	28477 N Lake Ave Frontenac, MN 55026-1044	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
John	Farrell	jfarrell@ilsr.org	Institute for Local Self-Reliance	1313 5th St SE #303 Minneapolis, MN 55414	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Edward	Garvey	edward.garvey@AESLcons ulting.com	AESL Consulting	32 Lawton St Saint Paul, MN 55102-2617	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Janet	Gonzalez	Janet.gonzalez@state.mn. us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Thomas P.	Harlan	harlan@mdh-law.com	Madigan, Dahl & Harlan, P.A.	222 South Ninth Street Suite 3150 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Michael	Норре	il23@mtn.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.	932 Payne Avenue St. Paul, MN 55130	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Alan	Jenkins	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law	2265 Roswell Road Suite 100 Marietta, GA 30062	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Linda	Jensen	linda.s.jensen@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Richard	Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.co m	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Sarah	Johnson Phillips	sarah.phillips@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Mark J. Ka	Kaufman	mkaufman@ibewlocal949.o rg	IBEW Local Union 949	12908 Nicollet Avenue South	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
				Burnsville, MN 55337			
Thomas	Koehler	TGK@IBEW160.org	Local Union #160, IBEW	2909 Anthony Ln St Anthony Village, MN 55418-3238	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Michael	Krikava	mkrikava@briggs.com	Briggs And Morgan, P.A.	2200 IDS Center 80 S 8th St Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Peder	Larson	plarson@larkinhoffman.co m	Larkin Hoffman Daly & Lindgren, Ltd.	8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 1000 Bloomington, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Douglas	Larson	dlarson@dakotaelectric.co m	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W Farmington, MN 55024	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Peter	Madsen	peter.madsen@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	Bremer Tower, Suite 1800 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, Minnesota 551017741	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Philip	Mahowald	pmahowald@thejacobsonla wgroup.com	Jacobson Law Group	180 East Fifth Street Suite 940 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Kavita	Maini	kmaini@wi.rr.com	KM Energy Consulting LLC	961 N Lost Woods Rd Oconomowoc, WI 53066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Joseph	Meyer	joseph.meyer@ag.state.mn .us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Bremer Tower, Suite 1400 445 Minnesota Street St Paul, MN 55101-2131	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
David	Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.c om	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	220 South Sixth Street Suite 1300 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Jeff	O'Neill	jeff.oneill@ci.monticello.mn .us	City of Monticello	505 Walnut Street Suite 1 Monticelllo, Minnesota 55362	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Carol A.	Overland	overland@legalectric.org	Legalectric - Overland Law Office	1110 West Avenue Red Wing, MN 55066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Jeff	Oxley	jeff.oxley@state.mn.us	Office of Administrative Hearings	600 North Robert Street St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Greg	Padden	gpadden@grenergy.com	Great River Energy	12300 Elm Creek Blvd Maple Grove, MN 55369-4718	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Generic Notice	Residential Utilities Division	residential.utilities@ag.stat e.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012131	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Kevin	Reuther	kreuther@mncenter.org	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy	26 E Exchange St, Ste 206 St. Paul, MN 551011667	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Richard Sa	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.com	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
				St. Paul, MN 55101			
Jessie	Seim	jessie.seim@piic.org	Prairie Island Indian Community	5636 Sturgeon Lake Rd Welch, MN 55089	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.c om	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Byron E.	Starns	byron.starns@stinson.com	STINSON LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
James M	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy- graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	200 S 6th St Ste 470 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Lynnette	Sweet	Regulatory.records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Thomas	Tynes	jjazynka@energyfreedomc oalition.com	Energy Freedom Coalition of America	101 Constitution Ave NW Ste 525 East Washington, DC 20001	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Lisa	Veith	lisa.veith@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of St. Paul	400 City Hall and Courthouse 15 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Joseph	Windler	jwindler@winthrop.com		225 South Sixth Street, Suite 3500	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
				Minneapolis, MN 55402			
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828
Patrick	Zomer	Patrick.Zomer@lawmoss.c om	Moss & Barnett a Professional Association	150 S. 5th Street, #1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_17-828_M-17-828