

		CEEM	Mpls	CUB	Dep	ELPC/VS	FE	ILSR	IPS	IREC	OAG	Xcel	XLI
	Party:												
1.	Determine Xcel Energy is not eligible for certification of distribution projects under Minnesota Statute 214B.2425 because the Company is no longer under a MYRP (XLI)		SP	SP	NP	NP	NP	NP	O	NP	NP	O	SP
	Standard for Certification	NP								NP			
2.	For certification requests of distribution system projects, the Commission will use the following criteria: (Fresh Energy)		SP	NP	NP	SP	SP	SA	SP	NP	NP	O	O
a.	The project is consistent with Minn. Stat. §216B.2425 Subd 2(e) and is necessary for modernizing the utility distribution system with respect to (i) enhancing system reliability, (ii) improving system security, and/or (iii) increasing energy conservation.		SP	NP	NP	SP	SP	SA	SP	NP			
b.	The project is a priority project above and beyond normal distribution projects, consistent with Minn. Stat. §216B.16 Subd. 7b(a)(1) and is appropriate to consider for current cost recovery through the transmission cost recovery (TCR) rider.		SP	NP	NP	SP	SP	SA	SP	NP			
c.	The information that the Commission requires to make its certification determination includes but is not necessarily limited to:		SP	NP	NP	SP	SP	SA	SP	NP			
i.	The utility has identified specific expected improvements in distribution system reliability, security, and/or energy conservation that would result from the project and how they will be achieved		SP	NP	NP	SP	SP	SA	SP	NP			
ii.	The utility has identified specific metrics and evaluation methods that will be used to assess the project's performance and whether it has achieved the expected improvements		SP	NP	NP	SP	SP	SA	NP	NP			
iii.	The utility has performed a detailed cost benefit analysis and provided supporting evidence for the estimated costs and benefit levels used in the calculation. This shall include a discussion of mechanisms that will be employed to maximize cost reductions and minimize cost increases.		SP	NP	NP	SP	SP	SA	SP	NP			
iv.	The utility has thoroughly considered the feasibility and costs and benefits of alternatives and has demonstrated that the proposed approach is preferable to alternatives		SP	NP	NP	SP	SP	SA	SP	NP			
v.	Criteria that will be used by the utility to determine whether at any point it has become imprudent to bring the certified project to completion due to the project failing to meet its performance and/or cost expectations		SP	NP	NP	SP	SP	SA	NP	NP			

AGIS Certification Determination													
3.	Certify the following components [alternative: functional requirements] of Xcel Energy's Advanced Grid Intelligence and Security (AGIS) Initiative. This certification does not imply either of the following: (1) any finding of prudence or reasonableness with respect to the recovery of costs in a petition for rider recovery under Minn. Stat. § 216B.16, subd. 7b(b); or (2) certification or approval of investments beyond AGIS [alternative: approval of the specific AGIS investments not enumerated by the Commission]. (Staff interpretation of Xcel Energy, IPS Solar with Staff Alternative)	NP	O	O	O	NP	SP	NP	SP	NP	O	SP	O
a.	AMI			O		NP	SP	NP	SP	NP			
b.	FAN			O		NP	SP	NP	SP	NP			
c.	FLISR			O		NP	O	NP	SP	NP			
d.	IVVO			O		NP	SP	NP	SP	NP			
4.	Deny certification of the following components of Xcel Energy AGIS Initiative at this time: (XLI, Minneapolis, CUB, Fresh Energy, Department, ELPC/VS, XXXXX)		SP	SP	SP	NP	NP	SP	O	NP	SP	O	SA
a.	AMI		SP	SP		NP	NP	SP	O	NP			SA
b.	FAN		SP	SP		NP	NP	SP	O	NP			SA
c.	FLISR		SP	SP		NP	SP	SP	O	NP			SA
d.	IVVO		SP	SP		NP	NP	SP	O	NP			SA
e.	Clarify cost recovery should be addressed in a MYRP or general rate case (XLI, Minneapolis)		SP	SP		NP	NP	SP	O	NP			SA
Conditions for Future Prudence Review of AGIS													
5.	Establish the following guidance on some, but not all, conditions the Commission will consider in a future prudence review of Xcel Energy's AGIS Initiative:	NP	SP	SA	SA	SP	SP	SA	SP	NP	SA	O	NP
a.	Advanced Metering Infrastructure		SP	SA		SP	SP	SA	SP	NP	NP		
i.	Conditioned on a commitment by Xcel to develop a Draft Rate Design Roadmap to be filed with the next IDP. (Fresh Energy) [see Decision Options 10, 11, or 13(H)(g)for details on a rate design roadmap]		SP	SA	SA	SP	SP	SA	SP	NP	NP		
b.	Fault Location Isolation and Service Restoration		SP	SA				SA	SP	NP	NP		
c.	Integrated Volt-Var Optimization		SP	SA		NP	SP	SA	SP	NP	NP		
i.	Conditioned on a commitment by Xcel to achieve a minimum 1.25% reduction in customer energy consumption and 0.7% reduction in NSP system peak demand as a result of IVVO technologies. (Fresh Energy)		SP	SA	SA	NP	SP	SA	SP	NP	SA		
d.	AGIS Overall			SA		NP	SP	SA	SP	NP	NP		
i.	Establish fixed and variable cost caps		SP	SA	SA	NP	NP	SA	SP	NP	SA		
ii.	All revenues from the AGIS Initiative belong to ratepayers unless otherwise approved by the Commission. (Department)		SP	SA	SA	NP	SP	SA	SP	NP	SA		SA
iii.	Establish performance metrics from Tables 11 and 12 and Attachment B of Briefing Papers		SP	NP	SA	NP	SP	SA	SP	NP	NP		O

Further Proceedings on AGIS													
6.	Require Xcel to file, in its performance metrics docket, any cost recovery proposals that it justifies based on improvements in the metrics developed in that docket. (ELPC and Vote Solar)	NP	SP	NP	NP	SP	NP	SP	SP	NP	SP	O	NP
7.	Require the Company to measure and report its progress on achieving the CBA benefits and underlying CBA assumptions for each AGIS investment in an annual report starting November 1, 2020 to be filed in this docket. (Fresh Energy)	NP	SP	SP	NP	SP	SP	SP	SP	NP	SP	SP	NP
8.	Accept Xcel Energy's proposed metrics and reporting for AGIS implementation in an annual report starting May 2, 2022 as outlined in Table 12 of briefing papers. Additional metrics and reporting associated with future operational capabilities, produce or services enabled by AGIS will be developed in future proceedings (Xcel Energy)	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	SP	NP	NP	SP	NP
9.	Xcel shall submit a compliance filing within 30 days of this Order date providing baselines, targets and a plan for measuring, verifying and reporting on all of the top benefit categories and key CBA assumptions, as shown in Tables 10 and 11 of briefing papers. (Fresh Energy)	NP	SP	SP	NP	SP	SP	SP	NP	NP	SA	O	NP
10.	Xcel shall develop a Rate Design Roadmap to accompany the next IDP that describes how the Company will leverage AMI capabilities to support the Commission's and Xcel's stated priorities. Xcel shall seek input from stakeholders on the development of the Draft Rate Design Roadmap and host at least two stakeholder meetings by April 30, 2021. This roadmap should include the following components: (Fresh Energy)	NP	SP	SA	NP	SP	SP	SP	SP	NP	NP	SA	NP
a.	A summary of the Company's current advanced rate designs and demand management programs, advanced rate designs in development, and relevant industry best practices		SP	SA		SP	SP	SP	SP	NP			
b.	A timeline for offering updated dynamic rates and/or demand management programs for all customer classes		SP	SA		SP	SP	SP	SP	NP			
c.	Potential rate and program design strategies to support low-income customer participation in these offerings		SP	SA		SP	SP	SP	SP	NP			
d.	A discussion of opportunities for utilizing distributed energy resources and/or beneficial electrification technologies in conjunction with planned dynamic rates and/or demand management programs		SP	SA		SP	SP	SP	SP	NP			
e.	Enrollment mechanisms for convenient customer participation in the advanced rate offerings		SP	SA		SP	SP	SP	SP	NP			
f.	Implementation plans for offering advanced rates, including education and outreach to customers		SP	SA		SP	SP	SP	SP	NP			
g.	Evaluation plans for monitoring, verifying, and improving the effectiveness of advanced rate designs		SP	SA		SP	SP	SP	NP	NP			

11.	Direct the Company to produce a draft “roadmap” in a separate proceeding, such as docket E002/M-20-86, the Company would not oppose the following components:(Xcel Energy alternative to Decision Option 10)	NP	NP	SP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	SP	NP
a.	A summary of the Company’s current advanced rate designs and demand management programs, advanced rate designs in development, and relevant industry best practices			SP		NP	NP	NP	NP	NP			
b.	A timeline for proposing advanced rates and/or demand management programs for all customer classes. A discussion on what should be discussed in petitions for rate design changes, including:			SA		NP	NP	NP	NP	NP			
i.	Whether program design strategies will be needed to support low-income customer participation in these offerings,			SA		NP	NP	NP	NP	NP			
ii.	Application to distributed energy resources			SA		NP	NP	NP	NP				
iii.	Implementation plans, including education and outreach to customers			SA		NP	NP	NP	NP	NP			
iv.	Evaluation plans			SA		NP	NP	NP	NP	NP			
12.	Condition certification of AGIS on the outcome of a 90-day comment period that allows stakeholders to propose and respond to proposed (or potentially new) conditions regarding ratepayer protections. Delegate to the Executive Secretary authority to set deadlines and issue notices to develop a supplemental record for Commission consideration. (Department)	NP	NP	SA	SA	NP	NP	NP	O	NP	NP	O	NP
13.	Refer Xcel’s AGIS Initiative proposal (AMI, FAN, FLISR, IVVO) to the OAH for a contested case hearing for further record development. The referral should include consideration of the proposed costs associated with the Incremental System Investments and increased distribution system spending, as necessary, and as they relate to the AGIS Initiative. The evaluation should consider, under any criteria that may be established by the Commission, at a minimum: (Department)	NP	SP	SP	SP	SP	NP	NP	O	NP	SA	O	NP
14.	Delegate authority to the Executive Secretary to initiate supplemental record development including, but not limited to procedures such as a technical conference, to narrow the issues before the Commission in reviewing the AGIS Certification Request with an anticipated Commission decision in September 2020. (Staff interpretation of Xcel Energy’s MERP-like process)	NP		O	NP	NP	NP	NP	O	NP	NP	NP	O
a.	Include ratepayer and consumer protection conditions within scope		SP	O		NP	NP	NP		NP	SA		
APT Certification Determination													
15.	Certify the Advanced Planning Tool. This certification does not imply either of the following: (1) any finding of prudence with respect to the recovery of costs in a petition for rider recovery under Minn. Stat. § 216B.16, subd. 7b(b); or (2) certification or approval of any investments beyond those specifically associated with the APT. (Xcel Energy, IPS Solar, Department)	NP	SP	O	SP	NP	SP	NP	SP	NP	NP	SP	O
a.	Limit cost recovery to a hard cost cap of \$4 million (Department)		NP	NP	SP	NP	NP	NP	SP	NP	NP	NP	
b.	Recognize, beginning in 2020, the APT offers enhanced capabilities to consider DER adoption scenarios, hosting capacity, and non-wires alternatives; as well as more efficient planning, enhanced load forecasting, and better integration with the Company’s other planning efforts. (Staff interpretation of Department)		SP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	SP	NP	NP	NP	
16.	Deny certification of the Advanced Planning Tool at this time	NP		SP	NP	NP	NP	NP	O	NP	NP	O	SA