

May 4, 2020

Will Seuffert
Executive Secretary
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
121 7th Place East, Suite 350
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

RE: Response Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources

Docket No. G002/M-20-323

Dear Mr. Seuffert:

Attached are the response comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources (Department) in the following matter:

Petition of Northern States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy, for Approval of an Updated Natural Gas State Energy Policy (SEP) Rider Rate Factor, and the Annual SEP Compliance Filing.

The Department recommends that the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) **approve Xcel's proposal with modifications.** The Department is available to answer any questions that the Commission may have.

Sincerely,

/s/ Samir Ouanes
Public Utilities Rates Analyst

SO/ar Attachment



Before the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce Division of Energy Resources

Docket No. G002/M-20-323

I. BACKGROUND

On February 28, 2020, Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy (Xcel Gas or the Company) filed a *Petition for Approval of an Updated Natural Gas State Energy Policy (SEP) Rider Rate Factor, and Annual SEP Compliance Filing* (Petition) in the present docket to revise the Company's natural gas SEP Rider rates. The Company's proposal would lower the natural gas SEP Rider rate from the 2019 rate of \$0.001173 per therm, which was approved in Docket No. G002/M-19-200, to \$0.000943 per therm.

On March 27, 2020, the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources (Department) filed comments (Comments) concluding that it expects to recommend that the Commission approve the Company's petition with the modification of using a more reasonable sales estimate, particularly since Xcel Gas has often underestimated its sales.

The Department recommended that the Company explain in reply comments the reason(s) for its under-estimation of its proposed sales forecast of 1,011,582,014 in Docket No. G002/M-19-200 and provide its actual (non-normalized) 2019 sales.

Based on the review of Xcel Gas' April 6, 2020 reply comments (Reply Comments), the Department recommends that the Commission adopt the recommendations discussed in greater detail herein and summarized at the end of this document.

II. DEPARTMENT ANALYSIS – CORRECTION

In Reply Comments at page 3, Xcel Gas stated:

First a clarifying note: 1,011,582,014 therms was our proposed July 2019 – June 2020 sales forecast used to calculate the proposed 2019 – 2020 SEP Rider rate in Docket No. G002/M-19-200. The final rate approved by the Commission in that docket was calculated using 2018 actual retail sales of 1,109,497,520.

The Department appreciates Xcel Gas' correction of the record in Docket Nos. G002/M-19-200 and 20-323 regarding the 1,011,582,014 therms forecast period. The Department notes that Table 1 and Table 2 of the Department's May 1, 2019 comments and Table 1 of the Department's May 9, 2019 Supplemental Comments in Docket No. G002/M-19-200 should have referenced the 1,011,582,014 therms forecast period as July 1, 2019-June 30, 2020 (2019-2020), instead of July 1, 2018-June 30, 2019 (2018-2019).

Docket No. G002/M-20-323 Analyst Assigned: Samir Ouanes

Page 2

The Department also notes that Table 1 of the Department's March 27, 2020 in Docket No. G002/M-20-323 should have similarly referenced the 992,590,020 therms forecast period as July 1, 2020-June 30, 2021, instead of July 1, 2019-June 30, 2020.

The Department apologizes for any inconvenience this mislabeling may have caused.

III. DEPARTMENT ANALYSIS – USE OF ACTUAL 2019 SALES

In our Comments at page 5, the Department recommended that Xcel Gas explain in reply comments the reason(s) for its under-estimation of its sales forecast of 1,011,582,014 and provide actual, non-normalized 2019 sales.

In Reply Comments at pages 2-4, Xcel Gas stated:

There are two primary factors contributing to the difference between the sales forecast of 1,011,582,014 therms and actual 2019 sales of 1,310,860,707 therms. The weather in 2019 was colder than normal and Interdepartmental Transportation sales, which is gas used for electric generation, were higher than forecast.

•••

The Company continues to believe our sales forecasting methodology is appropriate for rate-setting, and we continue to support the use of forecasted sales as the best opportunity for matching costs and revenues for the following reasons:

- The Company's forecast is based on sound statistical methodologies that are used throughout the utility industry and incorporates reasonable assumptions. The forecast reasonably assumes normal weather during the forecast period, and, therefore, variances will exist when the weather-normal forecasted sales are compared to non-weather normalized actual sales.
- The forecast of generation gas use is based on the same underlying electric sales forecast that is used for rate case and fuel reform filings. It uses the PLEXOS software and model which is used for the fuel filings under the new fuel reform process.^[1] PLEXOS is a widely used and industry accepted model for forecasting generation.
- A forecast of generation gas use is superior to using historical data because it can capture changes in the system resource mix that can materially impact gas generation. For example, the large additions of renewable energy the Company is adding in 2020-2021 will likely impact gas generation. This will not be reflected in historical data and can only be captured by using a forecast model. The same applies to electric load. We are currently seeing large reductions in

electric load due to the pandemic, which is impacting gas generation. It likely will not make sense next year to use this historical period to forecast on-going gas use for generation after the pandemic ends. Furthermore, use of historic generation neglects changes in natural gas prices which can significantly impact future gas expectations. The PLEXOS model uses natural gas futures prices which are the best indicator of what the price of natural gas will be for the recovery period.

The Department appreciates Xcel Gas' explanation and agrees with the Company that "[a] forecast of generation gas use" may be "superior to using historical data because it can capture changes in the system resource mix that can materially impact gas generation." However, as noted in the Comments, Xcel Gas has often underestimated its sales; to avoid charging rates that are too high, the Commission required Xcel to use historical actual sales to set rates in recent SEP proceedings. Similarly, historical actual sales should be used in this proceeding. The Department notes that its recommendation is based on facts to date, and may change in future proceedings if Xcel Gas forecasts become superior to the use of historical data.

Using 2019 actual retail therm sales of 1,310,860,707¹ results in an SEP factor of \$0.000714 per therm,² as opposed to the originally proposed \$0.000943 per therm in the Company's initial Petition. This difference represents a 24.3 percent reduction from the Company's proposed SEP factor, and a 39.2 percent reduction from the current factor of \$0.001173 per therm.

The Department recommends that the Commission require Xcel to use the higher 2019 actual sales figure to set an SEP Rider factor of \$0.000714 per therm.

IV. DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

The Department recommends that the Commission:

- Accept Xcel's Petition as a compliance filing;
- 2. Approve an SEP rate factor of \$0.000714 per therm, based on 2019 actual retail sales of 1,310,860,707, to be effective on July 1, 2020; and
- 3. Require Xcel to file a compliance filing that includes its revised SEP rider tariff language.

/ar

¹ Source: Reply Comments at 2.

 $^{^{2}}$ \$935,613/1,310,860,707 therms = \$0.000714/therm.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sharon Ferguson, hereby certify that I have this day, served copies of the following document on the attached list of persons by electronic filing, certified mail, e-mail, or by depositing a true and correct copy thereof properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States Mail at St. Paul, Minnesota.

Minnesota Department of Commerce Response Comments

Docket No. G002/M-20-323

Dated this 4th day of May 2020

/s/Sharon Ferguson

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Christopher	Anderson	canderson@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022191	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Alison C	Archer	aarcher@misoenergy.org	MISO	2985 Ames Crossing Rd Eagan, MN 55121	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Mara	Ascheman	mara.k.ascheman@xcelen ergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FI 5 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
James J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@stinson.co m	STINSON LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
John	Coffman	john@johncoffman.net	AARP	871 Tuxedo Blvd. St, Louis, MO 63119-2044	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.st ate.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1400 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
George	Crocker	gwillc@nawo.org	North American Water Office	PO Box 174 Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Edward	Garvey	edward.garvey@AESLcons ulting.com	AESL Consulting	32 Lawton St Saint Paul, MN 55102-2617	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Todd J.	Guerrero	todd.guerrero@kutakrock.c om	Kutak Rock LLP	Suite 1750 220 South Sixth Stree Minneapolis, MN 554021425	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Annete	Henkel	mui@mnutilityinvestors.org	Minnesota Utility Investors	413 Wacouta Street #230 St.Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Michael	Норре	il23@mtn.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.	932 Payne Avenue St. Paul, MN 55130	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Alan	Jenkins	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law	2950 Yellowtail Ave. Marathon, FL 33050	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Linda	Jensen	linda.s.jensen@ag.state.m n.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	1800 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 551012134	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Richard	Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.co m	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Sarah	Johnson Phillips	sarah.phillips@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Mark J.	Kaufman	mkaufman@ibewlocal949.o rg	IBEW Local Union 949	12908 Nicollet Avenue South Burnsville, MN 55337	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Thomas	Koehler	TGK@IBEW160.org	Local Union #160, IBEW	2909 Anthony Ln St Anthony Village, MN 55418-3238	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Michael	Krikava	mkrikava@taftlaw.com	TAFT Stettinius & Hollister, LLP	2200 IDS Center 80 S 8th St Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Douglas	Larson	dlarson@dakotaelectric.co m	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W Farmington, MN 55024	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Peder	Larson	plarson@larkinhoffman.co m	Larkin Hoffman Daly & Lindgren, Ltd.	8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 1000 Bloomington, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Eric	Lipman	eric.lipman@state.mn.us	Office of Administrative Hearings	PO Box 64620 St. Paul, MN 551640620	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.co	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
David	Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.c om	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	220 South Sixth Street Suite 1300 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Generic Notice	Residential Utilities Division	residential.utilities@ag.stat e.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012131	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Richard	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.com	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Will	Seuffert	Will.Seuffert@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th PI E Ste 350 Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.c om	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Byron E.	Starns	byron.starns@stinson.com	STINSON LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
James M	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy- graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	200 S 6th St Ste 470 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Lynnette	Sweet	Regulatory.records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323
Lisa	Veith	lisa.veith@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of St. Paul	400 City Hall and Courthouse 15 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-323_M-20-323