

Will Seuffert
Executive Secretary
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
121 7th Place East, Suite 350
Saint Paul, MN 55101
will.seuffert@state.mn.us

July 22, 2020
MN Docket 17-410

**e-Docket Filing Numbers 20206-163788-01, 20206-163782-01,
20206-163782-02, and 20206-163929-01**

**What action(s) should the Commission take in response to the June
8, 2020 requests to amend the Site Permit for the Freeborn Wind
Project?**

Reply comment

Regarding the matter of shadow flicker exceedance.

I point out, as others, that this is another good example of how Freeborn Wind chooses which Rules, Laws, and Ordinances they choose to follow.

I will list only the ones I am aware of not followed: Decommissioning, the Freeborn County Ordinance for shadow flicker, and MN.Rule for business analysis. There is no regard for the MN Rules for Daycares either. As of very recent the agreement with Freeborn county to do dust control has not been followed through. I have noticed days without seeing one truck for this, while noticing the problem of dust. I put in a Substantial Complaint.

As others have commented this project has has been disregarding the law from day one.

There was lying to the public to gain land, trespassing, and false notarizing.

Freeborn Wind allowed to make secret deals to change things up, making it a whole new project, with no involvement from those whom will and have already suffered.

Noise was felt would be over the noise standard by the ALJ.

Next, Freeborn Wind wanted to throw out the noise standard and make a special condition.

Then, let's put in larger turbines, move some around, take out one, and move 17 to Iowa. Changes easily made by and for the project but not for the non-participants.

Freeborn Wind mentions obstruction behavior by London and Oakland townships. What I find ironic is how the project manager bullied the Shellrock township until the vote switched in their favor, the favor of the project.

I have heard the word compliance numerous times. What is shown here is comply when it works in your favor.

Freeborn Wind commented how the ALJ questioned the reliability of the prediction of shadow flicker by Freeborn Wind.

I had also questioned Freeborn Wind in a e-mail on February 8, 2019 about shadow flicker.

By knowing approximate locations of turbines near, I questioned the issue of getting shadow flicker in February, along with if would be even longer per day. Will the homes asking for this permit also have invalid numbers? No one has seen how the project reduces its numbers.

Instead, there is a reduction of modeled shadow flicker impact. Did I even received a reliable number for my home/daycare? I am already at 28.24.

The ALJ Finding 260 was denied by the Commission due to not offering a more reliable substitute standard.

The ALJ recommendation was to have a limit of 27 to make sure of compliance.

Both the shadow flicker amendment and the amendment I asked for to operate my daycare point out both unlawful and unreasonable.

As far as issues they feel already brought up, it is because we have been ignored.

"This permit may be amended at any time by the Commission in accordance with Minn. R. 7854.1300, subp. 2. Any person may request an amendment of the conditions of this permit by submitting a request to the Commission in writing describing the amendment sought and the reasons for the amendment."

In this day and age we are living in now of making things right by standing up for the people that have been stepped upon one could surely see a good opportunity for change here.

Thank you,

Sue Madson
14806 830th Ave.
Glenville, MN. 56036
sue.madson@hotmail.com

