ELK CREEK SOLAR, LLC

MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

COMMISSION DOCKET NOS. IP-7009/GS-19-495 and IP-7009/CN-19-351

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JORDAN BURMEISTER

JULY 17, 2020

1	I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS			
2				
3	Q.	Please state your name and business address.		
4	A.	My name is Jordan Burmeister, and my place of business is 93525 U.S.		
5		Highway 71, Windom, Minnesota 56101.		
6				
7	Q.	With whom are you employed and what is your position?		
8	A.	I am employed by Geronimo Energy, LLC (Geronimo), as a Senior Project		
9		Manager.		
10				
11	Q.	Please briefly describe your educational background and experience.		
12	A.	I earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration from Augustana		
13		College in Sioux Falls, South Dakota, in 2008. I have worked in the renewable		
14		energy industry for more than 11 years. I am currently a Senior Developer, Market		
15		Lead for Geronimo and have been with Geronimo since 2009. Prior to joining		
16		Geronimo, I was a Land Acquisition Assistant for enXco, which is now EDF		
17		Renewable Energy. My resume is attached as Exhibit A.		
18				
19		II. OVERVIEW		
20				
21	Q.	What is the purpose of your Direct Testimony?		
22	A.	The purpose of my Direct Testimony is to provide updates as to coordination with		
23		landowners surrounding the Elk Creek Solar Project (Project) and local		
24		governments.		
25				
26	Q.	What schedules are attached to your Direct Testimony?		
27	A.	The following exhibits are attached to my Direct Testimony:		
28		Exhibit A: Statement of Qualifications		
29		Exhibit B: Proposed Landscaping Plan		
30				

- Q. Are you sponsoring any portion of the Elk Creek public hearing presentation (attached to the Testimony of Melissa Schmit as Exhibit B)?
- 33 A. Yes. I am sponsoring slide number 11 of that presentation.

34

III. COMMUNITY OUTREACH

36

35

- 37 Q. Please describe any outreach efforts Elk Creek has conducted with surrounding landowners?
- 39 Elk Creek Solar LLC (Elk Creek) has individually contacted (in person, by Α. 40 telephone, mail, or e-mail) all landowners in the vicinity of the Project to provide 41 them with information about the Project and answer any questions or address 42 concerns. If a landowner indicated they had a concern about the Project, we 43 generally ask what their concerns are to see if those concerns are something we 44 can address. For example, the owners of the residence depicted as Residence A 45 in Figure 3 of both the Environmental Assessment (EA) prepared by the Minnesota 46 Department of Commerce Energy Environmental Review and Analysis (EERA) 47 and the Site Permit Application, requested that Elk Creek install landscaping 48 between their residence and the Project to provide screening for their residence. 49 Elk Creek initially proposed landscaping on the property containing Residence A, 50 and provided that landscaping to the owners of Residence A for review who, in 51 turn, provided subsequent feedback to Elk Creek. Based on the feedback, Elk Creek moved the proposed landscaping to within the Project (see attached Exhibit 52 B, Proposed Landscaping Plan). The Proposed Landscaping Plan has been 53 54 provided to the owners of Residence A, who have indicated agreement with the revised plan. Elk Creek will work with the owner of Residence A and EERA staff 55 56 to finalize the Proposed Landscaping Plan, including the inclusion of specific plant 57 varieties that will be planted and will update the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission with the results of those discussions. 58

59

60

61

Q. Section 5 of the sample site permit attached to the EA as Appendix B includes an example special condition that requires a landscaping plan to be

developed to mitigate, to the extent practicable the visual impacts to all adjacent residences, do you agree with that example special condition?

A. No. Elk Creek is amenable to providing screening for Residence A in accordance with the Proposed Landscaping Plan attached as Exhibit B, but does not believe any additional screening is necessary for the other three residences that are located near the Project. As indicated in Section 4.5.3 of the EA¹, Residences B, C and D are all screened from the Project by existing vegetation. Accordingly, additional screening is not necessary for these residences and should not be required by the site permit for the Project.

71 72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

A.

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

Q. Public comments raised questions about coordination with Rock County and Vienna Township regarding road, drainage and local permitting. Do you have a response?

Elk Creek has engaged with Rock County to enter into a Development Agreement, which will address road use, drainage and permitting issues related to the Project. The form of agreement being utilized is based on the form of agreement used by Rock County for the Prairie Rose Wind Farm. The Prairie Rose Wind Farm agreements were entered into between Rock County and the Prairie Rose Wind Farm, and the relevant townships then ratified the agreements by resolution and delegated their authority with respect to the project and roads and drainage systems to Rock County. The agreement has been modified to address solar specific issues and to remove wind specific provisions. A draft agreement has been shared with Rock County and Vienna Township. In addition to road and drainage issues, the Development Agreement provides that Elk Creek will secure E-911 addresses for each Project driveway, conditional use permits for the operations and maintenance building, and laydown yards and a utility permit for collectors constructed within road right-of-way. Vienna Township has approved a resolution delegating its authority for roads, drainage and permitting issues to Rock County under the Development Agreement for the Project.

.

¹ EA at 100.

91		
92		IV. CONCLUSION
93		
94	Q.	Does this conclude your Direct Testimony?
95	A.	Yes.
96		
97	70343	272 v5



a nationalgrid company

EDUCATION

B.A.

Augustana College, now

Augustana University

Sioux Falls, SD

2008

Business Administration

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

- American Wind Energy Association
- Clean Grid Alliance
- American Association of Notaries

Jordan B. Burmeister, Sr. Developer, Market Lead

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

2019 - Present	Sr. Developer, Market Lead
2018 – 2019	Sr. Project Manager
2012 – 2018	Project Manager – MN / Central Region, Geronimo Energy, Edina, MN
2009 – 2012	Development Associate – MN / Central Region, Geronimo Energy, Edina, MN
2008 – 2009	Land Acquisition Assistant – enXco, now EDF Renewable Energy, Minneapolis, MN
2008	Commercial Real Estate Intern – Bender Commercial Real Estate Service, Sioux Falls, SD

SPECIALTY AREAS/ EXPERTISE

Jordan has 12+ years of real estate and renewable energy development experience involving project management and budgeting, site origination, property research, lease drafting, land acquisition, and networking and building relationships with various regional and local stakeholders and government officials. Jordan has led the development of over 800 MW of operational renewable projects. Jordan and his family live in Jackson County in southwest Minnesota. Jordan is also a Notary Public in the State of Minnesota.

COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT

Volunteer Wrestling Coach, Flat Earth Wrestling Club, Jackson, MN

