

April 22, 2021

Will Seuffert
Executive Secretary
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
121 7th Place East, Suite 350
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55101-2147

RE: Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources

Docket Nos. E002/GR-20-723 and E002/M-20-743

Dear Mr. Seuffert:

Attached are the Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources (Department), in the following matters:

In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in the State of Minnesota

In the Matter of the Petition of Xcel Energy for Approval of 2021 True-Up Mechanisms

The Petition was filed on September 15, 2020 by:

Greg P. Chamberlain Xcel Energy 414 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55401 greg.p.chamberlain@xcelenergy.com

The Department recommends that the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) consider the comments of the Department in response to the Commission's April 2, 2021 Notice of Comment Period. The Department is available to answer any questions that the Commission may have in this matter.

Sincerely,

/s/ NANCY CAMPBELL Financial Analyst Coordinator, CPA

NC/ja Attachment



Before the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce Division of Energy Resources

Docket Nos. E002/GR-20-723 and E002/M-20-743

I. INTRODUCTION

On September 15, 2020, Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy (Xcel or the Company) filed a proposal (True-Up or Stay Out Proposal) to extend its 2020 true-ups, approved in Docket No. E002/M-19-688, for one additional year. Xcel stated that upon Commission approval of the True-Up Proposal, Xcel would withdraw its multi-year rate plan (MYRP) filed on November 2, 2020.

On December 30, 2020, the Commission issued its *Order Suspending Rates and Staying Petition* in the 2021 Rate Case.

On March 3, 2021, Xcel filed a letter (Xcel's March 3, 2021 Letter) in its 2021 Rate Case and 2021 Stay Out Proposal, indicating the Company had made a \$43.2 million error in its initial filing in the 2021 Rate Case by inadvertently included certain expenses related to gas demand twice. As a result of this error Xcel's revenue requirement deficiency for 2021 test year and proposed 2021 interim rates were overstated by \$43.2 million.

On March 8, 2021, the Xcel Large Industrials (XLI) filed a letter responding to Xcel's \$43.2 million error.

On April 2, 2021, the Commission issued its *Order Approving True-Ups with Modifications and Requiring Xcel to Withdraw Its Notice of Change in Rates and Interim Rate Petition.*

On April 2, 2021, the Commission also issued a *Notice of Comment Period* (Commission's April 2, 2021 Notice) asking parties to file comments by April 22, 2021 on the following topics:

- Does the information contained in Xcel's March 3, 2021 letter materially change the Commission's rationale for approving Xcel's 2021 True-up Proposal? If so, how?
- Should the Commission reconsider its approval of Xcel's 2021 True-up Proposal and require Xcel to instead proceed with its rate case?
- Are there other issues or concerns related to this matter?

¹ In the Matter of the Petition of Xcel for Approval of the 2021 True-Up Mechanisms in Docket No. E002/M-20-743.

² In the Matter of the Application of Xcel for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in the State of Minnesota in Docket No. E002/GR-20-723 (2021 Rate Case).

Analyst assigned: Nancy Campbell

Page 2

II. DEPARTMENT ANALYSIS

The Department reviewed Xcel's March 3, 2021 Letter and, below, addresses the three topics open for comment listed in the Commission's April 2, 2021 Notice.

A. Does the information contained in Xcel's March 3, 2021 letter materially change the Commission's rationale for approving Xcel's 2021 True-up Proposal? If so, how?

The Department considers Xcel's error, which overstated the Company's revenue requirement deficiency for the 2021 test year and the proposed 2021 interims rates by \$43.2 million, to be material in amount. Xcel initially filed its 2021 Rate Case with a \$405.8 million deficiency which was overstated by \$43.2 million, resulting in a corrected revenue requirement deficiency of \$362.6 million. As a result, the Department concludes that Xcel's \$43.2 million error resulted in a 10.6%³ overstatement of Xcel's revenue requirement deficiency, which the Department considers to be a material amount.

The Department asked Xcel to provide the impacts of the sales true-ups compared to the 2021 Rate Case with corrected numbers for Residential, Commercial and Lighting Classes.⁴ As shown in Department Table 1 below, Residential and Lighting Classes are clearly better off under the 2021 sales true-up and Commercial slightly better off under the 2021 sales true-up compared to the 2021 interim rates, but slightly worse off under the 2021 sales true-up compared to 2021 final rates. The Department concludes that the impact of Xcel's \$43.2 million error in the 2021 rate case for Residential, Commercial and Lighting Classes is not significant, and as a result the focus of our comments is on the Demand Class.

³ \$405.8 million divided by \$43.2 million.

⁴ This information was provided in Xcel's corrected response to Department Information Request No. 4 in Attachment A, tab called "RevResponse".

Analyst assigned: Nancy Campbell

Page 3

Department Table 1: Impacts of Sales True-Up Compared to 2021 Rate Case for Residential, Commercial, and Light Classes

Residential	Avg Monthly	Avg Monthly	Percentage Of
	Impact	Bill	Increase
2020 Sales True-Up	(\$3.02)	\$86.25	-3.50%
2021 Sales True-Up	(\$0.62)	\$83.07	-0.75%
2021 Rate Case Final Rates - 100%	\$11.39	\$86.22	13.21%
2021 Rate Case Final Rates - 52%	\$5.92	\$86.22	6.87%
2021 Rate Case Interim Rates	\$8.09	\$86.22	9.38%

Commercial	Avg Monthly Impact	Avg Monthly Bill	Percentage Of Increase
2000 0 1 7 11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2020 Sales True-Up	\$6.68	\$96.87	6.90%
2021 Sales True-Up	\$8.09	\$96.43	8.38%
2021 Rate Case Final Rates - 100%	\$5.23	\$99.68	5.25%
2021 Rate Case Final Rates - 52%	\$2.72	\$99.68	2.73%
2021 Rate Case Interim Rates	\$9.15	\$99.68	9.18%

Metered Lighting	Avg Monthly	Avg Monthly	Percentage of
Wetered Lighting	Impact	Bill	Increase
2020 Sales True-Up	\$1.38	\$926.81	0.15%
2021 Sales True-Up	\$1.10	\$739.24	0.15%
2021 Rate Case Final Rates - 100%	\$125.83	\$807.05	15.59%
2021 Rate Case Final Rates - 52%	\$65.43	\$807.05	8.11%
2021 Rate Case Interim Rates	\$90.61	\$807.05	11.23%

The Department asked Xcel in Department Information Request No. 4⁵ to update the Department's Table 2 (Demand Class Rate Impact) on page 8 of the Department's October 16, 2020 Comments in Docket Nos. E002/M-20-743 & E,G002/M-20-716, by correcting for Xcel's \$43.2 million error. The Department also asked the Company to explain and include all supporting calculations. The Department includes its Original Table 2 and Corrected Table 2 below for comparison purposes.

⁵ The Department included Department Information Request Nos. 4 and 5 and Xcel's corrected responses to these information requests in Attachment 1 of these comments.

Analyst assigned: Nancy Campbell

Page 4

Original Department Table 2: Impacts on Demand Class Under 2021 Sales
True-Up Compared to 2021 Rate Case

	Avg. Monthly	Avg. Monthly	Percentage of
	Impact	Bill	Increase
2020 Sales True-Up	\$269.04	\$2,782.63	9.7%
2021 Sales True-Up	\$293.54	\$2,796.03	10.5%
2021 Rate Case FinalRates – 100%	\$365.34	\$2,936.94	12.4%
2021 Rate Case FinalRates – 52% ⁶	\$189.98	\$2,936.94	6.5%
2021 Rate Case InterimRates	\$276.71	\$2,936.94	9.4%

Corrected Department Table 2: Impacts on Demand Class Under 2021 Sales True-Up Compared to 2021 Rate Case

	Avg Monthly Impact	Avg Monthly Bill	Percentage Of Increase
2020 Sales True-Up	\$269.04	\$2,782.63	9.7%
2021 Sales True-Up	\$293.54	\$2,796.03	10.5%
2021 Rate Case Final Rates - 100%	\$326.44	\$2,936.94	11.1%
2021 Rate Case Final Rates - 52%	\$169.75	\$2,936.94	5.8%
2021 Rate Case Interim Rates	\$237.58	\$2,936.94	8.1%

Consistent with the Department's October 16, 2020 Comments, we consider the comparison between the "2021 Sales True-Up" and the "2021 Rate Case Final Rates – 52%" to be the most relevant comparison. As shown in the "Original Department Table 2" the demand class was expected to see a 10.5 percent increase from the 2021 sales true-up compared to a 6.5 percent increase from the rate case (assuming 52 percent of Xcel's revenue requirement deficiency was approved for recovery). As shown in the "Corrected Department Table 2," which reflects the correction of Xcel's \$43.2 million error in the 2021 Rate Case, the demand class is expected to see a 10.5% increase from the 2021 sales

⁶ The Department used the amounts approved by the Commission compared to the amounts Xcel requested in rate case Docket Nos. E002/GR-13-868 and E002/GR-15-826 to determine the 52 percent.

Analyst assigned: Nancy Campbell

Page 5

true-up (unchanged from the "Original Department Table 2") compared to a 5.8 percent increase from the rate case. In its original analysis, based on Xcel's erroneous 2021 Rate Case data, the Department concluded that the 2021 sales true-up would have resulted in a 4.0 percentage point larger rate increase for the demand class than the 2021 Rate Case (a 10.5 percent increase versus a 6.5 percent increase). Using Xcel's corrected 2021 Rate Case data, the relative increase is expected to be 4.7 percentage points (a 10.5 percent increase versus a 5.8 percent increase). Based on the Department's review, there is not a significant change in the numbers that the Commission considered in its decision to approve Xcel's stay out or true-up proposal. However, as discussed in the next section, the Department continues to recommend some mitigation for the demand class, which is expected to see a 10.5 percent⁷ rate increase from the 2021 sales true-up, which is a significant increase outside of a rate case.

B. Should the Commission reconsider its approval of Xcel's 2021 True-up Proposal and require Xcel to instead proceed with its rate case?

As discussed above, the Department concludes that the impact of Xcel's \$43.2 million error in the 2021 rate case for Residential, Commercial and Lighting Classes is not significant, and as a result the focus of our comments is on the Demand Class.

The Department continues to support approval of the 2021 True-Up or Stay Out Proposal with mitigation for the Demand Class, rather than proceeding with Xcel's rate case. Xcel's \$43.2 million error does not change the Department's conclusion that all rate classes except the Demand Class are likely better off under the 2021 sales true-up.

The Department concluded the following in our October 16, 2020 comments:

As a result, the Department recommends that, if the Commission approves a sales true-up for Xcel, the Commission should require a sharing of the Sales True-Up impact by assigning 50 percent of the Sales True-Up for the Demand Class to Xcel and 50 percent to the Demand Class. The Department does not consider it reasonable for Xcel to shift all of the risk of lower sales to its customers while still earning its current rate of return and at a time when Xcel's 2nd quarter 2020 earnings were even higher than its 2nd quarter of 2019, despite COVID-19 issues.⁸

⁷ In the corrected response to Department Information Request No. 5, Xcel provided an update to its 2021 sales true-up numbers which shows a projected increase in sales for demand and residential classes. This could reduce the demand class's expected increase for the 2021 sales true-up from 10.5 percent to approximately 9.2 percent assuming Xcel's sales forecast is correct, which is questionable based on 2020 sales forecast results.

https://seekingalpha.com/pr/17951644-xcel-energy-second-quarter-2020-earnings-report

Analyst assigned: Nancy Campbell

Page 6

The Department also offered in our December 9, 2020 comments an alternative proposal in response to the Commission's request during the December 9, 2020 Public Utilities Commission Agenda Meeting addressing Docket No. E002/M-20-743:

The Department did reconsider our position and using information already in the record, we offer the following proposal to address the \$171 million Sales True-Up for the demand class for 2021:

- a 1/3 sharing between the demand class, Xcel, and all other customers by using \$57 million of Non-Protected ADIT that was paid for by all customers (we noted that according to Xcel there is \$102 million in excess nonprotected ADIT— so using \$57 million for this Sales True-Up means there is still \$45 million to use as a rate mitigation tool for Covid-19 projects once a process is established, and projects are reviewed and potentially approved in docket 20-492);
- specifically, the Department recommends starting with the \$171 million, then reducing this amount by \$57 million of Non-Protected ADIT, and assign the balance between Xcel and demand class – approximately \$57 million each based on Xcel's current sales forecast.

The Department provided the following reasons to support this alternative proposal in our December 9, 2020 comments:

- Xcel's shareholders should be able to tolerate some risk and are not currently experiencing a downturn in earnings, as supported by the fact that Xcel's total company earnings in the 2nd and 3rd quarter of 2020 were higher than 2nd and 3rd quarter of 2019.
- Additionally, Commission staff briefing papers on page 11 of for agenda item 2, shows Xcel has consistently been earning its Rate of Return and Return on Equity for the four most recent years 2016 to 2019. Xcel did even better than the staff tables suggest since Xcel authorized ROE for 2016 to 2019 is actually 9.06 percent (as calculated under the 15-826 rate case settlement) and not 9.2 percent.) This means Xcel exceeded their ROE for all four years.

In Department Information Request No. 5 (B) the Department asked Xcel, besides the use of Excess ADIT and shifting costs to other ratepayers, whether there are any other tools to mitigate the 2021 sales true-up for demand class customers.

Analyst assigned: Nancy Campbell

Page 7

Xcel did not provide any additional tools to mitigate the 2021 sales true-up for demand class customers. Xcel did note that mitigation was discussed at length in the Commission's review of Xcel's proposal and the Commission ultimately determined that, among the various tools the Commission has considered, an extended recovery period for any demand class surcharge was in the best interest of customers. Xcel noted the extended recovery period is reflected in Order Point 7 of the Commission's April 2, 2021 Order. Xcel also mentioned its recent update to the Company's 2021 sale forecast — which the Department discussed above in footnote number six of these comments.

The Department notes that the Commission has broad authority to make changes to rate requests to ensure rates are reasonable and encourages the Commission to modify Xcel's proposal by adopting the Department's recommendation in our alternative proposal to mitigate the impact on the Demand Class, to ensure a fair and reasonable sharing of risk associated with the proposed 2021 sales true-up.

C. Are there other issues or concerns related to this matter?

Yes. As a result of Xcel's \$43.2 million error which overstated the Company's revenue requirement deficiency, Xcel offered to have an independent auditor review the Company's 2021 earnings, at the Company's expense, and provide a report for purposes of measuring the Company's earnings against the 9.06 percent return on equity cap for 2021. The Department notes that this cap for 2021, where customers would be refunded any amount above the 9.06 percent return on equity cap, was approved as part of the Xcel Stay Out or True-Up Proposal. The Department supports, and recommends the Commission approve, this offer by Xcel to have an independent auditor, at Xcel's expense, review the Company's earnings against the 9.06 percent equity cap for 2021.

Additionally, Xcel noted that the Company is reviewing its internal process for preparing rate case data to ensure similar errors do not occur in the future. Xcel also noted that the \$43.2 million error merits a full reassessment of the Company's validation procedures, and the Company is committed to conducting that review and reporting to the Commission on the improvements the Company will be making. At a minimum, the Department supports Xcel reporting the improvements to the Company validation procedures, as part of its next rate case filing. Additionally, the Department recommends the Commission consider requiring Xcel to have an independent auditor, at Xcel's expense, review the Company's validation procedures and resulting revenue requirement deficiency, to ensure no future double recovery of costs.

III. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Department recommends the following regarding the Commission's three topics that are open for comment:

Analyst assigned: Nancy Campbell

Page 8

A. Does the information contained in Xcel's March 3, 2021 letter materially change the Commission's rationale for approving Xcel's 2021 True-up Proposal? If so, how?

The Department concludes that Xcel's \$43.2 million error resulted in a 10.6% overstatement of Xcel's revenue requirement deficiency, which the Department considers to be a material amount.

Based on the Department's review, there is not a significant change in the numbers that the Commission considered in its decision to approve Xcel's stay out or true-up proposal. However, as discussed in the next section, the Department continues to recommend some mitigation for the demand class, which is expected to see a 10.5 percent¹⁰ rate increase from the 2021 sales true-up, which is a significant increase outside of a rate case.

B. Should the Commission reconsider its approval of Xcel's 2021 True-up Proposal and require Xcel to instead proceed with its rate case?

The Department continues to support approval of the 2021 True-Up or Stay Out Proposal with mitigation for the Demand Class, rather than proceeding with Xcel's rate case. Xcel's \$43.2 million error does not change the Department's conclusion that all rate classes except the Demand Class are likely better off under the 2021 sales true-up.

The Department notes that the Commission has broad authority to make changes to rate requests to ensure rates are reasonable and encourages the Commission to modify Xcel's proposal by adopting the Department's recommendation in our alternative proposal to mitigate the impact on the Demand Class, to ensure a fair and reasonable sharing of risk associated with the proposed 2021 sales true-up.

C. Are there other issues or concerns related to this matter?

Yes. The Department supports, and recommends the Commission approve, the offer by Xcel to have an independent auditor, at Xcel's expense, review the Company's earnings against the 9.06 percent equity cap for 2021.

At a minimum, the Department supports Xcel reporting the improvements to the Company validation procedures, as part of its next rate case filing. Additionally, the Department recommends the Commission consider requiring Xcel to have an independent auditor, at Xcel's expense, review the Company's validation procedures and resulting revenue requirement deficiency, to ensure no future double recovery of costs.

/ja			

⁹ \$405.8 million divided by \$43.2 million.

¹⁰ In the corrected response to Department Information Request No. 5, Xcel provided an update to its 2021 sales true-up numbers which shows a projected increase in sales for demand and residential classes. This could reduce the demand class's expected increase for the 2021 sales true-up from 10.5 percent to approximately 9.2 percent assuming Xcel's sales forecast is correct, which is questionable based on 2020 sales forecast results.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sharon Ferguson, hereby certify that I have this day, served copies of the following document on the attached list of persons by electronic filing, certified mail, e-mail, or by depositing a true and correct copy thereof properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States Mail at St. Paul, Minnesota.

Minnesota Department of Commerce Comments

Docket No. E002/GR-20-723 and E002/M-20-743

Dated this 22nd day of April 2021

/s/Sharon Ferguson

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Alison C	Archer	aarcher@misoenergy.org	MISO	2985 Ames Crossing Rd Eagan, MN 55121	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Mara	Ascheman	mara.k.ascheman@xcelen ergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FI 5 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Gail	Baranko	gail.baranko@xcelenergy.c om	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall7th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Jessica L	Bayles	Jessica.Bayles@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	1150 18th St NW Ste 325 Washington, DC 20036	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
James J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@stinson.co m	STINSON LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Elizabeth	Brama	ebrama@taftlaw.com	Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP	2200 IDS Center 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
James	Canaday	james.canaday@ag.state. mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Suite 1400 445 Minnesota St. St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
John	Coffman	john@johncoffman.net	AARP	871 Tuxedo Blvd. St, Louis, MO 63119-2044	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.st ate.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1400 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Riley	Conlin	riley.conlin@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 S. 6th Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Brooke	Cooper	bcooper@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022191	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
George	Crocker	gwillc@nawo.org	North American Water Office	PO Box 174 Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
James	Denniston	james.r.denniston@xcelen ergy.com	Xcel Energy Services, Inc.	414 Nicollet Mall, 401-8 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Rebecca	Eilers	rebecca.d.eilers@xcelener gy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall - 401 7th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Jim	Erickson	jim.g.erickson@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet mall 7th Fir Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
John	Farrell	jfarrell@ilsr.org	Institute for Local Self-Reliance	2720 E. 22nd St Institute for Local Self- Reliance Minneapolis, MN 55406	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Edward	Garvey	edward.garvey@AESLcons ulting.com	AESL Consulting	32 Lawton St Saint Paul, MN 55102-2617	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Edward	Garvey	garveyed@aol.com	Residence	32 Lawton St Saint Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Janet	Gonzalez	Janet.gonzalez@state.mn. us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Matthew B	Harris	matt.b.harris@xcelenergy.c om	XCEL ENERGY	401 Nicollet Mall FL 8 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Amber	Hedlund	amber.r.hedlund@xcelener gy.com	Northern States Power Company dba Xcel Energy- Elec	414 Nicollet Mall, 401-7 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Michael	Норре	lu23@ibew23.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.	445 Etna Street Ste. 61 St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Geoffrey	Inge	ginge@regintllc.com	Regulatory Intelligence LLC	PO Box 270636 Superior, CO 80027-9998	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Alan	Jenkins	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law	2950 Yellowtail Ave. Marathon, FL 33050	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Richard	Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.co m	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Sarah	Johnson Phillips	sarah.phillips@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Mark J.	Kaufman	mkaufman@ibewlocal949.o rg	IBEW Local Union 949	12908 Nicollet Avenue South Burnsville, MN 55337	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Thomas	Koehler	TGK@IBEW160.org	Local Union #160, IBEW	2909 Anthony Ln St Anthony Village, MN 55418-3238	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Michael	Krikava	mkrikava@taftlaw.com	Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP	2200 IDS Center 80 S 8th St Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Carmel	Laney	carmel.laney@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Douglas	Larson	dlarson@dakotaelectric.co m	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W Farmington, MN 55024	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Peder	Larson	plarson@larkinhoffman.co m	Larkin Hoffman Daly & Lindgren, Ltd.	8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 1000 Bloomington, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Annie	Levenson Falk	annielf@cubminnesota.org	Citizens Utility Board of Minnesota	332 Minnesota Street, Suite W1360 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Ryan	Long	ryan.j.long@xcelenergy.co m	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall 401 8th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Kavita	Maini	kmaini@wi.rr.com	KM Energy Consulting, LLC	961 N Lost Woods Rd Oconomowoc, WI 53066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Mary	Martinka	mary.a.martinka@xcelener gy.com	Xcel Energy Inc	414 Nicollet Mall 7th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Brian	Meloy	brian.meloy@stinson.com	STINSON LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Joseph	Meyer	joseph.meyer@ag.state.mn .us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Bremer Tower, Suite 1400 445 Minnesota Street St Paul, MN 55101-2131	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Stacy	Miller	stacy.miller@minneapolism n.gov	City of Minneapolis	350 S. 5th Street Room M 301 Minneapolis, MN 55415	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
David	Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.c om	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	220 South Sixth Street Suite 1300 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Carol A.	Overland	overland@legalectric.org	Legalectric - Overland Law Office	1110 West Avenue Red Wing, MN 55066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Generic Notice	Residential Utilities Division	residential.utilities@ag.stat e.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012131	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Kevin	Reuther	kreuther@mncenter.org	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy	26 E Exchange St, Ste 206 St. Paul, MN 551011667	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Amanda	Rome	amanda.rome@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 5 Minneapoli, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Joseph L	Sathe	jsathe@kennedy- graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	150 S 5th St Ste 700 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Richard	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.c om	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
				St. Paul, MN 55101			
Peter	Scholtz	peter.scholtz@ag.state.mn. us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Suite 1400 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 55101-2131	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
∕Vill	Seuffert	Will.Seuffert@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th PI E Ste 350 Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Janet	Shaddix Elling	jshaddix@janetshaddix.co m	Shaddix And Associates	7400 Lyndale Ave S Ste 190 Richfield, MN 55423	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Joshua	Smith	joshua.smith@sierraclub.or g		85 Second St FL 2 San Francisco, California 94105	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.com	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Beth H.	Soholt	bsoholt@windonthewires.or g	Wind on the Wires	570 Asbury Street Suite 201 St. Paul, MN 55104	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Byron E.	Starns	byron.starns@stinson.com	STINSON LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
James M	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy- graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	150 S 5th St Ste 700 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Lynnette	Sweet	Regulatory.records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Thomas	Tynes	jjazynka@energyfreedomc oalition.com	Energy Freedom Coalition of America	101 Constitution Ave NW Ste 525 East Washington, DC 20001	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Lisa	Veith	lisa.veith@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of St. Paul	400 City Hall and Courthouse 15 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Samantha	Williams	swilliams@nrdc.org	Natural Resources Defense Council	20 N. Wacker Drive Ste 1600 Chicago, IL 60606	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Joseph	Windler	jwindler@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 South Sixth Street, Suite 3500 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723
Patrick	Zomer	Pat.Zomer@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett a Professional Association	150 S. 5th Street, #1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-723_20-723