

BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Katie J. Sieben	Chair
Valerie Means	Commissioner
Matthew Schuerger	Commissioner
Joseph K. Sullivan	Commissioner
John A. Tuma	Commissioner

Patricia L. Martin
Assistant Treasurer
Xcel Energy Services Inc.
414 Nicollet Mall, 401-4th Floor
Minneapolis, MN 55401

SERVICE DATE: February 23, 2021

DOCKET NO. E,G-002/S-20-768

In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power Company for Approval of Capital Structure for Issuance of Long-Term and Short-Term Securities for 2021

The above-entitled matter was considered by the Commission on February 18, 2021, and the following disposition made:

- 1. Approved petition of Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy (Xcel), to be effective until the Commission issues a 2022 capital structure order, including the following elements:**
 - a. A range of equity ratio from 47.16% to 57.64% (i.e. 52.4% +/- 5.24%).**
 - b. A short-term debt limit of 15% of total capitalization.**
 - c. A maximum capitalization for Xcel of \$13.947 billion in 2021, including a base capitalization of \$13.301 plus a contingency of \$646 million, or 4.86%.**
 - d. Continuation of the variance to Minnesota Rule 7825.1000, subpart 6, to allow Xcel to treat borrowing under multi-year credit agreements as short-term debt for approved capital structure purposes.**
 - e. Use of risk management instruments that qualify for hedge accounting treatment.**
 - f. Authorization to issue securities provided that Xcel does not exceed the limits of the approved equity ratio, maximum short-term debt ratio, or maximum capitalization for more than 60 days without Commission authorization.**

The Commission agrees with and adopts the recommendations of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, which are attached and hereby incorporated into the order. This order shall become effective immediately.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION



Will Seuffert
Executive Secretary



To request this document in another format such as large print or audio, call 651.296.0406 (voice). Persons with a hearing or speech impairment may call using their preferred Telecommunications Relay Service or email consumer.puc@state.mn.us for assistance.

December 21, 2020

Will Seuffert
Executive Secretary
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
121 7th Place East, Suite 350
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55101-2147

RE: **Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources**
Docket No. E,G002/S-20-768

Dear Mr. Seuffert:

Attached are the Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources (Department), in the following matter:

Petition of Northern States Power Company for Approval of Its 2021 Capital Structure.

The Petition was filed on October 5, 2020 by:

Patricia L. Martin
Assistant Treasurer
Xcel Energy Services Inc.
414 Nicollet Mall (401-4th Floor)
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401.

The Department recommends that the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) **approve the petition with one modification**. The Department is available to answer any questions that the Commission may have in this matter.

Sincerely,

/s/ STEPHEN COLLINS
Rates Analyst
SC/ja
Attachment



Before the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

Comments of the Minnesota Department of Commerce Division of Energy Resources

Docket No. E,G002/S-20-768

I. INTRODUCTION

On October 5, 2020, Northern States Power Company doing business as Xcel Energy (Xcel¹ or the Company) filed a petition requesting that the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) approve the Company's 2021 capital structure. Specifically, Xcel has requested authorization to:

- Maintain an equity ratio of 47.16% to 57.64% -- derived from a projected equity ratio of 52.4% plus or minus a contingency band of 10 percent of the midpoint (+ or – 5.24%);
- Issue short-term debt up to 15% of total capitalization;
- Set a maximum capitalization of \$13.947 billion – derived from Xcel's projected 2021 base capitalization of \$13.301 billion plus a 4.86% contingency of \$646 million;
- Continue varying Minnesota Rules 7825.1000, subpart 6 to allow Xcel to treat borrowing under multi-year credit agreements as short-term debt for approved capital structure purposes;
- Use risk management instruments that qualify for hedge accounting treatment; and
- Issue securities provided that Xcel does not exceed the limits of the approved equity ratio, maximum short-term debt ratio, or maximum capitalization for more than 60 days *without notifying the Commission*.²

In effect, these requests would allow Xcel to reach up to \$7.370 billion ($[1 - 47.16\%] * \13.947 billion) of debt outstanding.

Finally, Xcel requests that the Commission approve the petition by February 1, 2021, effective until the Commission issues a 2022 capital structure Order.

¹ Since Xcel is both an electric and gas utility, the capital structure petition pertains to Xcel's combined electric and gas operations (in addition to Xcel's wholly owned subsidiaries United Power & Land Company and NSP Nuclear Corporation).

² Xcel also specifically requests authorization to (a) enter into and use multi-year credit agreements and issue associated notes, (b) issue long-term debt, and (c) enter into financings to replace outstanding long-term debt instruments with less expensive securities after considering the debt issuance expenses and amortization of redemption premiums and expenses, and to enter into tax-exempt financings if funds are available for construction programs. These requests are redundant with the request for authorization to issue securities. However, the Department appreciates Xcel specifying its planned security issuances.

Xcel’s planned investments for 2020 and next five years are shown below, taken from Attachment N to Xcel’s petition. Planned capital expenditures for the next five years total about \$8 billion, averaging around \$1.6 billion per year. For 2021, the largest uses of capital will be electric distribution upgrades and wind farms. Based on Xcel’s categorization, the Department understands that around 90% of Xcel’s planned capital expenditures are for electric operations, with only about 10% for gas.

Table 1: Xcel’s Planned Investments

Category	Forecast as of July 2020											
	2020		2021		2022		2023		2024		2025	
	\$M	% Total	\$M	% Total	\$M	% Total	\$M	% Total	\$M	% Total	\$M	% Total
Energy Supply	1,233	59.0%	406	26.1%	124	8.1%	123	7.6%	268	16.7%	546	29.8%
...Wind	1,147	54.9%	296	19.1%	-7	-0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
...Black Dog Site Rem.	4	0.2%	7	0.5%	6	0.4%	5	0.3%	5	0.3%	5	0.3%
...Other Energy Supply	82	3.9%	101	6.5%	103	6.7%	68	4.2%	75	4.7%	110	6.0%
...BLL Black Start Conv.	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	0.6%	22	1.4%	16	0.9%
...Sherco CC	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	22	1.4%	41	2.5%	166	10.4%	415	22.6%
Nuclear	145	6.9%	223	14.4%	213	13.9%	229	14.1%	181	11.3%	215	11.7%
...Nuclear Fuel	55	2.6%	104	6.7%	87	5.7%	105	6.5%	84	5.2%	116	6.3%
...Other Nuclear	90	4.3%	119	7.7%	126	8.2%	124	7.7%	97	6.1%	99	5.4%
Transmission	143	6.8%	234	15.1%	304	19.9%	318	19.6%	306	19.1%	305	16.6%
...Huntley Wilmarth Line	39	1.9%	30	1.9%	4	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
...Sioux Falls N. 115 kV Loop	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
...Other Transmission	103	4.9%	204	13.1%	300	19.6%	318	19.6%	306	19.1%	305	16.6%
"Other"	180	8.6%	164	10.6%	213	13.9%	223	13.8%	160	10.0%	126	6.9%
Distribution	390	18.7%	526	33.9%	677	44.2%	727	44.9%	687	42.9%	641	35.0%
...Electric	279	13.3%	349	22.5%	524	34.2%	550	34.0%	503	31.4%	468	25.5%
...Gas	111	5.3%	177	11.4%	153	10.0%	177	10.9%	184	11.5%	173	9.4%
Total	2,091	100.0%	1,553	100.0%	1,531	100.0%	1,620	100.0%	1,602	100.0%	1,833	100.0%

According to Attachment H of Xcel’s petition, Xcel plans to fund the \$1.553 billion of 2021 investments using \$125 million of equity infusions from its parent company Xcel Energy Inc. (XEI), \$1.0 billion from short-term debt and internal funds, and \$400 million in long-term debt which Xcel intends to issue the in the second quarter of 2021. For context, Xcel issued \$600 million in long-term debt in 2019 and \$700 million in long-term debt in 2020.

The Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources (Department) analyzes Xcel’s petition below.

II. DEPARTMENT ANALYSIS

A. REPORTING REQUIRMENTS

Minnesota Administrative Rules and prior Commission Orders establish certain reporting requirements, as detailed in Attachment DOC-1. The Department concludes that Xcel has complied with these reporting requirements.

B. ONGOING REQUIREMENTS

Xcel must also comply with a number of ongoing requirements, a shown in the table below.

Table 2: Ongoing Requirements

Source	Requirement
2004-07-09 Order in E,G002/AI-04-100	Xcel must not use the Utility Money Pool if it can borrow directly from a bank or other financial institution at a lower rate.
	Xcel can lend to the Utility Money Pool only if the returns on investment are equal to or greater than returns Xcel could have received elsewhere.
2003-01-13 Order in E,G002/S-02-1907	File capital structures annually.
	Encumber no utility property in Minnesota for purposes other than operating the utility, and use no Minnesota property for non-utility purposes.
	Make no inter-company loans to Xcel Energy Inc.

The Department concludes that Xcel continues to comply with these ongoing requirements.

C. CAPITAL STRUCTURE

1. Applicable Statutes

Minnesota Statutes section 216B.49, subdivision 3 states:

It is unlawful for any public utility organized under the laws of this state to offer or sell any security ... unless the security issuance of the public utility is first approved by the commission, either as an individual issuance or as one of multiple possible issuances approved in the course of a periodic proceeding reviewing the utility's proposed sources and uses of capital funds. Approval by the commission must be by formal written order.

Further, Minnesota Statutes section 216B.49, subdivision 4 states in part that:

If the commission shall find that the proposed security issuance is reasonable and proper and in the public interest and will not be detrimental to the interests of the consumers and patrons affected thereby, the commission shall by written order grant its permission for the proposed public financing.

2. *Current Capital Structure*

The Commission approved Xcel's most recent capital structure in an Order issued April 10, 2020 in Docket No. E,G002/S-19-662 (2019 Capital Structure Order), approving the following:

- Maintain an equity ratio of 47.07% to 57.53% -- derived from an midpoint of 52.3% plus or minus a contingency band of 10 percent of the midpoint (+ or – 5.23%);
- Issue short-term debt up to 15% of total capitalization;
- Set a maximum capitalization of \$13.200 billion – derived from Xcel's projected base capitalization of \$12.604 billion plus a 4.73% contingency of \$0.596 billion;
- Continue varying Minn. Rules 7825.1000, subp. 6 to allow Xcel to treat borrowing under multi-year credit agreements as short-term debt for approved capital structure purposes;
- Use risk management instruments that qualify for hedge accounting treatment; and
- Issue securities provided that Xcel does not exceed the limits of the approved equity ratio, maximum short-term debt ratio, or maximum capitalization for more than 60 days *without the Commission's preapproval*.

In addition, the Commission made the 2020 capital structure effective until the Commission issues a 2021 capital structure Order.

The maximum debt allowed by the 2019 Capital Structure Order was \$6.987 billion ($[1 - 47.07\%] * \13.200 billion).

3. *Proposed Capital Structure*

Xcel's request for this year is the same as last year's except for:

- The requested equity ratio range is based on a slightly higher midpoint – 52.40% instead of last year's 52.30% -- resulting in a slightly higher and wider requested range of 47.16% to 57.64% versus 47.07% to 57.53% last year;
- The requested maximum capitalization is 5.66% higher – from \$13.200 billion last year to \$13.947 billion this year; and
- Xcel requests the ability to exceed the capital structure contingency ranges for more than 60 days merely by notifying the Commission instead of gaining preapproval as currently required.

a.) Short-Term Debt, 60-Day Window, 7825.1000 Variance, and Risk Management Instruments

The Department concludes that it is reasonable to maintain the long-standing policies for Xcel regarding issuing short-term debt up to 15% of total capitalization, the requested variance of 7825.1000 subpart 6, use of risk management instruments that qualify for hedge accounting treatment, and the 60-day window.

i. Short-Term Debt

The 15% cap on short-term debt has been in effect for over a decade and has historically worked to serve its purpose of providing Xcel with adequate short-term financial flexibility while not unduly risking harm to ratepayers.

ii. 60-Day Window

The Department notes that the Commission's long-standing 60-day window policy allows the Company sufficient flexibility to manage short-term aberrations while not allowing deviations from approved capital structure parameters for an undue amount of time. The Department recommends that the Commission continue to require preapproval to go outside the capital structure for more than 60 days. Otherwise, Xcel would be able to go outside the bounds of its allowed capital structure for an indefinite amount of time without Commission approval.

iii. Variance to 7825.1000 Subpart 6

Regarding the requested variance, Minn. R. 7825.1000, subp. 6 defines short-term securities as:

any unsecured security with a date of maturity of no more than one year from the date of issuance; and containing no provisions for automatic renewal or "roll over" at the option of either the obligee or obligor.

In order to classify direct borrowings under its multi-year credit agreement as short-term debt, the Commission would have to vary this rule for Xcel. Per Minn. R. 7829.3200, subp. 1, the Commission can only grant the variance if the following three requirements are met:

1. enforcement of the rule would impose an excessive burden upon the applicant or others affected by the rule,
2. granting the variance would not adversely affect the public interest, and
3. granting the variance would not conflict with standards imposed by law.

The Department agrees with the Company that these requirements are met. Xcel's current multi-year credit agreement resembles traditional short-term debt instruments and classifying multi-year credit agreements as long-debt could cause credit-rating agencies to react unfavorably, thus imposing an excessive burden on Xcel and hurting ratepayers. In addition, classifying multi-year credit agreements as short-term debt would not conflict with any standards imposed by law. Therefore, the Department recommends that the Commission continue to vary Minn. Rules 7825.1000, subp. 6, and allow Xcel to treat borrowing under multi-year credit agreements as short-term debt. The Department notes that the Commission has made the same variance and allowance for all approvals of Xcel's capital structure since 2005.

iv. Use of risk management instruments that qualify for hedge accounting treatment

Xcel requests that the Commission continue to allow the Company to use risk management instruments that qualify for hedge accounting treatment under Accounting Standard Codification No. 815, such as interest rate swaps, in compliance with the policies of Xcel's parent company, and with required officer approvals. It appears that Xcel would primarily or exclusively use such instruments to hedge against the risk of changing interest rates.

The Department agrees with Xcel that risk-management instruments such as interest rate swaps can benefit ratepayers as long as Xcel follows prudent practices in its usage of such instruments and allows the Commission sufficient oversight. Given the oversight mechanism provided by the reporting requirements shown in Attachment DOC-1, the Department concludes that the Commission has sufficient oversight of the Company's risk management instruments. Therefore, the Department recommends that the Commission approve the Company's request.

b.) Equity Ratio Range and Maximum Capitalization

Utilities' capital structures should be low enough to take advantage of debt's lower cost of financing (relative to equity), but not so high as to unduly risk financial distress. Gas and electric utilities typically use around 50% equity, which is consistent with Xcel's proposed equity ratio range.

However, it is important to understand the maximum level of debt that Xcel could issue when combining its proposed equity ratio range with its proposed maximum capitalization, and then compare that maximum debt level to the Company's debt-servicing ability.

In some recent capital-structure dockets, the Department has used earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA, calculated as operating income plus depreciation and amortization) to measure a utility's debt-servicing ability. The Department's main reason for using EBITDA was that net debt (debt minus cash and cash equivalents) divided by EBITDA is a very common measure of financial leverage and in some ways provides a standardized measure of leverage.

However, upon further consideration, the Department has concluded that, for the purposes of its capital-structure analyses, earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT, calculated as operating income³) represents a more accurate measure of debt-servicing ability because depreciation and amortization

³ An alternative way to define EBIT is net income with interest and taxes added back. However, due to the ability of unusual non-operating costs or gains to affect net income, the Department's methodology more accurately represents normalized ability to pay back debt.

represent real costs that a utility must incur. The Department reviews Xcel's ratio of debt to EBIT below.⁴

For a utility, the ratio of debt to EBIT indicates the years needed to pay back its current level of debt principal, assuming constant EBIT. In 2019 and 2018, Xcel generated EBIT of \$786.9 and \$716.5,⁵ respectively. Xcel's total debt for the same years was \$5.551 billion and \$5.087 billion respectively.⁶ The debt-EBIT ratio for both years was 7.1.

At the \$7.370 billion maximum debt level implied by Xcel's proposed 2021 capital structure and assuming normalized EBIT grows by 7% per year, Xcel's 2021 debt-EBIT ratio would reach 8.2. Given that this level is only moderately above Xcel's 2019 and 2018 debt-EBIT ratio and Xcel appears to be in a very healthy financial position, the Department views the implied maximum debt-EBIT ratio as reasonable. In addition, as noted above, Xcel's proposed equity ratio is nearly identical to last year's and consistent with the typical 50% ratio used for gas and electric utilities. For these reasons, the Department supports Xcel's proposed equity ratio range and maximum capitalization.

III. RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends that the Commission approve the petition modified to continue requiring Xcel to gain preapproval to deviate from the approved capital structure for more than 60 days (instead of granting Xcel's request to deviate from the approved capital structure for more than 60 days merely by notifying the Commission).

⁴ Given Xcel is a wholly owned subsidiary of XEI and can receive cash (equity) infusions from XEI at any time, its cash position is somewhat difficult to ascertain. Therefore, the Department uses debt/EBIT instead of net-debt/EBIT.

⁵ Petition, Attachment I, Part 2, page 10 (operating income).

⁶ Petition, Attachment I, Part 2, page 11 (sum of long-term debt, short-term debt, and current portion of long-term debt).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Robin Benson, hereby certify that I have this day, served a true and correct copy of the following document to all persons at the addresses indicated below or on the attached list by electronic filing, electronic mail, courier, interoffice mail or by depositing the same enveloped with postage paid in the United States mail at St. Paul, Minnesota.

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission ORDER

Docket Numbers: **E,G-002/S-20-768**

Dated this **23rd** day of **February, 2021**

/s/ Robin Benson

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Alison C	Archer	aarcher@misoenergy.org	MISO	2985 Ames Crossing Rd Eagan, MN 55121	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
James J.	Bertrand	james.bertrand@stinson.com	STINSON LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
James	Canaday	james.canaday@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Suite 1400 445 Minnesota St. St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
John	Coffman	john@johncoffman.net	AARP	871 Tuxedo Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63119-2044	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1400 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Riley	Conlin	riley.conlin@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 S. 6th Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Brooke	Cooper	bcooper@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022191	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
George	Crocker	gwillc@nawo.org	North American Water Office	PO Box 174 Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
John	Farrell	jfarrell@ilsr.org	Institute for Local Self-Reliance	2720 E. 22nd St Institute for Local Self-Reliance Minneapolis, MN 55406	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Edward	Garvey	edward.garvey@AESLconsulting.com	AESL Consulting	32 Lawton St Saint Paul, MN 55102-2617	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Janet	Gonzalez	Janet.gonzalez@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	Suite 350 121 7th Place East St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Todd J.	Guerrero	todd.guerrero@kutakrock.com	Kutak Rock LLP	Suite 1750 220 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 554021425	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Matthew B	Harris	matt.b.harris@xcelenergy.com	XCEL ENERGY	401 Nicollet Mall FL 8 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Annete	Henkel	mui@mnuutilityinvestors.org	Minnesota Utility Investors	413 Wacouta Street #230 St.Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Michael	Hoppe	lu23@ibew23.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.	445 Etna Street Ste. 61 St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Alan	Jenkins	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law	2950 Yellowtail Ave. Marathon, FL 33050	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Richard	Johnson	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett	150 S. 5th Street Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Sarah	Johnson Phillips	sarah.phillips@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Mark J.	Kaufman	mkaufman@ibewlocal949.org	IBEW Local Union 949	12908 Nicollet Avenue South Burnsville, MN 55337	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Thomas	Koehler	TGK@IBEW160.org	Local Union #160, IBEW	2909 Anthony Ln St Anthony Village, MN 55418-3238	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Peder	Larson	plarson@larkinhoffman.com	Larkin Hoffman Daly & Lindgren, Ltd.	8300 Norman Center Drive Suite 1000 Bloomington, MN 55437	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Douglas	Larson	dlarson@dakotaelectric.com	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W Farmington, MN 55024	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Eric	Lipman	eric.lipman@state.mn.us	Office of Administrative Hearings	PO Box 64620 St. Paul, MN 551640620	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Kavita	Maini	kmairi@wi.rr.com	KM Energy Consulting, LLC	961 N Lost Woods Rd Oconomowoc, WI 53066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Joseph	Meyer	joseph.meyer@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Bremer Tower, Suite 1400 445 Minnesota Street St Paul, MN 55101-2131	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Stacy	Miller	stacy.miller@minneapolismn.gov	City of Minneapolis	350 S. 5th Street Room M 301 Minneapolis, MN 55415	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
David	Niles	david.niles@avantenergy.com	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	220 South Sixth Street Suite 1300 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Carol A.	Overland	overland@legalelectric.org	Legalelectric - Overland Law Office	1110 West Avenue Red Wing, MN 55066	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Generic Notice	Residential Utilities Division	residential.utilities@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012131	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Kevin	Reuther	kreuther@mncenter.org	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy	26 E Exchange St, Ste 206 St. Paul, MN 551011667	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Richard	Savelkoul	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.com	Martin & Squires, P.A.	332 Minnesota Street Ste W2750 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Will	Seuffert	Will.Seuffert@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Pl E Ste 350 Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.com	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Byron E.	Starns	byron.starns@stinson.com	STINSON LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
James M	Strommen	jstrommen@kennedy-graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	150 S 5th St Ste 700 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Eric	Swanson	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 S 6th St Ste 3500 Capella Tower Minneapolis, MN 554024629	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Lynnette	Sweet	Regulatory.records@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Thomas	Tynes	jjazynka@energyfreedomcoalition.com	Energy Freedom Coalition of America	101 Constitution Ave NW Ste 525 East Washington, DC 20001	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Lisa	Veith	lisa.veith@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of St. Paul	400 City Hall and Courthouse 15 West Kellogg Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Samantha	Williams	swilliams@nrdc.org	Natural Resources Defense Council	20 N. Wacker Drive Ste 1600 Chicago, IL 60606	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Joseph	Windler	jwindler@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	225 South Sixth Street, Suite 3500 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768
Patrick	Zomer	Patrick.Zomer@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett a Professional Association	150 S. 5th Street, #1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_20-768_S-20-768