



Legislation Details (With Text)

**File #:** Details 2018- 028    **Version:** 1    **Name:**

**Type:** AA - Automatic Fuel Adjustment    **Status:** Agenda Ready

**File created:** 2/8/2018    **In control:** PUC Agenda Meeting

**On agenda:** 3/1/2018    **Final action:**

**Title:** \* E999/AA-16-523 All Commission-Regulated Electric Utilities  
In the Matter of the Review of the 2015-2016 Annual Automatic Adjustment Reports for All Electric Utilities.

1. Should the Commission accept the electric utilities' 2015-2016 annual automatic adjustment reports?
2. Should the Commission approve the Department's recommendation concerning the discontinuance of the Nuclear Sinking Fund reporting requirement?
3. How should the Commission address the Department's FCA reform recommendations?
4. Should the Commission approve the inclusion of new Ancillary Services Market ramping charges for recovery through the FCAs?
5. Should the Commission accept Minnesota Power's explanation regarding the two average cost of fuel differences identified by its auditor as reasonable?
6. Should the Commission accept Xcel Energy's statement that it has alleviated the potential for reoccurrence of identified data discrepancy issues? (PUC: Bonnett)

**Indexes:**

**Code sections:**

**Attachments:** 1. DEA Initial Filing 8-24-16 PUBLIC, 2. MP Initial Filing 8-31-16 PUBLIC, 3. OTP Initial Filing 9-1-16 PUBLIC, 4. Xcel Initial Filing 9-1-16 PUBLIC, 5. Xcel Supplement to Part H 9-30-16 PUBLIC, 6. DOC DER Review of 2015-2016 AAA Reports 9-13-17 PUBLIC, 7. MP Reply Comments 10-5-17 PUBLIC, 8. OTP Reply Comments 10-9-17, 9. Xcel Reply Comments 10-9-17, 10. DOC DER Response to Reply Comments 2-7-18, 11. Briefing Papers

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
3/1/2018	1	PUC Agenda Meeting		

\* **E999/AA-16-523 All Commission-Regulated Electric Utilities**  
In the Matter of the Review of the 2015-2016 Annual Automatic Adjustment Reports for All Electric Utilities.

1. Should the Commission accept the electric utilities' 2015-2016 annual automatic adjustment reports?
2. Should the Commission approve the Department's recommendation concerning the discontinuance of the Nuclear Sinking Fund reporting requirement?
3. How should the Commission address the Department's FCA reform recommendations?
4. Should the Commission approve the inclusion of new Ancillary Services Market ramping charges for recovery through the FCAs?
5. Should the Commission accept Minnesota Power's explanation regarding the two average cost of fuel differences identified by its auditor as reasonable?
6. Should the Commission accept Xcel Energy's statement that it has alleviated the potential for reoccurrence of identified data discrepancy issues? (PUC: **Bonnett**)