

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

121 7th Place East Suite 350 Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: Details 2017- Version: 1 Name:

144

Type: M - Miscellaneous Status: Agenda Ready

File created: 7/12/2017 In control: PUC Agenda Meeting

On agenda: 8/3/2017 Final action:

Title: ** G011/M-17-210; Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation

G011/M-17-211; G011/M-17-212

In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Approval to Add Additional Customer Classes to the Detroit Lakes-Long Lake New Area Surcharge Project; In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Approval to Add Additional Customer Classes to the Ely Lake New Area Surcharge Project;

In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Approval to Add Additional Customer Classes to the Fayal Township Long Lake New Area Surcharge Project.

Should the Commission approve MERC's request to add additional New Area Surcharge (NAS) surcharges for the Large Commercial and Industrial (C&I), Small Volume Interruptible (SVI), and Large Volume Interruptible (LVI) customer classes to previously approved NAS projects? Should the Commission require MERC to recalculate the previously approved Residential and Small C&I NAS surcharges from Docket Nos. 15-441, 15-776, and 16-221 to reflect the unanticipated customer class growth?

Should the Commission require MERC to recalculate the Ely Lake Small C&I surcharge to conform to MERC's customer class "customer charge allocation" methodology used in determining monthly NAS surcharges in other NAS dockets (Docket Nos. 15-441, 16-221, 17-210, 17-211, and 17-212)? Should the Commission approve MERC's request to add additional NAS surcharges for the Large C&I (17-212), SVI (17-210, 17-211, and 17-212), and LVI (17-210, 17-211, and 17-212) customer classes not supported by customer growth? (PUC: Brill)

not supported by customer growth? (FOC. bit

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. 17-210 MERC Initial Petition and Attachments 3-16-17 PUBLIC, 2. 17-211 MERC Initial Petition

and Attachments 3-15-17 PUBLIC, 3. 17-212 MERC Initial Petition and Attachments 3-15-17 PUBLIC, 4. 17-210, 211, 212 DOC Comments 4-14-17, 5. 17-210, 211, 212 MERC Reply Comments

4-24-17, 6. Briefing Papers

Date Ver. Action By Action Result

8/3/2017 1 PUC Agenda Meeting

** G011/M-17-210; Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation

G011/M-17-211; G011/M-17-212

In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Approval to Add Additional Customer Classes to the Detroit Lakes-Long Lake New Area Surcharge Project; In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Approval to Add Additional Customer Classes to the Ely Lake New Area Surcharge Project;

In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Approval to Add Additional Customer Classes to the Fayal Township Long Lake New Area Surcharge Project.

Should the Commission approve MERC's request to add additional New Area Surcharge (NAS)

File #: Details 2017-144, Version: 1

surcharges for the Large Commercial and Industrial (C&I), Small Volume Interruptible (SVI), and Large Volume Interruptible (LVI) customer classes to previously approved NAS projects? Should the Commission require MERC to recalculate the previously approved Residential and Small C&I NAS surcharges from Docket Nos. 15-441, 15-776, and 16-221 to reflect the unanticipated customer class growth?

Should the Commission require MERC to recalculate the Ely Lake Small C&I surcharge to conform to MERC's customer class "customer charge allocation" methodology used in determining monthly NAS surcharges in other NAS dockets (Docket Nos. 15-441, 16-221, 17-210, 17-211, and 17-212)?

Should the Commission approve MERC's request to add additional NAS surcharges for the Large C&I (17-212), SVI (17-210, 17-211, and 17-212), and LVI (17-210, 17-211, and 17-212) customer classes not supported by customer growth? (PUC: **Brill**)