



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: Details 2017-134 **Version:** 1 **Name:**

Type: M - Miscellaneous **Status:** Agenda Ready

File created: 6/22/2017 **In control:** PUC Agenda Meeting

On agenda: 8/3/2017 **Final action:**

Title: ** G002/M-17-174 Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy
In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power Company for Approval of a Modification to Its Natural Gas State Energy Policy (SEP) Tariff, 2017 SEP Rate Factor, and 2016 SEP Compliance Filing.

Should the Commission require Xcel Gas to discontinue its SEP rider?
Should the Commission allow Xcel Gas to continue to recover assessment costs for Department Regional & National Duties under Minn. Stat. § 216B.62, Subd. 3b? And, if so, how should those costs be recovered?
Should the Commission allow Xcel Gas to continue to recover costs previously incurred for its Cast Iron Replacement Project which were authorized under Minn. Stat. § 216B.1637, Recovery of Certain Greenhouse Gas Infrastructure Costs, when the statute was repealed by the Legislature in 2013? And, if so, how should those costs be recovered? (PUC: Schwieger)

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Xcel Initial Filing 3-1-17, 2. DOC Comments 3-31-17, 3. Xcel Reply Comments 4-10-17, 4. DOC Response Comments 5-17-17, 5. Xcel Response Comments 5-26-17, 6. Briefing Papers

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
8/3/2017	1		PUC Agenda Meeting	

**** G002/M-17-174 Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy**
In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power Company for Approval of a Modification to Its Natural Gas State Energy Policy (SEP) Tariff, 2017 SEP Rate Factor, and 2016 SEP Compliance Filing.

Should the Commission require Xcel Gas to discontinue its SEP rider?
Should the Commission allow Xcel Gas to continue to recover assessment costs for Department Regional & National Duties under Minn. Stat. § 216B.62, Subd. 3b? And, if so, how should those costs be recovered?
Should the Commission allow Xcel Gas to continue to recover costs previously incurred for its Cast Iron Replacement Project which were authorized under Minn. Stat. § 216B.1637, Recovery of Certain Greenhouse Gas Infrastructure Costs, when the statute was repealed by the Legislature in 2013? And, if so, how should those costs be recovered? (PUC: **Schwieger**)