



## Legislation Details (With Text)

**File #:** Details 2018-011    **Version:** 1    **Name:**

**Type:** C - Complaint    **Status:** Agenda Ready

**File created:** 1/12/2018    **In control:** PUC Agenda Meeting

**On agenda:** 2/22/2018    **Final action:**

**Title:** \*\* G011,002/C-17-802 Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation;  
Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy  
In the Matter of the Formal Complaint and Petition for Relief by Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MERC) Against Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy (Xcel).

- Does the Commission have jurisdiction over MERC's complaint?
  - o If yes, would a Commission investigation into MERC's allegations against Xcel-Gas be in the public interest?
  - o If no, should the Commission dismiss and close this docket?
- If the Commission chooses to investigate the complaint, how should the Commission proceed? Should the Commission send this Complaint to the Office of Administrative Hearings as a contested case? Alternatively, should the Commission make its decision based on available information at this Agenda Meeting?
- Should the Commission stop Xcel from using its Natural Gas Competitive Agreement until the Commission resolves the legal questions raised by MERC in this docket or in the Commission's generic investigation into the use of incentives by natural gas utilities to compete with one another for customers, in Docket No. 17-499? (PUC: Brill, Bonnett)

**Indexes:**

**Code sections:**

**Attachments:** 1. MERC Initial Petition 11-9-17 PUBLIC, 2. Xcel Response Comments to Complaint 11-29-17 PUBLIC, 3. MERC Reply Comments 12-11-17, 4. OAG Reply Letter 12-11-17 PUBLIC, 5. DOC Reply Comments 12-11-17, 6. MERC Response to Reply Comments 12-19-17, 7. MERC Initial Petition, Exhibit H Previously Marked as TS 1-4-18, 8. MERC Motion to Adopt Protective Order and Nondisclosure Agreement 1-16-18, 9. MN Rep Garofalo Letter 1-18-18, 10. Xcel Response to MERC Motion 1-29-18, 11. DOC Response to Reply Comments 2-13-18 PUBLIC, 12. MERC Objection Letter to Commerce 2-20-18, 13. Briefing Papers

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
2/22/2018	1	PUC Agenda Meeting		

**\*\* G011,002/C-17-802 Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation;  
Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy**  
In the Matter of the Formal Complaint and Petition for Relief by Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MERC) Against Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy (Xcel).

- Does the Commission have jurisdiction over MERC's complaint?
  - o If yes, would a Commission investigation into MERC's allegations against Xcel-Gas be in the public interest?
  - o If no, should the Commission dismiss and close this docket?
- If the Commission chooses to investigate the complaint, how should the Commission proceed? Should the Commission send this Complaint to the Office of Administrative Hearings as a contested case? Alternatively, should the Commission make its decision based

on available information at this Agenda Meeting?

- Should the Commission stop Xcel from using its Natural Gas Competitive Agreement until the Commission resolves the legal questions raised by MERC in this docket or in the Commission's generic investigation into the use of incentives by natural gas utilities to compete with one another for customers, in Docket No. 17-499? (PUC: **Brill, Bonnett**)