



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: Details 2017- 208 **Version:** 1 **Name:**

Type: M - Miscellaneous **Status:** Agenda Ready

File created: 9/28/2017 **In control:** PUC Agenda Meeting

On agenda: 10/26/2017 **Final action:**

Title: * E017/M-17-279 Otter Tail Power Company
In the Matter of Otter Tail Power Company's Petition For Approval of Merricourt Wind Project.

1. Should the Commission approve Otter Tail's investment in the Merricourt Project?
2. Should the Commission Determine that the proposed Merricourt Project qualifies as an eligible energy technology that can count towards the Minnesota Renewable Energy Statute (RES) requirements set forth in Minn. Stat. §216B.1691?
3. Should the Commission authorize future cost recovery for the Merricourt Project through Otter Tail's Renewable Rider, subject to Commission review and approval of specific costs to be presented by Otter Tail in a future petition under Minn. Stat. §216B.1645, subd. 2a.?
4. Should the Commission Grant OTP's requested variance to the filing requirements of Minn. Rules 7825.1800(B) and Minn. Rules 7825.1400 items (A)-(J)?
5. Does the proposed Merricourt Project require a certificate of need pursuant to Minn. Stat. §216B.243?
6. Should the Commission Require periodic informational reports on the Merricourt project's Bird and Bat Conservation Strategy minimization and mitigation activity undertaken as outlined by the DOC? (PUC: Fournier)

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. OTP Initial Filing 4-11-17 PUBLIC, 2. DOC Comments 6-19-17 PUBLIC, 3. OTP Reply 7-7-17 PUBLIC, 4. DOC Reply Comments 8-16-17 PUBLIC, 5. OTP Additional Reply Comments 10-5-17, 6. Briefing Papers

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
10/26/2017	1	PUC Agenda Meeting		

* **E017/M-17-279 Otter Tail Power Company**
In the Matter of Otter Tail Power Company's Petition For Approval of Merricourt Wind Project.

1. Should the Commission approve Otter Tail's investment in the Merricourt Project?
2. Should the Commission Determine that the proposed Merricourt Project qualifies as an eligible energy technology that can count towards the Minnesota Renewable Energy Statute (RES) requirements set forth in Minn. Stat. §216B.1691?
3. Should the Commission authorize future cost recovery for the Merricourt Project through Otter Tail's Renewable Rider, subject to Commission review and approval of specific costs to be presented by Otter Tail in a future petition under Minn. Stat. §216B.1645, subd. 2a.?
4. Should the Commission Grant OTP's requested variance to the filing requirements of Minn. Rules 7825.1800(B) and Minn. Rules 7825.1400 items (A)-(J)?
5. Does the proposed Merricourt Project require a certificate of need pursuant to Minn. Stat. §216B.243?
6. Should the Commission Require periodic informational reports on the Merricourt project's Bird and Bat Conservation Strategy minimization and mitigation activity undertaken as outlined by

the DOC? (PUC: **Fournier**)