



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: Details 2015- 349 **Version:** 2 **Name:**

Type: CI - Commission Initiated Investigation **Status:** Agenda Ready

File created: 12/16/2015 **In control:** PUC Agenda Meeting

On agenda: 9/21/2017 **Final action:**

Title: ** E999/CI-15-755 All Electric Utilities
In the Matter of a Commission Inquiry into Fees Charged on Qualifying Facilities.

Is it permissible under Minnesota statutes and rules to impose an additional monthly fee on a qualifying facility interconnected with a public utility?
If an additional fee is permissible, what factors should the Commission consider in determining whether the fee or charge is reasonable?
Should the Commission take any action on the specific fees charged by Minnesota Power, Otter Tail Power, and Xcel Energy? (PUC: Terwilliger, Rosier)

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Xcel Response to MPUC 1-5 2-5-16 1, 2. Xcel Response to MPUC 1-5 2-5-16 2, 3. Xcel Response to MPUC 1-5 2-5-16 3, 4. Xcel Response to MPUC 1-5 2-5-16 4, 5. Xcel Response to MPUC 1-5 2-5-16 5, 6. Xcel Response to EFCA 1-10 5-16-16, 7. MN Farmers Union Initial Comments 5-5-16, 8. ILSR Initial Comments 5-6-16, 9. MCEA and Sierra Club Comments 5-6-16, 10. Powerfully Green Comments 5-6-16, 11. OTP Comments 5-6-16, 12. MNSEIA Comments 5-6-16, 13. Energy Freedom Coalition of America Comments 5-6-16, 14. DOC Comments 5-6-16, 15. The Alliance for Solar Choice Comments 5-6-16, 16. Fresh Energy, Environmental Law & Policy Ctr, and Vote Solar Comments 5-6-16, 17. Fresh Energy, Environmental Law & Policy Ctr, and Vote Solar Reply Comments 6-6-16, 18. Xcel Reply Comments 6-6-16, 19. OTP Reply Comments 6-6-16, 20. MP Reply Comments 6-6-16, 21. Energy Freedom Coalition of America Reply Comments 6-6-16, 22. Energy Freedom Coalition of America Supplemental Comments 10-17-16, 23. DOC Supplemental Comments 10-17-17 PUBLIC, 24. Xcel Supplemental Comments 10-17-16, 25. OTP Supplemental Comments 10-17-16, 26. Clean Energy Economy MN Supplemental Comments 10-18-16, 27. Energy Freedom Coalition of America Reply Supplemental Comments 11-10-16, 28. MP Reply Supplemental Comments 11-10-16, 29. Xcel Reply Supplemental Comments 11-10-16, 30. Fresh Energy, Environmental Law and Policy Ctr & Vote Solar Reply Supplemental Comments 11-10-16, 31. OTP Reply Supplemental Comments 11-10-16, 32. Briefing Papers

| Date | Ver. | Action By | Action | Result |
|-----------|------|--------------------|--------|--------|
| 9/21/2017 | 2 | PUC Agenda Meeting | | |

**** E999/CI-15-755 All Electric Utilities**
In the Matter of a Commission Inquiry into Fees Charged on Qualifying Facilities.

Is it permissible under Minnesota statutes and rules to impose an additional monthly fee on a qualifying facility interconnected with a public utility?
If an additional fee is permissible, what factors should the Commission consider in determining whether the fee or charge is reasonable?
Should the Commission take any action on the specific fees charged by Minnesota Power, Otter Tail Power, and Xcel Energy? (PUC: Terwilliger, Rosier)