

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

121 7th Place East Suite 350 Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: Details 2020- Version: 1 Name:

127

Type: CI - Commission Initiated Status: Agenda Ready

Investigation

File created: 8/5/2020 In control: PUC Agenda Meeting

On agenda: 8/27/2020 Final action:

Title: ** P999/CI-20-359; All Local Service Providers

P6422/M-20-354; P6236/M-20-259

In the Matter of Local Service Providers' Filings under Minnesota Rules 7812.0600 and 7811.0600.

1. Should the Commission interpret its telecommunications rules to require a local carrier to obtain prior Commission approval before discontinuing a local service, if that carrier retains its certificate of authority?

2. What kind of customer notice should be required for local carriers discontinuing services to business customers? (PUC: Fournier, Rebholz)

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Broadwing - Initial Filing - 2-7-2020, 2. DOC - Comments - 3-9-2020, 3. XO Comm - Initial Filing - 3-

6-2020, 4. PUC - Notice - 3-18-2020, 5. DOC - Comments - 4-9-2020, 6. CenturyLink Broadwing - Comments - 4-17-2020, 7. PUC - Notice - 5-29-2020, 8. DOC - Supplemental Comments - 6-24-2020, 9. XO Comm - Comments - 6-26-2020, 10. Briefing Papers, 11. Quest dba Century Link - Comments -

6-26-2020

Date Ver. Action By Action Result

8/27/2020 1 PUC Agenda Meeting

** P999/CI-20-359: All Local Service Providers

P6422/M-20-354; P6236/M-20-259

In the Matter of Local Service Providers' Filings under Minnesota Rules 7812.0600 and 7811.0600.

- 1. Should the Commission interpret its telecommunications rules to require a local carrier to obtain prior Commission approval before discontinuing a local service, if that carrier retains its certificate of authority?
- 2. What kind of customer notice should be required for local carriers discontinuing services to business customers? (PUC: **Fournier**, **Rebholz**)