

## Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	Deta 068	ails 2014- Version	2	Name:		
Туре:	M - Miscellaneous		Status:	Agenda Ready		
File created:	3/13/2014		In control:	PUC Agenda Meeting		
On agenda:	4/23/2014			Final action:		
Title:	<ul> <li>** G022/M-12-1279 Greater Minnesota Gas</li> <li>In the Matter of GMG's Notice of Change in Demand Entitlements.</li> <li>1. Should the Commission (a) accept GMG's design-day analysis, or (b) reject GMG's design-day analysis and find that GMG did not purchase adequate capacity to serve its firm customers on a peak day?</li> <li>2. Should the Commission allow GMG to recover associated demand costs through the monthly Purchased Gas Adjustment effective November 1, 2012?</li> <li>3. Should the Commission open an investigation to study rural Minnesota natural gas needs and</li> </ul>					
	how the current regulatory system meets those needs? (PUC: Bender, Harding)					
Indexes:						
Code sections:						
Attachments:	1. 12-1279 GMG Initial Filing March 25, 2013, 2. 12-1279 DOC Comments June 7, 2013, 3. 12-1279 GMG Reply Comments June 17, 2013, 4. 12-1279 DOC Response Comments July 16, 2013, 5. 12-1279 PUC BP FINAL, 6. PUC Briefing Papers addendum 4-16-14					
Date	Ver.	Action By		Act	ion	Result
1/22/2011	2	DUC Agondo Moot	ina			

4/23/2014 2 PUC Agenda Meeting

## \*\* G022/M-12-1279 Greater Minnesota Gas

In the Matter of GMG's Notice of Change in Demand Entitlements.

- Should the Commission (a) accept GMG's design-day analysis, or (b) reject GMG's designday analysis and find that GMG did not purchase adequate capacity to serve its firm customers on a peak day?
- 2. Should the Commission allow GMG to recover associated demand costs through the monthly Purchased Gas Adjustment effective November 1, 2012?
- 3. Should the Commission open an investigation to study rural Minnesota natural gas needs and how the current regulatory system meets those needs? (PUC: **Bender, Harding**)