



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: Details 2014-091 **Version:** 2 **Name:**
Type: CI - Commission Initiated Investigation **Status:** Agenda Ready
File created: 3/28/2014 **In control:** PUC Agenda Meeting
On agenda: 6/30/2016 **Final action:**
Title: ** E999/CI-07-1199 All Electric Utilities
In the Matter of Establishing an Updated 2016 Estimate of the Costs of Future Carbon Dioxide Regulation on Electricity Generation under Minn. Stat. §216H.06.

What values should the Commission adopt as the likely range of costs for future CO2 regulation on electricity generation? In what year should the values begin to be applied?
Should the Commission establish values for IRPs filed in 2016 and 2017?
Should the Commission open a generic docket to reexamine the relationship between the external cost of CO2 and the anticipated regulatory cost of CO2? (PUC: Twite)

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. DOC and MPCA Analysis and Recommendations 3-29-16, 2. OTP Initial Comments 4-19-16, 3. Clean Energy Organizations Initial Comments 4-21-16, 4. Xcel Initial Comments 4-21-16, 5. MP Initial Comments 4-21-16, 6. Xcel Reply Comments 5-2-16, 7. MP Reply Comments 5-2-16, 8. Clean Energy Organizations Reply Comments 5-2-16, 9. DOC and MPCA Reply Comments 5-2-16, 10. Briefing Papers

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
6/30/2016	2	PUC Agenda Meeting		
4/17/2014	1	PUC Agenda Meeting		

** E999/CI-07-1199 All Electric Utilities

In the Matter of Establishing an Updated 2016 Estimate of the Costs of Future Carbon Dioxide Regulation on Electricity Generation under Minn. Stat. §216H.06.

What values should the Commission adopt as the likely range of costs for future CO2 regulation on electricity generation? In what year should the values begin to be applied?
Should the Commission establish values for IRPs filed in 2016 and 2017?
Should the Commission open a generic docket to reexamine the relationship between the external cost of CO2 and the anticipated regulatory cost of CO2? (PUC: **Twite**)