

## Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	Detai 357	ls 2014-	Version: 2	Name:		
Туре:		CN - Certificate of Need		Status:	Agenda Ready	
File created:	11/19	9/2014		In control:	PUC Agenda Meeting	
On agenda:	4/9/2015		Final action:			
Title:	Comp In the Geroi In the Deve Shou (PUC The C hearin	<ul> <li>** E002/CN-12-1240; Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy E002/M-14-788; E002/M-14-789</li> <li>In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy, for Approval of Competitive Resource Acquisition Proposal and Certificate of Need; In the Matter of a Draft Purchase Power Agreement with Geronimo Wind Energy, LLC, d/b/a Geronimo Energy, LLC; In the Matter of Draft Purchase Power Agreements with Calpine Corporation, Invenergy Thermal Development and Proposed Price Terms for Black Dog Unit 6.</li> <li>Should the Commission take any action on the petitions for rehearing, reconsideration or clarification? (PUC: Stalpes, DeBleeckere, Ham)</li> <li>The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration with or without a hearing or oral argument. (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6) In other words, a decision on a</li> </ul>				
Indexes:	petitio	on for reco	onsideration ca	n be made witho	out taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.	
Code sections:						
Attachments:	1. PUC Order 5-23-14, 2. PUC Order Directing Xcel to Negotiate Draft Agreements 5-23-14, 3. PUC Order Approving Power Purchase Agreement 2-5-15, 4. DOC Request for Clarification or Reconsideration 2-25-15, 5. Invenergy Petition for Reconsideration 2-25-15, 6. Xcel IR Responses pt of 2 10-10-14, 7. Xcel Petition for Clarification 2-25-15, 8. Xcel IR Responses pt 2 of 2 10-10-14, 9. Xcel Large Industrials Reconsideration Petition 2-25-15, 10. Xcel IR Responses 10-16-14, 11. Calpine Reconsideration Answer 3-6-15, 12. Xcel Reconsideration Answer 3-9-15, 13. Environmental Intervenors Comments RE 9-23 Compliance Filing 10-23-14, 14. Geronimo Reconsideration Answer 3-9-15, 15. Geronimo Comments and Request for Aurora PPA TS 10-23-14 16. DOC Answer to Requests for Reconsideration 3-9-15, 17. Calpine Comments 10-23-14, 18. Calpine Supplement 3-11-15, 19. PUC Briefing Paper 4-2-15, 20. DOC DER Reply Comments 11-3-14, 21. Calpine Reply Comments 11-3-14, 22. Geronimo Reply Comments 11-3-14, 23. Briefing Papers, 24. Geronimo Motion to Enforce Protective Order 9-5-14 PUBLIC, 25. Xcel Compliance Filing 10-2-14 PUBLIC, 27. DOC DER Comments 10-23-14 PUBLIC, 28. Geronimo Comments and Request for Aurora PPA 10-23-14 PUBLIC, 29. Xcel Reply Comments 11-3-14 PUBLIC, 30. Revised Decision Options (f).pdf					
Date		Action By		Α	Action Result	
4/9/2015	2	PUC Age	enda Meeting			
12/15/2014	1	PUC Age	enda Meeting			
	1		enda Meeting			

In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy, for Approval of

Competitive Resource Acquisition Proposal and Certificate of Need; In the Matter of a Draft Purchase Power Agreement with Geronimo Wind Energy, LLC, d/b/a Geronimo Energy, LLC;

In the Matter of Draft Purchase Power Agreements with Calpine Corporation, Invenergy Thermal Development and Proposed Price Terms for Black Dog Unit 6.

Should the Commission take any action on the petitions for rehearing, reconsideration or clarification? (PUC: **Stalpes, DeBleeckere, Ham**)

The Commission has the authority to accept or decline a petition for reconsideration with or without a hearing or oral argument. (Minnesota Rules 7829.3000, Subpart 6) In other words, a decision on a petition for reconsideration can be made without taking oral comments at the Commission meeting.