



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: Details 2016-013 **Version:** 1 **Name:**

Type: M - Miscellaneous **Status:** Agenda Ready

File created: 1/21/2016 **In control:** PUC Agenda Meeting

On agenda: 2/11/2016 **Final action:**

Title: ** E015/M-15-984 Minnesota Power
In the Matter of a Petition by Minnesota Power for a Competitive Rate for Energy-Intensive Trade-Exposed (EITE) Customers and an EITE Cost Recovery Rider.

Should the Commission approve Minnesota Power's Proposed EITE rate under Minn. Stat. §216B.1696?
Should the Commission allow Minnesota Power to implement a cost recovery rider prior to its next general rate case? (PUC: Kaml, Gonzalez)

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. PUC Notice of Comment Period 11-19-15, 2. MP Supp Filing-Resp. to IR 1 11-19-15, 3. MP Comments on Comment Period 11-24-15, 4. Large Power Intervenors Comments on Comment Period 11-25-15, 5. MP Supplemental Filing-Payment to EEOA 12-04-15, 6. MN Forest Industries Comments 12-21-15, 7. DOC DER Comments 12-21-15, 8. Mid-MN Legal Aid Comments 12-21-15, 9. Large Power Intervenor Comments 12-21-15, 10. Iron Mining Association Comments pt 1 12-21-15, 11. Iron Mining Association Comments pt 2 12-21-15, 12. OAG Comments 12-21-15, 13. MN Citizen's Federation Comments 12-21-15, 14. Sierra Club Comments 12-21-15, 15. Energy Cents Coalition Comments 12-21-15, 16. AARP Comments 12-22-15, 17. MP Reply Comments 12-30-15, 18. MN Citizens Federation Reply Comments 12-31-15, 19. Save Our Sky Blue Waters Reply Comments 12-31-15, 20. Public Comments 1-4-16, 21. MP Initial Filing corrected Pagination PUBLIC 11-17-15, 22. MP Supp Filing-Magnetation Agrmt PUBLIC 11-19-15, 23. LPI Reply Comments 12-31-15, 24. Briefing Papers

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
2/11/2016	1	PUC Agenda Meeting		

** E015/M-15-984 Minnesota Power

In the Matter of a Petition by Minnesota Power for a Competitive Rate for Energy-Intensive Trade-Exposed (EITE) Customers and an EITE Cost Recovery Rider.

Should the Commission approve Minnesota Power's Proposed EITE rate under Minn. Stat. §216B.1696?

Should the Commission allow Minnesota Power to implement a cost recovery rider prior to its next general rate case? (PUC: **Kaml, Gonzalez**)