



## Legislation Details (With Text)

<b>File #:</b>	Details 2017-3	<b>Version:</b>	1	<b>Name:</b>	
<b>Type:</b>	M - Miscellaneous	<b>Status:</b>		Agenda Ready	
<b>File created:</b>	1/5/2017	<b>In control:</b>		PUC Agenda Meeting	
<b>On agenda:</b>	1/26/2017	<b>Final action:</b>			
<b>Title:</b>	<b>** E002/M-15-805 Northern States Power Company, dba Xcel Energy</b> In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power Company for Approval of the Renewable Energy Standard (RES) Rider True-up Report for 2015, Revenue Requirements for 2016, and a Revised Adjustment Factor.				

Should the Commission approve Xcel Energy's request to modify its RES Tariff, update its 2016 RES rate factors and allow a true-up in the RES rider for 2015?  
Should the Commission require Xcel to credit Minnesota ratepayers for their proportionate share of used North Dakota Income Tax Credits (NDITC) associated with the Courtney Wind Project, based on the prorate share of the costs of the Courtenay project that is charged to Minnesota ratepayers?  
Should Accumulated Deferred Income Tax (ADIT) balances be prorated or non-prorated when the Company forecasts its test year for rate base. And, if the Commission decides proration of the ADIT balances is required, must the true-up also be calculated using the proration method when adjusting for actual ADIT? (PUC: Schwieger, Morrissey)

### Indexes:

### Code sections:

**Attachments:** 1. Xcel Reply Comments 5-12-16, 2. Xcel Letter 9-1-16, 3. Xcel Response to Reply Comments 10-14-16, 4. Xcel Response to Reply Comments Resubmitted 10-28-16, 5. DOC Response to Reply Comments 11-4-16, 6. Briefing Papers, 7. Xcel Supplemental Filing 2-2-16 PUBLIC, 8. DOC Comments 5-2-16 PUBLIC, 9. DOC Response to Reply Comments 8-3-16 PUBLIC, 10. Xcel Revised Petition 9-8-15 PUBLIC, 11. Xcel Second Revised Petition 9-29-15 PUBLIC, 12. Xcel Revised Decision options 1-25-17

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
1/26/2017	1	PUC Agenda Meeting		

### **\*\* E002/M-15-805 Northern States Power Company, dba Xcel Energy**

In the Matter of the Petition of Northern States Power Company for Approval of the Renewable Energy Standard (RES) Rider True-up Report for 2015, Revenue Requirements for 2016, and a Revised Adjustment Factor.

Should the Commission approve Xcel Energy's request to modify its RES Tariff, update its 2016 RES rate factors and allow a true-up in the RES rider for 2015?  
Should the Commission require Xcel to credit Minnesota ratepayers for their proportionate share of used North Dakota Income Tax Credits (NDITC) associated with the Courtney Wind Project, based on the prorate share of the costs of the Courtenay project that is charged to Minnesota ratepayers?  
Should Accumulated Deferred Income Tax (ADIT) balances be prorated or non-prorated when the Company forecasts its test year for rate base. And, if the Commission decides proration of the ADIT balances is required, must the true-up also be calculated using the proration method when adjusting for actual ADIT? (PUC: **Schwieger, Morrissey**)