

## Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

121 7th Place East Suite 350 Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

## Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: Details 2017- Version: 1 Name:

93

Type: M - Miscellaneous Status: Agenda Ready

File created: 5/3/2017 In control: PUC Agenda Meeting

On agenda: 5/25/2017 Final action:

Title: \* G6960/M-16-317 United Natural Gas, LLC

In the Matter of a Petition by United Natural Gas, LLC's (UNG), a subsidiary of United Farmers Cooperative (UPC) for Approval of a Firm Gas Transportation Agreement with the City of Brownton

(Brownton).

Should the Commission approve United Natural Gas, LLC's petition for a Firm Gas Transportation Agreement providing intrastate pipeline transportation services to the City of Brownton (Brownton)? Should the Commission require United Natural Gas, LLC (UNG) to explain its arrangement with United Grain System, LLC (UGS) in a compliance filing?

- If UNG is providing transportation services to UGS, should the Commission require a transportation agreement between the parties?
- If UNG is purchasing natural gas for either the City of Brownton or UGS, does this arrangement compromise its "intrastate pipeline" status making UNG a "public utility" subject to Minnesota Statute § 216B.48 Affiliated Interest? (PUC: Brill)

Indexes:

**Code sections:** 

Attachments: 1. UNG Petition 4-16-16, 2. DOC Comments 12-7-16, 3. Briefing Papers

Date Ver. Action By Action Result

## \* G6960/M-16-317 United Natural Gas. LLC

In the Matter of a Petition by United Natural Gas, LLC's (UNG), a subsidiary of United Farmers Cooperative (UPC) for Approval of a Firm Gas Transportation Agreement with the City of Brownton (Brownton).

Should the Commission approve United Natural Gas, LLC's petition for a Firm Gas Transportation Agreement providing intrastate pipeline transportation services to the City of Brownton (Brownton)?

Should the Commission require United Natural Gas, LLC (UNG) to explain its arrangement with United Grain System, LLC (UGS) in a compliance filing?

- If UNG is providing transportation services to UGS, should the Commission require a transportation agreement between the parties?
- If UNG is purchasing natural gas for either the City of Brownton or UGS, does this
  arrangement compromise its "intrastate pipeline" status making UNG a "public utility"
  subject to Minnesota Statute § 216B.48 Affiliated Interest? (PUC: Brill)