

## Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	Deta 177	ils 2017- Version:	: 1	Name:			
Туре:	RP -	Resource Planning		Status:	Agenda Ready		
File created:	8/17/2017		In control:	PUC Agenda Meeting			
On agenda:	9/7/2017			Final action:			
Title:	In th Shor reso	<ul> <li>** E015/RP-15-690; Minnesota Power E015/AI-17-568</li> <li>In the Matter of Minnesota Power's 2015-2029 Integrated Resource Plan; In the Matter of Minnesota Power's Petition for Approval of the EnergyForward Resource Package.</li> <li>Should the Commission grant Minnesota Power's request for an extension to file its next integrated resource plan?</li> <li>Is Minnesota Power's proposed procedural process reasonable? (PUC: Stalpes)</li> </ul>					
Indexes:		························				,	
Code sections:							
Attachments:	1. 15-690 PUC Order Approving Resource Plan with Modifications 7-18-16, 2. 15-690 MP Request for Extension to File its Next Resource Plan 6-8-17, 3. 15-690 Clean Energy Organizations Comments on Procedural Matters 6-30-17, 4. 15-690 DOC Comments on Procedural Matters 6-30- 17, 5. 15-690 LPI Comments on Procedural Matters 6-30-17, 6. 15-690 MP Reply Comments on Procedural Matters 7-12-17, 7. 17-568 MP Petition for Approval of EnergyForward Resource Package pt 1 of 3 7-28-17 PUBLIC, 8. 17-568 MP Petition for Approval of EnergyForward Resource Package pt 2 of 3 7-28-17 PUBLIC, 9. 17-568 MP Petition for Approval of EnergyForward Resource Package pt 3 of 3 7-28-17 PUBLIC, 10. 17-568 DOC Supplemental Comments on Procedural Matters 8-16-17, 11. 17-568 LPI Supplemental Comments on Procedural Matters 8-16-17, 12. 17- 568 MP Supplemental Comments on Procedural Matters 8-16-17, 13. Briefing Papers						
Date	Ver.	Action By		Act	on	Result	
9/7/2017	1	PUC Agenda Meet	ing				

## \*\* E015/RP-15-690; Minnesota Power E015/AI-17-568

In the Matter of Minnesota Power's 2015-2029 Integrated Resource Plan; In the Matter of Minnesota Power's Petition for Approval of the EnergyForward Resource Package.

Should the Commission grant Minnesota Power's request for an extension to file its next integrated resource plan?

Is Minnesota Power's proposed procedural process reasonable? (PUC: Stalpes)