



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: Details 2017- 211 **Version:** 2 **Name:**

Type: CN - Certificate of Need **Status:** Agenda Ready

File created: 10/9/2017 **In control:** PUC Agenda Meeting

On agenda: 3/15/2018 **Final action:**

Title: ** PL9/CN-14-916; Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership
PL9/PPL-15-137

In the Matter of the Application of Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership for a Certificate of Need for the Proposed Line 3 Replacement Project in Minnesota from the North Dakota Border to the Wisconsin Border;
In the Matter of the Application of Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership for a Route Permit for the Proposed Line 3 Replacement Project in Minnesota from the North Dakota Border to the Wisconsin Border.

1. Should the Commission adopt the administrative law judge's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommendation?
2. Should the Commission find that the revised Final Environmental Impact Statement is adequate? (PUC: Ek)

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. EIS Scoping Decision Document 12-5-16, 2. ALJ Report on Final EIS Adequacy 11-1-17, 3. DOC EERA Exceptions 11-21-17, 4. REVISED 2 Briefing Paper - FEIS Adequacy Determination Line 3 14-916 and 15-137 12-5-17, 5. PUC Order Finding Environmental Impact Statement Inadequate 12-14-17, 6. Enbridge Comments on Revised FEIS 2-27-18, 7. Fond du Lac Band Comments on Revised FEIS 2-27-18, 8. Friends of the Headwaters Comments on Revised FEIS 2-27-18, 9. Honor the Earth Comments on Revised FEIS 2-27-18, 10. Mille Lacs Band Comments on Revised FEIS 2-27-18, 11. Sierra Club Comments on Revised FEIS 2-27-18, 12. Briefing Papers 14-916 15-137 3-8-18

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
3/15/2018	2	PUC Agenda Meeting		
10/26/2017	1	PUC Agenda Meeting		

**** PL9/CN-14-916; Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership
PL9/PPL-15-137**

In the Matter of the Application of Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership for a Certificate of Need for the Proposed Line 3 Replacement Project in Minnesota from the North Dakota Border to the Wisconsin Border;

In the Matter of the Application of Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership for a Route Permit for the Proposed Line 3 Replacement Project in Minnesota from the North Dakota Border to the Wisconsin Border.

1. Should the Commission adopt the administrative law judge's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommendation?
2. Should the Commission find that the revised Final Environmental Impact Statement is adequate? (PUC: **Ek**)