



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	Details 2018-134	Version:	1	Name:	
Type:	M - Miscellaneous	Status:		Agenda Ready	
File created:	7/5/2018	In control:		PUC Agenda Meeting	
On agenda:	7/26/2018	Final action:			
Title:	<p>* G999/CI-18-41 All Commission Rate Regulated Natural Gas Utilities In the Matter of a Commission Investigation into Natural Gas Utilities' Practices, Tariffs and Assignment of Cost Responsibility for Installation of Excess Flow Valves and Other Similar Gas Safety Equipment.</p> <p>Should the Commission approve the proposed excess flow valve (EFV) tariffs? Should the Commission accept the proposed customer notices as substantially compliant with 49 C.F.R. § 192.383? Should the Commission accept the utility specific payment plans for customer requested installation of an EFV on an existing natural gas service line? Should the Commission determine that the rate regulated natural gas utilities have complied with all of the filing requirements of the Commission investigation and close the docket? (PUC: Bonnett)</p>				

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. PUC Order Approving Tariff Changes and Opening Investigation 1-29-18, 2. Notice of Comment Period 2-6-18, 3. UNG Initial Filing 2-15-18, 4. CPE Initial Filing 3-6-18, 5. Great Plains Initial Filing 3-6-18, 6. GMG Initial Filing 3-6-18, 7. MERC Initial Filing 3-6-18, 8. Xcel Initial Filing 3-6-18, 9. CPE Comments 4-6-18, 10. DOC Comments 4-6-18, 11. CPE Reply Comments 4-16-18, 12. MERC Reply Comments 4-16-18, 13. Xcel Reply Comments 4-16-18, 14. GMG Reply Comments 4-18-18, 15. Briefing Papers

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
7/26/2018	1	PUC Agenda Meeting		

* **G999/CI-18-41 All Commission Rate Regulated Natural Gas Utilities**
In the Matter of a Commission Investigation into Natural Gas Utilities' Practices, Tariffs and Assignment of Cost Responsibility for Installation of Excess Flow Valves and Other Similar Gas Safety Equipment.

Should the Commission approve the proposed excess flow valve (EFV) tariffs?
Should the Commission accept the proposed customer notices as substantially compliant with 49 C.F.R. § 192.383?
Should the Commission accept the utility specific payment plans for customer requested installation of an EFV on an existing natural gas service line?
Should the Commission determine that the rate regulated natural gas utilities have complied with all of the filing requirements of the Commission investigation and close the docket? (PUC: Bonnett)