



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: Details 2018- 183 **Version:** 1 **Name:**

Type: M - Miscellaneous **Status:** Agenda Ready

File created: 8/22/2018 **In control:** PUC Agenda Meeting

On agenda: 9/13/2018 **Final action:**

Title: * PL6580/M-06-1063; Greater Minnesota Transmission, LLC;
PL6580/M-13-91;
PL6580/M-13-94;
PL6580/M-14-386;
G022/M-14-342;
PL6580/M-14-578;
PL6580/M-14-1056;
PL6580/M-15-967;
PL6580/M-15-968;
PL6580/M-15-1041;
PL6580/M-16-936;
PL6580/M-16-1026
GMT's Annual Load Factor Utilization Report, by Pipeline Segment.

1. Should the Commission accept Greater Minnesota Transmission's January 12, 2018 annual load factor utilization report as compliant with Commission Orders?
2. Should the Commission require additional analysis on Greater Minnesota Gas' high utilization of Greater Minnesota Transmission overrun capacity service?
3. Should the Commission require Greater Minnesota Gas to demonstrate that it holds sufficient Northern Natural Gas and Greater Minnesota Transmission mainline and lateral capacity to serve its retail firm sales customers located on these pipeline segments? (PUC: Brill, Bonnett, Bartusch)

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Order Dkt Nos. 15-967 & 15-968 - 2-18-2016, 2. Order Dkt No. 15-1041 - 3-1-2016, 3. Order Dkt No. 16-936 - 5-26-2017, 4. Order Dkt No. 16-1026 - 5-26-2017, 5. PUBLIC GMT - 2017 Annual Load Factor Utilization Report - 1-12-2018, 6. PUBLIC GMT - Response to Commission Data Requests - 6-25-2018, 7. PUBLIC GMT Response to Briefing Papers 9-6-18, 8. Briefing Papers

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
9/13/2018	1	PUC Agenda Meeting		

* **PL6580/M-06-1063; Greater Minnesota Transmission, LLC;**
PL6580/M-13-91;
PL6580/M-13-94;
PL6580/M-14-386;
G022/M-14-342;
PL6580/M-14-578;
PL6580/M-14-1056;
PL6580/M-15-967;
PL6580/M-15-968;
PL6580/M-15-1041;
PL6580/M-16-936;
PL6580/M-16-1026

GMT's Annual Load Factor Utilization Report, by Pipeline Segment.

1. Should the Commission accept Greater Minnesota Transmission's January 12, 2018 annual load factor utilization report as compliant with Commission Orders?
2. Should the Commission require additional analysis on Greater Minnesota Gas' high utilization of Greater Minnesota Transmission overrun capacity service?
3. Should the Commission require Greater Minnesota Gas to demonstrate that it holds sufficient Northern Natural Gas and Greater Minnesota Transmission mainline and lateral capacity to serve its retail firm sales customers located on these pipeline segments? (PUC: **Brill, Bonnett, Bartusch**)