

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission

121 7th Place East Suite 350 Saint Paul, MN 55101-2147

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: Details 2019- Version: 1 Name:

116

Type: M - Miscellaneous Status: Agenda Ready

File created: 7/10/2019 In control: PUC Agenda Meeting

On agenda: 8/1/2019 Final action:

Title: ** E015/M-18-735 Minnesota Power

In the Matter of the Petition for Approval of Minnesota Power's Industrial Demand Response Product.

- 1. Should the Commission approve one or more of Minnesota Power's (MP's) proposed Demand Response Products "A", "B", or "C"? Product A is MP's similar to current interruptible rate. Product B is a new long-term capacity product with an energy curtailment component and a 150 MW cap. Product C is a market surplus Demand Response product.
- 2. Should the Commission approve Cost Recovery Method "1" (a flat per-kWh cost recovery surcharge collected from all firm customers) or Method "2" (a per-kWh surcharge based on an allocation of cost recovery based on the apportionment of the final rate case revenue deficiency MP's last rate case)?
- 3. Should the Commission require MP to file regular compliance reports? (PUC: Stalpes, Krishnan, Martone)

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments:

1. MP - Petition - 12-7-2018, 2. CUB - Comments - 2-20-2019, 3. 1 PUBLIC - OAG - Comments - 2-20-2019, 4. 2 PUBLIC - OAG - Comments - 2-20-2013, 5. 3 PUBLIC - OAG - Comments - 2-20-2013, 6. 4 PUBLIC - OAG - Comments - 2-20-2013, 7. LPI - Comments - 2-20-2019, 8. FE - Comments - 2-20-2019, 9. DOC - Comments - 2-20-2019, 10. AEMA - Comments - 2-20-2019, 11. CUB - Reply Comments - 3-13-2019, 12. LPI - Reply Comments - 3-13-2019, 13. MP - Reply Comments - 3-13-2019, 14. AEMA - Reply Comments - 3-13-2019, 15. DOC - Response - 4-25-2019, 16. MP - Supplemental Comments - 6-28-2019, 17. LPI - Supplemental Comments - 7-3-2019, 18. VERSO - Comments - 7-5-2019, 19. Briefing Papers

	Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
Ī	0/4/0040	_	DUO A L M C		

8/1/2019 1 PUC Agenda Meeting

** E015/M-18-735 Minnesota Power

In the Matter of the Petition for Approval of Minnesota Power's Industrial Demand Response Product.

- 1. Should the Commission approve one or more of Minnesota Power's (MP's) proposed Demand Response Products "A", "B", or "C"? Product A is MP's similar to current interruptible rate. Product B is a new long-term capacity product with an energy curtailment component and a 150 MW cap. Product C is a market surplus Demand Response product.
- 2. Should the Commission approve Cost Recovery Method "1" (a flat per-kWh cost recovery surcharge collected from all firm customers) or Method "2" (a per-kWh surcharge based on an allocation of cost recovery based on the apportionment of the final rate case revenue deficiency MP's last rate case)?
- 3. Should the Commission require MP to file regular compliance reports? (PUC: **Stalpes, Krishnan, Martone**)