



Legislation Details

File #: Details 2019- 116 **Version:** 1 **Name:**
Type: M - Miscellaneous **Status:** Agenda Ready
File created: 7/10/2019 **In control:** PUC Agenda Meeting
On agenda: 8/1/2019 **Final action:**
Title: ** E015/M-18-735 Minnesota Power
In the Matter of the Petition for Approval of Minnesota Power's Industrial Demand Response Product.

1. Should the Commission approve one or more of Minnesota Power's (MP's) proposed Demand Response Products "A", "B", or "C"? Product A is MP's similar to current interruptible rate. Product B is a new long-term capacity product with an energy curtailment component and a 150 MW cap. Product C is a market surplus Demand Response product.
2. Should the Commission approve Cost Recovery Method "1" (a flat per-kWh cost recovery surcharge collected from all firm customers) or Method "2" (a per-kWh surcharge based on an allocation of cost recovery based on the apportionment of the final rate case revenue deficiency MP's last rate case)?
3. Should the Commission require MP to file regular compliance reports? (PUC: Stalpes, Krishnan, Martone)

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. MP - Petition - 12-7-2018, 2. CUB - Comments - 2-20-2019, 3. 1 PUBLIC - OAG - Comments - 2-20-2019, 4. 2 PUBLIC - OAG - Comments - 2-20-2013, 5. 3 PUBLIC - OAG - Comments - 2-20-2013, 6. 4 PUBLIC - OAG - Comments - 2-20-2013, 7. LPI - Comments - 2-20-2019, 8. FE - Comments - 2-20-2019, 9. DOC - Comments - 2-20-2019, 10. AEMA - Comments - 2-20-2019, 11. CUB - Reply Comments - 3-13-2019, 12. LPI - Reply Comments - 3-13-2019, 13. MP - Reply Comments - 3-13-2019, 14. AEMA - Reply Comments - 3-13-2019, 15. DOC - Response - 4-25-2019, 16. MP - Supplemental Comments - 6-28-2019, 17. LPI - Supplemental Comments - 7-3-2019, 18. VERSO - Comments - 7-5-2019, 19. Briefing Papers

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
8/1/2019	1	PUC Agenda Meeting		