



## Legislation Details (With Text)

**File #:** Details 2021- 004 **Version:** 1 **Name:**  
**Type:** CN - Certificate of Need **Status:** Agenda Ready  
**File created:** 1/14/2021 **In control:** PUC Agenda Meeting  
**On agenda:** 2/4/2021 **Final action:**  
**Title:** \*\* IP7013/CN-19-408; Big Bend Wind, LLC  
IP7013/WS-19-619;  
IP7013/TL-19-621

In the Matter of the Applications of Big Bend Wind, LLC for a Certificate of Need, a Site Permit for the 308 MW Large Wind Energy Conversion System and a Route Permit for the 161 kV Transmission Line in Cottonwood, Martin, and Watonwan Counties, Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission accept the certificate of need, site permit and route permit applications as substantially complete?
2. Should the Commission direct that the application for the certificate of need be evaluated using the informal review process or refer the application to the Office of Administrative Hearings for a contested case proceeding?
3. What procedural process should the Commission authorize for evaluation of the site permit application?
4. What procedural process should the Commission authorize for evaluation of the route permit application?
5. Should an Environmental Assessment be prepared instead of the environmental report required under the certificate of need process?
6. Should the certificate of need, site permit, and route permit applications be processed jointly, i.e., joint public information meetings, joint environmental review and joint public hearings?
7. Should an advisory task force be appointed for the route permit application?
8. Should the Commission vary the time limits of certain rules related to the processing of the applications? (PUC: Panait)

### Indexes:

### Code sections:

**Attachments:** 1. PUC - Order - 9-24-3019, 2. PUC - Order - 12-4-3019, 3. BBW - Supp Notice - 9-10-2020, 4. 19-409 1 BBW -Cert of Need - 11-9-2020, 5. 2 BBW -Cert of Need 11-9-2020, 6. 3 BBW -Cert of Need - 11-9-2020, 7. 4 BBW -Cert of Need - 11-9-2020, 8. 5 BBW -Cert of Need - 11-9-2020, 9. 19-619 1 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 10. 2 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 11. 3 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 12. 4 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 13. 5 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 14. 6 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 15. 7 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 16. 8 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 17. 9 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 18. 10 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 19. 11 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 20. 12 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 21. 13 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 22. 14 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 23. 15 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 24. 16 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 25. 17 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 26. 18 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 27. 19 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 28. 20 BBW - Application - 11-9-2020, 29. 19-621 1 BBW - Route Permit - 11-9-2020, 30. 2 BBW - Route Permit - 11-9-2020, 31. 3 BBW - Route Permit - 11-9-2020, 32. 4 BBW - Route Permit - 11-9-2020, 33. 5 BBW - Route Permit - 11-9-2020, 34. 6 BBW - Route Permit - 11-9-2020, 35. 7 BBW - Route Permit - 11-9-2020, 36. 10 BBW - Route Permit - 11-9-2020, 37. 11 BBW - Route Permit - 11-9-2020, 38. 12 BBW - Route Permit - 11-9-2020, 39. PUC - Notice - 11-23-2020, 40. Hutchinson - Public Comment - 12-14-2020, 41. 1 DOC - Comments - 12-15-2020, 42. 2 DOC - Comments - 12-15-2020, 43. LIUNA - Comments - 12-15-2020, 44. Local 49 - Comments - 12-15-2020, 45. MHS - Intervention -12-18-2020, 46. SRDC - Public Comment - 12-21-2020, 47. Lower Sioux Indian Community - Public Comment - 12-22-2020, 48. BBW - Reply Comments - 12-23-2020, 49. DOC - Reply Comments - 12-24-2020, 50. BBW - Corrected Notice - 12-30-2020, 51. Briefing Papers, 52.

Revised Decision Options

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
2/4/2021	1	PUC Agenda Meeting		

**\*\* IP7013/CN-19-408; Big Bend Wind, LLC  
IP7013/WS-19-619;  
IP7013/TL-19-621**

In the Matter of the Applications of Big Bend Wind, LLC for a Certificate of Need, a Site Permit for the 308 MW Large Wind Energy Conversion System and a Route Permit for the 161 kV Transmission Line in Cottonwood, Martin, and Watonwan Counties, Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission accept the certificate of need, site permit and route permit applications as substantially complete?
2. Should the Commission direct that the application for the certificate of need be evaluated using the informal review process or refer the application to the Office of Administrative Hearings for a contested case proceeding?
3. What procedural process should the Commission authorize for evaluation of the site permit application?
4. What procedural process should the Commission authorize for evaluation of the route permit application?
5. Should an Environmental Assessment be prepared instead of the environmental report required under the certificate of need process?
6. Should the certificate of need, site permit, and route permit applications be processed jointly, i.e., joint public information meetings, joint environmental review and joint public hearings?
7. Should an advisory task force be appointed for the route permit application?
8. Should the Commission vary the time limits of certain rules related to the processing of the applications? (PUC: **Panait**)