



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: Details 2021-005 **Version:** 1 **Name:**

Type: CN - Certificate of Need **Status:** Agenda Ready

File created: 1/14/2021 **In control:** PUC Agenda Meeting

On agenda: 2/4/2021 **Final action:**

Title: * IP7014/CN-19-486; Red Rock Solar, LLC
IP7014/GS-19-620

In the Matter of the Application of Red Rock Solar, LLC for a Certificate of Need for the up to 60 MW Red Rock Solar Project in Cottonwood County, Minnesota;
In the Matter of the Application of Red Rock Solar, LLC for a Site Permit for the up to 60 MW Red Rock Solar Project in Cottonwood County, Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission accept the certificate of need application as substantially complete and authorize review using the Commission's informal process or refer the matter to the Office of Administrative Hearings for contested case proceedings?
2. Should the Commission accept the site permit application as substantially complete and authorize review under the alternative permitting process?
3. Should the Commission direct that the certificate of need and site permit applications be processed jointly (i.e. joint public information meetings, joint public hearings, and an environmental assessment in lieu of an environmental report)?
4. Should the Commission appoint an advisory task force for the siting of the proposed solar energy generating system?
5. What actions should the Commission take concerning the public hearing process and procedures?
6. Should the Commission vary the time limits of certain rules related to the processing of the applications? (PUC: Bruce)

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. RRS - Ntc Site Permit App - 8-27-2020, 2. 1 19-486 RRS - Cert of Need - 11-9-2020, 3. 2 19-486 RRS - Cert of Need - 11-9-2020, 4. 3 19-486 RRS - Cert of Need - 11-9-2020, 5. 4 19-486 RRS - Cert of Need - 11-9-2020, 6. 5 19-486 RRS - Cert of Need - 11-9-2020, 7. 19-620 1 RRS - Site Permit App - 11-9-2020, 8. 19-620 2 RRS - Site Permit App - 11-9-2020, 9. 19-620 3 RRS - Site Permit App - 11-9-2020, 10. 19-620 4 RRS - Site Permit App - 11-9-2020, 11. 19-620 5 RRS - Site Permit App - 11-9-2020, 12. 19-620 6 RRS - Site Permit App - 11-9-2020, 13. 19-620 7 RRS - Site Permit App - 11-9-2020, 14. 19-620 8 RRS - Site Permit App - 11-9-2020, 15. 19-620 9 RRS - Site Permit App - 11-9-2020, 16. 19-620 10 RRS - Site Permit App - 11-9-2020, 17. 19-620 11 RRS - Site Permit App - 11-9-2020, 18. 19-620 12 RRS - Site Permit App - 11-9-2020, 19. 19-620 13 RRS - Site Permit App - 11-9-2020, 20. DOC - Comments and Recommendations - 12-15-2020, 21. LIUNA - Comments - 12-15-2020, 22. Local 49 - Comments - 12-15-2020, 23. DOC - Comments - 12-15-2020, 24. MPCA - Letter - 12-18-2020, 25. SWRDC - Public Comment - 12-21-2020, 26. 1 RRS - Reply Comments - 12-23-2020, 27. 2 RRS - Reply Comments - 12-23-2020, 28. DOC - Reply Comments - 12-24-2020, 29. PUBLIC RRS - Appendix E Phase 1A - 1-14-2021, 30. Briefing Papers, 31. Revised Decision Options

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
2/4/2021	1	PUC Agenda Meeting		

* **IP7014/CN-19-486; Red Rock Solar, LLC**
IP7014/GS-19-620

In the Matter of the Application of Red Rock Solar, LLC for a Certificate of Need for the up to 60 MW Red Rock Solar Project in Cottonwood County, Minnesota;

In the Matter of the Application of Red Rock Solar, LLC for a Site Permit for the up to 60 MW Red Rock Solar Project in Cottonwood County, Minnesota.

1. Should the Commission accept the certificate of need application as substantially complete and authorize review using the Commission's informal process or refer the matter to the Office of Administrative Hearings for contested case proceedings?
2. Should the Commission accept the site permit application as substantially complete and authorize review under the alternative permitting process?
3. Should the Commission direct that the certificate of need and site permit applications be processed jointly (i.e. joint public information meetings, joint public hearings, and an environmental assessment in lieu of an environmental report)?
4. Should the Commission appoint an advisory task force for the siting of the proposed solar energy generating system?
5. What actions should the Commission take concerning the public hearing process and procedures?
6. Should the Commission vary the time limits of certain rules related to the processing of the applications? (PUC: **Bruce**)