



## Legislation Details (With Text)

**File #:** Details 2022-182 **Version:** 2 **Name:**

**Type:** CN - Certificate of Need **Status:** Agenda Ready

**File created:** 9/1/2022 **In control:** PUC Agenda Meeting

**On agenda:** 6/22/2023 **Final action:**

**Title:** \*\* PL9/CN-21-823 Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership  
In the Matter of the Decommissioning Trust Fund for the Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership Line 3 Replacement Pipeline.

1. How should the Decommissioning Trust be structured?
2. Traditional Trust vs Noncharitable Trust?
3. Who should be designated the benefactor?
4. How much money should be included in the Decommissioning Trust?
5. Should there be an initial startup payment and what is the schedule for payments?
6. How often should the Decommissioning Trust be reviewed? (PUC: Janezich)

### Indexes:

### Code sections:

**Attachments:** 1. Enbridge Compliance 7-16-18, 2. Enbridge Compliance (Att 3A, 3B, & 3C) 7-16-18, 3. DOC Letter 7-20-18, 4. DOC Recommendations 7-30-18, 5. Enbridge Reply to DOC Letter 7-30-18, 6. PUC Order (Reissued 5-1-2020) 9-5-18, 7. Enbridge Compliance Update Letter 9-7-18, 8. Enbridge Compliance 10-16-18, 9. Enbridge Compliance (Att C) 10-16-18, 10. DOC Letter 11-5-18, 11. PUC Order (Reissued 5-1-2020) 1-23-19, 12. PUC Order 5-1-20, 13. 1 HTE Petition to Open Docket 12-1-21, 14. 2 HTE Petition to Open Docket 12-1-21, 15. 3 HTE Petition to Open Docket 12-1-21, 16. 4 HTE Petition to Open Docket 12-1-21, 17. 5 HTE Petition to Open Docket 12-1-21, 18. 6 HTE Petition to Open Docket 12-1-21, 19. DOC Letter 1-24-22, 20. DOC Initial Comments 5-19-22, 21. Enbridge Initial Comments 5-19-22, 22. FOTH Initial Comments 5-19-22, 23. Dyrdals Initial Comments 5-19-22, 24. HTE Initial Comments 5-19-22, 25. PEER Comments 5-19-22, 26. DOC Reply Comments 6-17-22, 27. Enbridge Reply Comments 6-20-22, 28. FOTH Reply Comments 6-22-22, 29. HTE Reply Comments 6-27-22, 30. DOC Supplemental Comments 7-20-22, 31. Enbridge Supplemental Comments 7-20-22, 32. FOTH Supplemental Comments 7-20-22, 33. Dyrdals Supplemental Comments 7-20-22, 34. CAPP Comments 7-20-22, 35. DOC Letter 9-9-22, 36. PUC Order 11-4-22, 37. Enbridge Letter Comments Draft Trust 1-3-23, 38. Enbridge Accompanying Draft Trust 1-3-23, 39. PC Alice & Randy Peterson 2-17-23, 40. PC FOTH 3-13-23, 41. DOC DER Reply Comments 3-13-23, 42. PC Batch Comments 3-14-23, 43. PC Sharon Natzel 3-14-23, 44. Enbridge Letter 3-15-23, 45. PC Deanna Johnson 3-17-23, 46. Enbridge Filing Letter 4-12-23, 47. Enbridge Reply Comments 4-12-23, 48. Enbridge Att A Revised Trust 4-12-23, 49. Enbridge Att B Table Review of Revisions 4-12-23, 50. Enbridge Att C Revised Agreement 4-12-23, 51. FOTH Reply Comments 4-17-23, 52. DOC DER Independent Review Letter 4-17-23, 53. DOC DER Review 4-17-23, 54. DOC Comments Filing Letter 4-19-23, 55. DOC Comments Supplemental 4-19-23, 56. Enbridge Supplemental Comments 4-19-23, 57. FOTH Supplemental Comments 4-19-23, 58. Briefing Papers, 59. Revised Decision Options-Commissioner Tuma

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
------	------	-----------	--------	--------

**\*\* PL9/CN-21-823 Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership**  
In the Matter of the Decommissioning Trust Fund for the Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership Line 3 Replacement Pipeline.

1. How should the Decommissioning Trust be structured?
2. Traditional Trust vs Noncharitable Trust?
3. Who should be designated the benefactor?
4. How much money should be included in the Decommissioning Trust?
5. Should there be an initial startup payment and what is the schedule for payments?
6. How often should the Decommissioning Trust be reviewed? (PUC: **Janezich**)