

MTEP Information Exchange Schedules & Requirements

Deadline	Deliverable	Responsible Entity
June 1st Six months prior to calendar year of each new MTEP cycle	Provide to the Transmission Provider: 1) any updates to the posted transmission planning criteria, or a notification that the posted documents have not changed and 2) model used by the Transmission Owner in support of the identification of the list of proposed projects: ¹ a) Identify any MISO base powerflow model used by the Transmission Owner or b) Provide TO non-MISO base powerflow model ² or c) Provide link to the powerflow model and assumptions used	Member Transmission Owners (TO)
July 1st	Comments by stakeholders on the local planning models and assumptions that are provided to the Transmission Provider Subregional Planning Meeting (SPM) Planning Contact Stakeholders may begin reviewing TO models and planning criteria based on the information provided up to this point.	Transmission Customers and other MTEP stakeholders
July 8th	Comments shall be forwarded to the applicable Transmission Owner	MISO SPM Planning Contact
July 15th	If Transmission Owner uses a non-MISO base power flow model, the Transmission Owner shall provide for posting updates if there are significant changes in the model and If there are significant updates to the posted transmission planning criteria, provide such updates for posting	Member Transmission Owners (TO)
August 1st	Comments by stakeholders on the local planning models and assumptions that are provided to the Transmission Provider SPM Planning Contact Stakeholders shall continue reviewing TO models and planning criteria based on the information provided up to this point.	Transmission Customers and other MTEP stakeholders
August 8th	Comments shall be forwarded to the applicable Transmission Owner	MISO SPM Planning Contact
August 15th	If Transmission Owner uses a non-MISO base power flow model, the Transmission Owner shall provide for posting updates if there are significant changes in the model and If there are significant updates to the posted transmission planning criteria, provide such updates for posting	Member Transmission Owners (TO)
September 1st	Comments by stakeholders on the local planning models and assumptions that are provided to the Transmission Provider SPM Planning Contact Stakeholders shall continue reviewing TO models and planning criteria based on the information provided up to this point.	Transmission Customers and other MTEP stakeholders
September 8th	Comments shall be forwarded to the applicable Transmission Owner	MISO SPM Planning Contact

¹ Each proposed project must be accompanied by powerflow model that can support the need for the project

² Any changes (i.e., topology, load or dispatch) made to a MISO base model by a TO, the model then becomes a TO non-MISO base powerflow model.

September 15th	<p>Submit new projects to MTEP process, specifying the target Appendix (A in MTEPy, or B) and expected project type (i.e., BRP, GIP, MPFP, MEP, MVP, Other) and</p> <p>If Transmission Owner uses a non-MISO base power flow model, the Transmission Owner shall provide for posting updates if there are significant changes in the model and</p> <p>If there are significant updates to the posted transmission planning criteria, provide such updates to the Transmission Provider.</p>	Member Transmission Owners (TO)
	<p>Provide completed TO project reports with all requested information including alternative proposals, if any, considered in TO planning process necessary to justify project, including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Major equipment within scope of work associated with each project. 2) Expected cost of the project facilities 3) Facility specific in-service dates. <p>Stakeholders who wish to submit alternative proposals shall begin development of such proposals based on the planning criteria, models and potential issues provided up to this point.</p>	
	Submit issues or solutions related to specific requirements or congestion to be evaluated in the current MTEP cycle	
	Development of any/all alternatives from Transmission Customers and other MTEP stakeholders begins.	
Between September 15 th and SPM3	Stakeholders may request 2 TSTF meetings to discuss Alternative Projects that have been submitted	Stakeholders
Tariff defined Forty-five (45) Days ³	Comments by stakeholders to the proposed projects should be provided to the Transmission Provider SPM Planning Contact forty-five (45) days after the posting of local plans.	Transmission Customers and other MTEP stakeholders
September 20th	Post all proposed projects, criteria and assumptions, and supporting base power flow models or links to supporting base power flow models, as provided by the Transmission Owners on Expansion Planning page of the MISO website or MTEP ftp site as applicable.	MISO Staff
November 1st	Forty-five (45) day window closed in order for Transmission Provider to start preparing for SPM1	Deadline
November 5th	Any final comments by stakeholders should be provided to the Transmission Provider SPM Planning Contact. MISO staff will forward to applicable Transmission Owner within five working days of receipt.	MISO Stakeholders, MISO SPM Planning Contact
Q1	<p>1st SPM: Presentation of all current cycle MTEP projects and address unresolved stakeholders' issues</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate Immediate Need Reliability Projects (INRP) are identified by MISO staff • Projects eligible for Non-Transmission Alternative (NTA) consideration are identified by MISO staff 	MISO Staff, Transmission Owners (TO) and Stakeholders
Post SPM1 Prior to SPM2	<p>Refine alternative proposals using current MTEP models that have been developed.</p> <p>This guarantees at least one month of alternative proposals refinement prior to the May 31st deadline.</p>	Transmission Customers and other MTEP stakeholders
Prior to SPM2	All information submitted by Transmission Owners and Market Participants posted on MISO website	MISO Staff

³ AttFF_Section_I.D.1.b.VIII

Q2	2nd SPM: Preliminary results of MISO independent evaluation of transmission proposals by Transmission Owners and Market Participants and discussion of feedback received from staff and Stakeholders on alternative proposals to TO proposals <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Candidate INRPs are confirmed by MISO staff 	MISO Staff, Transmission Owners (TO) and Stakeholders
May 31 st /SPM2	<p>Feedback on projects targeted to move to MTEP Appendix A in the current cycle, including alternative proposals. Alternative proposals may include transmission, generation, and demand-side resources.</p> <p>Submission of Transmission/Non-Transmission alternative proposals ends on this date for each planning region. No additional alternative proposals will be accepted after this point.</p>	Transmission Customers and other MTEP stakeholders
5 days after SPM2s	MISO will post alternatives proposed for TO MTEP projects	MISO Staff
Between SPM2 and SPM3	Analysis and justification of alternatives to the TO projects	MISO staff in collaboration with TOs and Stakeholders
Q3	3rd SPM: Presentation of final list of MTEP plans proposed for Board of Director approval including estimated cost and In Service Dates of selected projects. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • INRPs are determined by MISO staff 	MISO Staff
Post SPM3	First Draft of current cycle MTEP report	MISO Staff